Hadronic Transitions in Quarkonium

Estia Eichten (Fermilab)

Outline:

- Quarkonium Basics
- QCDME approach
- Present Status and Some Puzzles
 - η transitions in J/ ψ and Υ systems
 - Υ (5S) -> Υ (nS) + $\pi\pi$ transitions
- Revisiting the QCDME assumptions
 - Threshold effects
 - Hybrid potentials and XYZ states
- Summary and outlook



NRQCD

 $\begin{array}{rcl} & {\sf Kinetic} & {\sf Potential} \\ \mathcal{H} & = & {\sf Q}^{\dagger} \Big[\delta {\sf m}_Q - \frac{{\sf D}^2}{2{\sf m}_Q} \Big] {\sf Q} + \int d^3 x {\sf j}_{\sf a}^0(x) \mathcal{G}^{{\sf a}{\sf b}} {\sf j}_{\sf b}^0(0) \\ & {\sf relativistic} & - {\sf Q}^{\dagger} \Big[\frac{c_4}{8{\sf m}_Q^3} ({\sf D}^2)^2 + \frac{c_D}{8{\sf m}_q^2} ({\sf D} \cdot g{\sf E} - g{\sf E} \cdot {\sf D}) \Big] {\sf Q} \\ & {\sf corrections} & - {\sf Q}^{\dagger} \Big[\frac{c_s}{8{\sf m}_q^2} i\sigma({\sf D} \times g{\sf E} + g{\sf E} \times {\sf D}) + \frac{c_f}{2{\sf m}_q} \sigma \cdot g{\sf B} \Big] {\sf Q} + \dots \end{array}$

where
$$\mathbf{j}_{a}^{0} = \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger}gt_{a}\mathbf{Q} + g^{2}f^{abc}\mathbf{E}_{b}\cdot\mathbf{A}_{c} + ...$$

and $\mathcal{G}^{ab} = \frac{1}{\nabla \mathbf{D}}\nabla^{2}\frac{1}{\nabla \mathbf{D}}$

Potential model



- Consistency between $(b\overline{b})$ and $(c\overline{c})$ systems validates NRQCD approach.
 - masses (pNRQCD, LQCD)
 - spin splittings (pNRQCD, LQCD)
 - EM transitions (ME, LQCD)
 - hadronic transitions (ME)
 - direct decays (pQCD)



7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

May 19, 2010

Present Status

- Below threshold for heavy flavor meson pair production
 - Narrow states allow precise experimental probes of the subtle nature of QCD.
 - Lattice QCD supports and will supplant potential models
 - A variety of lattice approaches

Low-lying states directly calculated in LQCD.



S. Gottlieb et al., PoS LAT2006 Figure 5: Summary of charmonium spectrum.



Stephen Godfrey, Hanna Mahlke, Jonathan L. Rosner and E.E. [Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1161 (2008)]

7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

Why it works so well

• Lattice calculation V(r), then SE

$$-\frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{d^2u(r)}{dr^2} + \left\{\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{L}_{Q\bar{Q}}^2\rangle}{2\mu r^2} + V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r)\right\}u(r) = E u(r)$$

- What about the gluon and light quark degrees of freedom of QCD?
- Two thresholds:
 - Usual $(Q\bar{q})+(q\bar{Q})$ decay threshold
 - Excite the string hybrids
- Hybrid states will appear in the spectrum associated with the potential $\Pi_{\text{u}},...$
- In the static limit this occurs at separation: r \approx 1.2 fm. Between 3S-4S in (cc); just above the 5S in (bb).

LQCD calculation of static energy



QCDME

- QCD multipole expansion (basics)
 - Use dressed fields (Yan) $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv U^{-1}(\mathbf{x},t)\psi(x)$ $U(\mathbf{x},t) = P \exp\left[ig_s \int_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}',t) \cdot d\mathbf{x}'\right]$

$$\tilde{A}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv U^{-1}(\mathbf{x},t)A_{\mu}(x)U(\mathbf{x},t) - \frac{\imath}{g_s}U^{-1}(\mathbf{x},t)\partial_{\mu}U(\mathbf{x},t).$$

- Expand about X(CM) of $Q\overline{Q}$ system. r = |x-X|.

$$\tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{x},t) = A_0(\mathbf{X},t) - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X},t) + \cdots$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{X},t) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X},t) + \cdots,$$

- Analogous to QED multipole expansion:
 E1, M1, E2, M2, E3,...
 For gluon momentum k,
 QCDME expansion parameter (rk)
- $H^{(0)}$ is treated exactly (color singlet $Q\overline{Q}$). The $H^{(2)}$ correction appears first in second order [couples color singlet to octet $Q\overline{Q}$].

$$\begin{split} H_{\text{QCD}}^{\text{eff}} &= H_{\text{QCD}}^{(0)} + H_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} + H_{\text{QCD}}^{(2)} \\ H_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} &\equiv Q_a A_0^a(\mathbf{X}, t) \end{split} \quad \text{zero for color singlet} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{E1} \qquad \mathbf{M1} \qquad \dots \\ H_{\text{QCD}}^{(2)} \equiv -\mathbf{d}_a \cdot \mathbf{E}^a(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{m}_a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}) + \cdots$$

QCDME

- QCD multipole expansion (basics)
 - Factorize heavy quark dynamics and light hadron production.

$$\mathcal{M}(\Phi_i \to \Phi_f + h) = \\ \frac{1}{24} \sum_{KL} \frac{\langle f | d_m^{ia} | KL \rangle \langle | KL | d_{ma}^j | i \rangle}{E_i - E_{KL}} \langle h | \mathbf{E}^{ai} \mathbf{E}_a^j | 0 \rangle \quad \text{+ higher order multipole terms.}$$

Assume models for spectrum of H⁽⁰⁾
 (potential model) and intermediate states
 |KL> (QCS Buchmueller-Tye)

where |KL> are a complete set of intermediate states.

$$\langle f \ h | H_2 \mathcal{G}(E_i) H_2 | i \rangle = \sum_{KL} \langle f \ h | H_2 | KL \rangle \frac{1}{E_i - E_{KL}} \langle KL | H_2 | i \rangle$$
with
$$\mathcal{G}(E) = \frac{1}{E - H_{\text{QCD}}^{(0)} + i\partial_0 - H_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} + i\partial_0}$$

 Chiral effective lagrangian to parameterize light hadron matrix elements.

QCDME

- two pion transitions (E1-E1) (C_AC_B = +1)
 - Factorization

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{if}^{gg} &= \frac{1}{16} < B |\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}} \xi^{a} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{j}} \xi^{a} | A > \begin{array}{c} \frac{g_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}}{6} < \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta} |Tr(\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{E}^{j})| 0 > \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf$$

 π

- Explicit model - Kuang & Yan (PR D24, 2874 (1981)

$$d\Gamma \sim K\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{M_{\pi\pi}^2}} (M_{\pi\pi}^2 - 2m_{\pi}^2)^2 \ dM_{\pi\pi}^2 \qquad K \equiv \frac{\sqrt{(M_A + M_B)^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2}\sqrt{(M_A - M_B)^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2}}{2M_A}$$
S state -> S state
$$\Gamma = G \ |\alpha_{AB}^{EE} \ C_1|^2$$
Phase Space
Overlap - Buchmuller-Tye string inspired model)
Estia Eichten
Th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab
May 19, 2010

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d} \text{ factor } S_{if}^{M} = S_{fi}^{M} \text{ is } \\ & \text{QCDME}^{2} \\ & \text{QCDME}^{2} \\ & \text{QCDME}^{2} \\ & \text{QCDME}^{2} \\ & \text{QCAC}_{B} = +1 \end{aligned} \qquad (9) \ \mathcal{O}(v^{2}) \\ & \text{-E1-M2 expected to dominate} \\ & \text{S}, S_{if}^{M} = \overline{I}_{16}^{1} \text{Corization} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{AB}^{eig} = \frac{1}{16} < B|\mathbf{r}_{i}\xi^{a}\mathcal{G}\mathbf{r}_{j}\xi^{a}|A > \frac{g_{c}g_{M}}{6} \langle \eta|\mathbf{E}_{i}\partial_{j}\mathbf{B}_{k}|0 \rangle \frac{(\epsilon_{B}^{*} \times \epsilon_{A})_{k}}{3m_{Q}} \\ & \text{TRANSITONS} \\ & \mathbf{C}_{AB}^{EE} \\ & - Chirdl(\mathfrak{s}_{i}^{3}\mathfrak{m}_{m}^{2}\mathfrak{tr}_{T}^{*}) = |C_{1}|^{2}G|f_{u_{i}}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}^{2}|^{2} \\ & \text{Hadr}_{G}^{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{3}\mathfrak{c}_{k}-\mathfrak{a}_{k}^{3}) + \pi^{-1}(C_{1}|^{2}G|\mathfrak{a}_{m}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}) \\ & \text{Chirdl}(\mathfrak{s}_{i}^{3}\mathfrak{m}_{m}^{2}\mathfrak{tr}_{T}^{*}) = |C_{1}|^{2}G|f_{u_{i}}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}^{2}|^{2} \\ & \text{Hadr}_{G}^{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{3}\mathfrak{c}_{k}-\mathfrak{m}_{k}^{3}) + \pi^{-1}(C_{1}|^{2}G|\mathfrak{a}_{m}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}) \\ & \text{Chirdl}(\mathfrak{s}_{i}^{3}\mathfrak{m}_{m}^{2}\mathfrak{tr}_{T}^{*}) = |C_{1}|^{2}G|f_{u_{i}}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}^{2}|^{2} \\ & \text{Hadr}_{G}^{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{3}\mathfrak{c}_{k}-\mathfrak{m}_{k}^{3}) + \pi^{-1}(C_{1}|^{2}G|\mathfrak{a}_{m}^{1}\mathfrak{m}_{k}) \\ & \text{Parameters space factors } S_{i} \text{ is } [7] \\ & \text{Parameters } \left[\frac{c_{1}\partial_{kl}q^{H}q_{2\mu} + C_{2}\left(q_{1k}q_{2l} + q_{1l}\dot{q}_{2k}h_{k} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_{kl}\left(q_{1}^{4}\mathfrak{m}_{2}^{2}\right)\right)^{2m_{n}^{2}/2}d\mathfrak{m}_{n}^{2}. \end{aligned} \right \right$$

$$\text{re two unlemmed symmeters breaking - Chiral effective logravitian \\ \text{LEO-c also_{0}detected the channel $\psi(3^{T}f^{0}) \rightarrow \phi'/\psi_{1}\dot{m}_{\pi}\dot{\pi}_{\pi} & dt_{0}\dot{h}_{1}\dot{m}_{m}\dot{h}_{0}\dot{h}_{m}\dot{h$$$

Present Status of Hadronic Transitions

;;;;;,	Partial Width (keV)				
Iransition	Exp	Theory			
$\psi(2S)$					
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+\pi^-$	102.3 ± 3.4	$\operatorname{input}(C_1)$			
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \eta$	10.0 ± 0.4	$\left \operatorname{input}(C_3/C_1) \right $			
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^0$	0.411 ± 0.030 [122]	0.64 [114]			
$\rightarrow h_c(1P) + \pi^0$	0.26 ± 0.05 [123]	0.12-0.40 [46]			
$\psi(3770)$					
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+\pi^-$	52.7 ± 7.9	$\operatorname{input}(C_2/C_{ })$			
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \eta$	24 ± 11				
$\psi(3S)$					
$\rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+\pi^-$	< 320 (90% cl)				
$\Upsilon(2S)$					
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	5.79 ± 0.49	8.7 [115]			
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \eta$	$(6.7 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$	0.025 [117]			
$\Upsilon(1^{3}\mathrm{D}_{2})$					
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	0.188 ± 0.046 [107]	0.07 [121]			
$\chi_{b1}(2P)$					
$\rightarrow \chi_{b1}(1\mathrm{P}) + \pi^+\pi^-$	0.83 ± 0.33 [106]	0.54 [124]			
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \omega$	1.56 ± 0.46				
$\chi_{b2}(2P)$ (1D) + + -	0.02 + 0.21 [100]	0 54 [104]			
$\rightarrow \chi_{b2}(1P) + \pi^+\pi$	0.83 ± 0.31 [100] 1 52 ± 0.40	0.54 [124]			
$\rightarrow I(13) + \omega$	1.52 ± 0.49				
$\int \frac{I(3S)}{\gamma(1S)} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{+}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{-}}$	0.001 0.001	1 05 [115]			
$\rightarrow I(1S) + \pi^{-}\pi^{-}$	0.094 ± 0.004 $< 3.7 \times 10^{-3}$	1.60 [110] 0.012 [117]			
$ \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) + \pi^+ \pi^- $	$1 \le 3.7 \times 10$ 0.498 + 0.065	0.012 [117] 0.86 [115]			
$\gamma 1 (25) + \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}$ $\gamma (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S})$	0.450 ± 0.000	0.00 [110]			
$ \begin{array}{c} \stackrel{I}{\longrightarrow} \gamma(1S) + \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \end{array} $	1.64 ± 0.25	4 1 [115]			
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + n$	4.02 ± 0.54				
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	1.76 ± 0.34	1.4 [115]			
$\gamma(5S)$					
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	228 ± 33				
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + K^+ K^-$	26.2 ± 8.1				
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	335 ± 64				
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) + \pi^+ \pi^-$	206 ± 80				

Experiment vs Theory

- Many transitions observed.
- Some missing theory entries.
- Generally good agreement for hadronic transitions between low-lying quarkonium states.
- The coefficients in the light hadron matrix elements set by data:
 - $|C_1| = (10.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$
 - $|C_2/C_1| = 1.75 \pm 0.14$ (Cornell)
 - $|C_3/C_1| = 0.78 \pm 0.02$
- Two puzzles:
 - η transitions J/ ψ and Υ systems
 - Υ**(5S) ->**Υ**(nS)** π π

Avoid dependence on BT model

• Observed $M_{\pi\pi}$ distributions:



10

• $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi\pi$ and $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \pi\pi$ $M_{\pi\pi}$ distributions not expected S-wave





7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

- Reducing model dependence
 - transitions well below the first string excitation (E_{TH}), so expand

$$\mathcal{G}(E) = \sum_{KL} |KL\rangle \frac{1}{E - E_{KL}} \langle KL|$$

= $\frac{1}{E - E_{TH}} + \sum_{KL} \left(\frac{E_{KL} - E_{TH}}{E - E_{TH}}\right) |KL\rangle \frac{1}{E - E_{KL}} \langle KL|$

model dependence suppressed (a) E << E_{TH}

(b) small overlap of low-lying QQ states with high |KL> states.

$$\langle B|\mathbf{r}^{i}\chi^{a}\mathcal{G}(E_{i})\mathbf{r}^{j}\chi_{b}|A\rangle = \frac{\delta^{ij}\delta^{a}_{b}}{E_{A} - E_{\mathrm{TH}}}\langle B|\mathbf{r}^{2}|A\rangle + \cdots$$

- compare results with known transitions

$G (GeV)^7$	$\langle f r^2 i\rangle > (\text{GeV})^{-2}$	$\Gamma(\exp) (\text{keV})$	$\Gamma(\text{overlap}) \ (\text{keV})$
3.56×10^{-2}	3.36	102.3 ± 3.4	$\operatorname{input}(C_1)$
$2.87 imes 10^{-2}$	1.19	5.79 ± 0.49	5.9
1.09	2.37×10^{-1}	0.894 ± 0.084	12.9
9.09×10^{-5}	3.70	0.498 ± 0.065	0.26
5.58	9.74×10^{-2}	1.64 ± 0.25	19.9
2.61×10^{-2}	4.64×10^{-1}	1.76 ± 0.34	2.1
	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm G} \ ({\rm GeV})^7\\ \hline 3.56\times 10^{-2}\\ \hline 2.87\times 10^{-2}\\ \hline 1.09\\ 9.09\times 10^{-5}\\ \hline 5.58\\ 2.61\times 10^{-2} \end{array}$	G (GeV)^7 $\langle f r^2 i \rangle > (GeV)^{-2}$ 3.56×10^{-2} 3.36 2.87×10^{-2} 1.19 1.09 2.37×10^{-1} 9.09×10^{-5} 3.70 5.58 9.74×10^{-2} 2.61×10^{-2} 4.64×10^{-1}	G (GeV)^7 $\langle f r^2 i \rangle > (GeV)^{-2}$ $\Gamma(\exp)$ (keV) 3.56×10^{-2} 3.36 102.3 ± 3.4 2.87×10^{-2} 1.19 5.79 ± 0.49 1.09 2.37×10^{-1} 0.894 ± 0.084 9.09×10^{-5} 3.70 0.498 ± 0.065 5.58 9.74×10^{-2} 1.64 ± 0.25 2.61×10^{-2} 4.64×10^{-1} 1.76 ± 0.34

 $E_{\rm TH}^{c\bar{c}} = 4.5 \ GeV$ and $E_{\rm TH}^{b\bar{b}} = 11.25 \ GeV$ assumed

OK only if overlap is sizable

• The η transitions

Ratio of eta to two pion transition for same quarkonium states at $(M_{\pi\pi} = M_{\eta})$

$$R_{Q\bar{Q}}(n \to m) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(n^3 S_1 \to m^3 S_1 + \eta)}{\Gamma(n^3 S_1 \to m^3 S_1 + \pi^+ \pi^-)} = \frac{8\pi^2}{27} \frac{1}{m_Q^2} (\frac{C_3}{C_1})^2 \left[\frac{[(M_i + M_f)^2 - M_\eta^2)((M_i - M_f)^2 - M_\eta^2)]^{3/2}}{G}\right]$$

[kinematic factor]

is independent of the details of the intermediate states.

Comparing theory and experiment

Ratio	theory	experiment
$R^{c\bar{c}}(2 \to 1)$	3.29×10^{-3}	9.78×10^{-2}
$R^{b\bar{b}}(2 \to 1)$	1.16×10^{-3}	1.16×10^{-3}
$R^{b\bar{b}}(3 \to 1)$	4.57×10^{-3}	$<4.13\times10^{-3}$
$R^{b\bar{b}}(4 \to 1)$	2.23×10^{-3}	2.45
$R^{b\bar{b}}(4 \rightarrow 2)$	5.28×10^{-4}	

~ 30 > theory sets $C_3/C_1 = 0.143 \pm 0.024$

~ 1000 > theory

Large M1-M1 terms for states near threshold?

 $\langle B|\sigma^{i}\chi^{a}\mathcal{G}(E_{i})\sigma^{j}\chi_{b}|A\rangle = \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}\delta^{a}_{b}}{E_{A} - E_{\mathrm{TH}}}\langle B|\sigma^{k}|A\rangle + \cdots =$ zero as states are orthogonal

Estia Eichten

7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

• The Belle measurements of $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) + \pi\pi$ transitions

Process	N_s	Σ	Eff.(%)	$\sigma(\mathrm{pb})$	$\mathcal{B}(\%)$	$\Gamma({ m MeV})$
$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	325_{-19}^{+20}	20σ	37.4	$1.61 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.12$	$0.53 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$	$0.59 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.09$
$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	186 ± 15	14σ	18.9	$2.35 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.32$	$0.78 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.11$	$0.85 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.16$
$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$10.5^{+4.0}_{-3.3}$	3.2σ	1.5	$1.44^{+0.55}_{-0.45}\pm0.19$	$0.48^{+0.18}_{-0.15}\pm0.07$	$0.52^{+0.20}_{-0.17}\pm0.10$
$\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$	$20.2^{+5.2}_{-4.5}$	4.9σ	20.3	$0.185^{+0.048}_{-0.041}\pm0.028$	$0.061^{+0.016}_{-0.014}\pm0.010$	$0.067^{+0.017}_{-0.015} \pm 0.013$

- Large partial rates.
 Continuum e⁺e⁻-> ππY(nS) background not subtracted.
- $M(\pi\pi)$ and angular distribution. Compare to Y(4S).
- In region of hybrid low-lying states

W. S. Hou [PR D74 (2006) 017504]



Crossing Thresholds

- Usual thresholds (QQ) -> (Qq) + (qQ) decays -> dominates total widths
 - Strong coupling to virtual decay channels induces spin-dependent forces in charmonium near threshold, because $M_{(Qq)*} > M_{(Qq)}$
 - Effects are small for states far below threshold

	State	Mass	Centroid	Splitting (Potential)	Splitting (Induced)
	$\begin{array}{c} 1^1 S_0 \\ 1^3 S_1 \end{array}$	$2979.9^a\ 3096.9^a$	3067.6^{b}	$-90.5^{e} + 30.2^{e}$	$+2.8 \\ -0.9$
Less that 1 MeV shift \Rightarrow	$1^{3}P_{0}$ $1^{3}P_{1}$ $1^{1}P_{1}$ $1^{3}P_{2}$	${3415.3}^a \ {3510.5}^a \ {3524.4}^f \ {3556.2}^a$	3 525.3°	-114.9^{e} -11.6^{e} $+0.6^{e}$ $+31.9^{e}$	$+5.9 \\ -2.0 \\ +0.5 \\ -0.3$
Reduces $\Delta M(2S)$ by 21 MeV \Rightarrow	$\begin{array}{c} 2^1S_0\\ 2^3S_1 \end{array}$	${3638}^a \ {3686.0}^a$	3674^b	$-50.1^{e} + 16.7^{e}$	$+15.7 \\ -5.2$
New dynamics in threshold region	$1^{3}D_{1}$ $1^{3}D_{2}$ $1^{1}D_{2}$ $1^{3}D_{3}$	3769.9^a 3830.6 3838.0 3868.3	$(3815)^d$	$-40 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +20$	$-39.9 \\ -2.7 \\ +4.2 \\ +19.0$
– Hybrids – Tetraquark states ?	$2^{3}P_{0}$ $2^{3}P_{1}$ $2^{1}P_{1}$ $2^{3}P_{2}$	3 881.4 3 920.5 3 919.0 3 931g	$(3922)^d$	$-90 \\ -8 \\ 0 \\ +25$	$+27.9 \\ +6.7 \\ -5.4 \\ -9.6$
- XYZ states	$\begin{array}{c} 3^1S_0\\ 3^3S_1 \end{array}$	${3943^h}\over{4040^a}$	$(4015)^i$	$-66^{e} + 22^{e}$	-3.1 + 1.0

ELQ PRD 73:014014 (2006)

New Neutral States Above Charm Threshold

State	EXP	М + і Г (MeV)	JPC	Decay Modes	Production Modes
				Observed	Observed
X(3872)	Belle, CDF, DO, BaBar	3871.2±0.5 + i(<2.3)	1++	π⁺π⁻J/ψ, π⁺π⁻π⁰J/ψ, ƳJ/ψ, Ƴψ′	B decays, ppbar
	Belle	$3872.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \pm 0.4 + i(3.9^{+2.5}_{-1.3}^{+0.8}_{-0.3})$		D ⁰ D*0	B decays
	BaBar	3875.1 ^{+0.7.} -0.5±0.5 + i(3.0 ^{+1.9} -1.4±0.9)			
Z(3930)	Belle	3929±5±2 + i(29±10±2)	2++	D ⁰ D ⁰ , D ⁺ D ⁻	YY
Y(3940)	Belle	3943±11±13 + i(87±22±26)	J ^{P+}	ωJ/ψ	B decays
	BaBar	3914.3 ^{+3.8} -3.4 ±1.6+ i(33 ⁺¹² -8 ±0.60)			
X(3940)	Belle	3942 ⁺⁷ -6±6 + i(37 ⁺²⁶ -15±8)	J ^{P+}	DD*	e⁺e⁻ (recoil against J/ψ)
Y(4008)	Belle	4008±40 ⁺⁷² -28 + i(226±44 ⁺⁸⁷ -79)	1	π+π-J/ψ	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)
	BaBar	(not seen)			
Y(4140)	CDF	4143.0±2.9±1.2 + i(11.7 ^{+8.3} -5.0±3.7)	J ^{₽+}	φ J/ψ	ppbar
X(4160)	Belle	4156 ⁺²⁵ -20±15+ i(139 ⁺¹¹¹ -61±21)	J ^{p+}	D*D*	e⁺e⁻ (recoil against J/ψ)
Y(4260)	BaBar	4259±6 ⁺² -3 + i(105±18 ⁺⁴ -6)	1	π⁺π⁻J/ψ, π⁰π⁰J/ψ,	e+e- (ISR), e+e-
	Cleo	4284^{+17} -16 ±4 + i(73 ⁺³⁹ -25±5)		к+к-Ј∕ψ	
	Belle	4247±12 ⁺¹⁷ -32 + i(108±19±10)			
Y(4360)	BaBar	4324±24 + i(172±33)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)
	Belle	4361±9±9 + i(74±15±10)			
Y(4660)	Belle	4664±11±5 + i(48±15±3)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)

Estia Eichten

7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

- O Basic Questions:
 - Is it a new state?
 - What are its properties?: Mass, width, J^{PC}, decay modes

strong interactions, interplay of decay channels

- Charmonium state or not?
- If not what? New spectroscopy.
- O Options for new states:

– Four quark sta	ites -	E Braaten and T Kusunoki PRD 69 074005 (2004) C.Y. Wong PRC 69, 055202 (2004)
(Qar q)(qar Q)	Molecules	E.S. Swanson PLB 598,197 (2004) M.B. Voloshin PLB 579, 316 (2004) F. Close and P. Page PLB 578 119 (2004)
(Qq)(ar qar Q)	Diquark-Antidiquark	X. Liu [arXiv:07084167] L. Maiani et.al. PRD 71,014028 (2005) T-W Chiu and T H. Hsieh PBD 73, 111503 (2006)
$(Qar{Q})(ar{q}q)$	Hadro-charmonium	D. Ebert et.al. PLB 634, 214 (2006) S. Dubynski et al PLB 666,344 (2008)
– Hybrids – Exci	ting the gluonic degrees of	freedom:
valance glud	ons, string	 F. E. Close and P.R. Page PLB 628, 215 (2005) E. Kou and O. Pene PLB 631, 164 (2005) S.L. Zhu PLB 625, 212 (2005)

- Strong threshold effects:

Y. S. Kalashnikova PR D72, 034010 (2005) E.van Beveren G. Rupp [arXiv:0811.1755v1]

N.A. Tornqvist PLB 590, 209 (2004)

Estia Eichten

7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

Hybrid States and Lattice QCD

• Heavy quark limit: Born-Oppenheimer approximation

$$-\frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{d^2u(r)}{dr^2} + \left\{\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{L}_{Q\bar{Q}}^2\rangle}{2\mu r^2} + V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r)\right\}u(r) = E \ u(r) \qquad \qquad \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\vec{r}) = \frac{u_{nl}(r)}{r} \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$$

Spectroscopic notation of diatomic molecules

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S}, \quad \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{s}_{Q} + \boldsymbol{s}_{\bar{Q}}, \quad \boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{L}_{Q\bar{Q}} + \boldsymbol{J}_{g}$$

$$\langle L_r J_{gr} \rangle = \langle J_{gr}^2 \rangle = \Lambda^2 \qquad \langle L_{Q\bar{Q}}^2 \rangle = L(L+1) - 2\Lambda^2 + \langle J_g^2 \rangle. \qquad \langle J_g^2 \rangle = 0, 2, 6, \dots$$

 $\Lambda = 0, 1, 2, ...$ denoted Σ, Π, Δ, ... naively 0, 1, 2, ... valence gluons

$$P = \varepsilon (-1)^{L+\Lambda+1}, \qquad C = \eta \varepsilon (-1)^{L+S+\Lambda}.$$

 η = ±1 (symmetry under combined charge conjugation and spatial inversion) denoted g(+1) or u(-1)

$$|LSJM;\lambda\eta\rangle + \varepsilon |LSJM;-\lambda\eta\rangle$$

with
$$\varepsilon = +1$$
 for Σ^+ and $\varepsilon = -1$ for Σ^- both signs for $\Lambda > 0$.

$V_{QQ}(r)$ determined by direct lattice calculations

Operators for excited gluon states

TABLE I: Operators to create excited gluon states for small $q\bar{q}$ separation R are listed. **E** and **B** denote the electric and magnetic operators, respectively. The covariant derivative **D** is defined in the adjoint representation [10].

gluon state	J	operator
$\Sigma_{g}^{+\prime}$	1	$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{R} \cdot (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B})$
Π_g	1	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B})$
Σ_u^-	1	$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R} \cdot (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E})$
Π_u	1	$\mathbf{R} imes \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R} imes (\mathbf{D} imes \mathbf{E})$
Σ_g^-	2	$(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{D})(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{B})$
Π'_{g}	2	$\mathbf{R} imes ((\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{B}))$
Δ_g	2	$(\mathbf{R} imes \mathbf{D})^i (\mathbf{R} imes \mathbf{B})^j + (\mathbf{R} imes \mathbf{D})^j (\mathbf{R} imes \mathbf{B})^i$
Σ_u^+	2	$(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{D})(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{E})$
Π'_u	2	$\mathbf{R} imes ((\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{E}))$
Δ_u	2	$(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{D})^i (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{E})^j + (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{D})^j (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{E})^i$

K.J. Juge, J. Kuti and C. Morningstar [PRL 90, 161601 (2003)]



FIG. 2: Short-distance degeneracies and crossover in the spectrum. The solid curves are only shown for visualization. The dashed line marks a lower bound for the onset of mixing effects with glueball states which requires careful interpretation.

Low-Lying Spectrum

- No evidence for exotic J^{PC} in new Onia states.
- Mainly interested in non-exotics: $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}, 0^{++}, 1^{--}, 1^{++}, 1^{+-}, ...$

 $L_{QQ}(L_{QQ} + 1) = L(L+1) - 2\Lambda^{2} + J_{g}(J_{g}+1); \quad P = \epsilon(-1)^{L+\Lambda+1}; \quad C = \epsilon \eta(-1)^{L+S+\Lambda}$

$$\begin{split} & \Lambda = 0 \qquad \Sigma \text{ states} \\ & L = 0 \implies P = \epsilon(-1) \quad C = \epsilon \eta(-1)^{S} \\ & L_{QQ} = J_{g} \qquad (S=0) \qquad J^{PC} = 0^{-+} : \quad \Sigma^{+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{'+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \dots \ (J_{g}=0, 1, 2, ..) \\ & J^{PC} = 0^{++} : \quad \Sigma^{-}_{u}, \ \Sigma^{'-}_{u}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{u}, \dots \ (J_{g}=1, 2, ..) \\ & J^{PC} = 1^{+-} : \quad \Sigma^{+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{'+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \dots \ (J_{g}=0, 1, 2, ..) \\ & J^{PC} = 1^{--} : \quad \Sigma^{+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{'+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \dots \ (J_{g}=0, 1, 2, ..) \\ & L = 1 \implies P = \epsilon(+1) \quad C = \epsilon \eta(-1)^{S+1} \\ & L_{QQ} = 1, \ J_{g} = 0 \qquad (S=0) \qquad J^{PC} = 1^{+-} : \ \Sigma^{+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \ U = 2 \implies P = \epsilon(-1) \quad C = \epsilon \eta(-1)^{S} \\ & L_{QQ} = 2, \ J_{g} = 0 \qquad (S=1) \qquad J^{PC} = 1^{+-} : \ \Sigma^{-}_{u}, \ \Sigma^{''-}_{u} \\ & L_{QQ} = 3, \ J_{g} = 2 \qquad J^{PC} = 1^{--}, \ 1^{++} : \ \Sigma^{+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''+}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''-}_{g}, \ \Sigma^{''-}_{g} \end{split}$$

Low-Lying Spectrum

• Need Hybrid potentials for: Σ'^+_{g} , Σ_{-g}^- , Π_{g} , Σ^+_{u} , Π_{u} , Δ_{g}

Estia Eichten

 $V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r)$

Long distance: String $\sigma r + \pi N/r$ NG string behavour



Short distance: Perturbative QCD, pNRQCD singlet: -4/3 α_s/r octet : 1/6 α_s/r gluelumps



For cc and bb systems neither is adequate. Need to combine behaviour with lattice calculations in the region [0.25 fm < R < 2 fm]

Long distance (R > 2 fm)

Solution for the energy spectrum of the Nambu-Goto (NG) string action

$$V_n(R) = \sigma R \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\pi}{\sigma R^2} (n - \frac{1}{24} (d - 2))}$$

O. Alvarez, Phys. Rev. D24, 440 (1981).J. F. Arvis, Phys. Lett. B127, 106 (1983).

n is string excitation mode -- consistent in d = 26 dimensions

The leading correction for large R is expected to be universal.

$$d = 4$$
 J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1681 (1991)
M. Luscher and P. Weisz, JHEP 07, 049 (2002),

$$V_n(R) = \sigma R + \frac{\pi}{R}(n - \frac{1}{12}) + \dots$$

 $= 0 R + R^{(n)} + 12^{(n)} + \dots$

This behavior is confirmed by lattice calculations in (d=3,4) and (SU(2),SU(3))

G. S. Bali, Phys. Rept. 343, 1 (2001)
C. Bernard et al. (MILC), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 119, 598 (2003)
K. J. Juge, J. Kuti and C. J. Morningstar, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 63, 326 (1998)
K. J. Juge, J. Kuti and C. Morningstar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 161601 (2003).

Thus the excitation spectrum of hybrids at large R is determined completely the behavior of the ground state system (the usual quarkonium potential) $\sqrt{\sigma} \approx 430$ MeV $\rightarrow E_n - E_{n-1} \approx 210$ MeV (at R = 3 fm)

Estia Eichten

May 19, 2010

Short distance (R < 0.25 fm)

The Q Qbar Singlet(S) and Octet(O) potentials have been calculated in pNRQCD:

$$V^{[S,O]}(R) = \left[-C_F, \left(\frac{C_A}{2} - C_F\right)\right] \frac{4\pi\alpha_s(R)}{R}$$
$$\times \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{[S,O]} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(R)}{4\pi}\right]^n\right)$$

[Fischler:1977] [Billoire:1979]. $a_1^S = a_1^O = \frac{31}{9}C_A - \frac{10}{9}n_l + 2\gamma_E\beta_0$

The two-loop coefficient, a_2^S , has been found more recently [Peter:1997][Schroder:1998] [Kniehl:2001] In this order $a_2^O \neq a_2^S$ differ and it is known The non-logarithmic third-order term, a_3 , is still unknown

The leading behavior has the usual QCD coulomb coefficients: -4/3 (S); +1/6 (O)

Short distance (R < 0.25 fm)

5

4

3

2

The short distance behavior of pNRQCD is confirmed by lattice studies of hybrid potentials and the relation to gluelumps is computed.

G. S. Bali and A. Pineda, Phys. Rev. D 69, 094001 $\left(2004\right)$



Figure 12: Splitting between the Π_u and the Σ_g^+ potentials and the comparison with Eq. (65) for $\nu = \nu_i$ [see Eq. (16)] at $\nu_f = 2.5 r_0^{-1}$. $r_0[(V_{o,\text{RS}} - V_{s,\text{RS}})(r) + \Lambda_B^{\text{RS}}]$ is plotted at tree level (dashed line), one-loop (dashed-dotted line), two loops (dotted line) and three loops (estimate) plus the leading single ultrasoft log (solid line).

The corrections of order R² split the gluelump degeneracies: Roughly speaking V(R) = 1/6 $\alpha(R)/R + C_0(gluelump state) + C_2(R)R^2 + ...$

A. Pineda [hep-lat/0702019]

0.2

0

0.4

0.6

0.8

r/r₀

1

1.2 1.4

• Putting the ends together • Toy model - minimal parameters $V_n(R) = \frac{\alpha_s}{6R} + \sigma R \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\pi}{\sigma R^2}(n(R) - \frac{1}{24}(d-2))} + V_0 \quad (n > 0)$ $V_{\Sigma_g^+}(R) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3R} + \sigma R + V_0 \quad (n = 0)$

Fixes Mc = 1.84 GeV,
$$\sqrt{\sigma}$$
 = .427 GeV, α_s = 0.39

$$n(R) = [n]$$
 (string level) if no level crossing
[n - 2 tanh(R₀/R)] for Σ^{-}_{u} potential (n=3)



FIG. 2: Short-distance degeneracies and crossover in the spectrum. The solid curves are only shown for visualization. The dashed line marks a lower bound for the onset of mixing effects with glueball states which requires careful interpretation.



Comparing this model (dashed lines) to the parameterization of The fits to Juge, Kuti and Morningstar lattice results (thanks to Juge) (solid lines) one finds fairly good agreement in the region (0.25 fm < R < 2 fm)

Only interested in states below 4.8 GeV for cc system. Unlikely higher states will be narrow (DD, glueball+J/ ψ , etc)



• Only Π_u , Σ_u^- , and Σ_g^+ systems have sufficiently light states.

٠

Spectrum of Low-Lying Hybrid States

• Π_u (15) m = 4.132 GeV Π_u (25) m = 4.465 GeV $J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 0^{--}, 1^{+-}, 1^{-+}$ Π_u (1P) m = 4.445 GeV Π_u (2P) m = 4.773 GeV $J^{PC} = 1^{--}, 1^{++}, 0^{-+}, 0^{+-}, 1^{+-}, 2^{+-}, 2^{-+}$



- $\Sigma_g + (1S) = 4.547 \, \text{GeV} \, J^{PC} = 0^{-+}, 1^{--}$
- The Π_u (1P), Π_u (2P) and Σ_g "(1S) have 1⁻⁻ states with spacing seen in the Y(4260) system
- Σ_u (15) m = 4.292 GeV Σ_u (1P) m = 4.537 GeV Σ_u (25) m = 4.772 GeV
- Numerous states with C=+ in the 4.2 GeV region.

- The spectrum of bottomonium hybrids is completely predicted as well
- + For the Π_{u} states

(cc)	L	n	mass(GeV)	(bb)	L	n	mass(GeV)
	0	1	4.132580		0	1	10.783900
	0	2	4.454556		0	2	10.982855
	0	3	4.752947		0	3	11.172408
	0	4	5.032962		0	4	11.353469
	0	5	5.298250		0	5	11.527274
	0	6	5.551412		0	6	11.694851
	1	1	4.293717		0	7	11.856977
V	1	2	4.604123		0	8	12.014256
	1	3	4.893249	\checkmark	1	1	10.877928
	1	4	5,165793		1	2	11.073672
	1	5	5 424925		1	3	11.259766
	2	1	4 454768		1	4	11.437735
	2	2	1 753368		1	5	11.608810
	2	2	5 033384		1	6	11.773931
	Z	5	5.055504		1	7	11.933823
					2	1	10.976071
					2	2	11.167070
					2	3	11.349124
					2	4	11.523652
					2	5	11.691737
					2	6	11.854216

Hybrid Decays and Hadronic Transitions

• Information from hadronic transitions might be used to estimate decay rates for a hybrid 1⁻⁻ state (H) to a (QQ) state (ψ (nS)) + light



• Branching ratios: BR(H-> $\psi' + \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$)/BR(H->J/ $\psi + \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$) calculable.

• Mixing between (QQ) states and hybrid (QQg) states can be calculated using Lattice QCD.

hadrons.

Summary

- The wealth of precision data brings the QCDME approach for hadronic transitions into sharp focus.
- Although there are many successes for the Kuang-Yan model. Some puzzling issues remain:
 - $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \Upsilon(mS) + 2\pi$ transitions for (n,m)=(3,1);(4,2);(5,m)
 - $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi + \eta$; $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \Upsilon(mS) + \eta$ transitions
 - New states and possibly a new spectroscopy: X(3872),
 X(4008), Y(4140), Y(4160), Y(4350), Y(4260), Y(4360), Y(4660),
 Z⁺(4430), ...
- The hybrid potential approach looks promising:
 - The states in the 4160 region with C=+ may contain hybrid states.
 - The Y(4260) and related 1⁻⁻ new states. Hybrid states?
 - For any XYZ state that is a hybrid, its decays to quarkonium states may be related to the standard hadronic transitions.

Outlook

• Improvements for hybrid spectrum

- Can include spin dependent corrections using results from lattice and pNRQCD.
- Understand the level crossover behavior in QCD.
- New states with exotic quantum numbers are expected. Masses determined relative to non exotic hybrid spectrum..
- Directly apply results to the bottomium system. No new parameters.
- Disentangle the relation to unexpectedly large hadronic transition rates: $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) + 2\pi$ (n=1,2,3); etc

• Future prospects

- NRQCD and HQET allows scaling from c to b systems. This will eventually provide critical tests of our understanding of hadronic transitions..
- Lattice calculations will provide insight into theoretical issues.
- Answers in many cases will require the next generation of heavy flavor experiments BES III, LHCb and Super-B factories.

Backup Slides

Υ(35) -> Υ(15) + ππ





7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

May 19, 2010

Detailed study

$$\mathcal{M} = S(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) + D_1 \ell_{\mu\nu} \frac{P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{P^2} (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) + D_2 q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} + D_3 \ell_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} .$$
Voloshin [PR D74:054022(2006)]
S-wave

$$S(\psi_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{1}) =$$

$$-\frac{4\pi^{2}}{b}\alpha_{0}^{(12)}\left[(1-\chi_{M})(q^{2}+m^{2}) - (1+\chi_{M})\kappa\left(1+\frac{2m^{2}}{q^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{(q\cdot P)^{2}}{P^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}q^{2}\right)\right](\psi_{1}\cdot\psi_{2}), \qquad P_{\mu} = M_{A}\delta_{\mu}^{0}$$

$$r_{\mu} = (k_{1\mu} - k_{2\mu})$$
and three D-waves

and three D-waves

$$D_{1}(\psi_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{1}) = -\frac{4\pi^{2}}{b} \alpha_{0}^{(12)} (1 + \chi_{M}) \frac{3\kappa}{2} \frac{\ell_{\mu\nu}P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{P^{2}} (\psi_{1} \cdot \psi_{2}) , \qquad \text{spin independent}$$

$$D_{2}(\psi_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{1}) = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{b} \alpha_{0}^{(12)} \left(\chi_{2} + \frac{3}{2}\chi_{M}\right) \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2m^{2}}{q^{2}}\right) q_{\mu}q_{\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu}$$

$$D_{3}(\psi_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{1}) = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{b} \alpha_{0}^{(12)} \left(\chi_{2} + \frac{3}{2}\chi_{M}\right) \frac{3\kappa}{4} \ell_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{spin dependent}$$

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\mu\nu} &= \psi_1^{\mu} \psi_2^{\nu} + \psi_1^{\nu} \psi_2^{\mu} - (2/3) \left(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2 \right) \left(P^{\mu} P^{\nu} / P^2 - g^{\mu\nu} \right) \\ \ell_{\mu\nu} &= r_{\mu} r_{\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{4m^2}{q^2} \right) \left(q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \right) \\ \chi_M &= \frac{\alpha_M}{\alpha_0} , \quad \chi_2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0} \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{v}^2) \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{v}^2) \\ \end{split}$$

If <M1-M1> term significant, expect noticeable presence of D2 and D3 in Y(3S) ->Y + $\pi\pi$

Estia Eichten

 $M = \mathbf{A}(\varepsilon' \cdot \varepsilon)(q^2 - 2m_{\pi}^2) + \mathbf{B}(\varepsilon' \cdot \varepsilon)E_1E_2 + \mathbf{C}[(\varepsilon' \cdot q_1)(\varepsilon \cdot q_2) + (\varepsilon' \cdot q_2)(\varepsilon \cdot q_1)]$

- Hindered M1-M1 term => C≈0.
 Consistent with CLEO results.
- Small D-wave contributions
- Useful to look at polarization info.
 Dubynskiy & Voloshin [hep-ph/0707.1272]

(

Fit, No \mathcal{C}			stat.	effcy. (π^{\pm})	effcy. (π^0)	bg. sub.
$r(2 \approx Or(1 S) \pi \pi)$	$\Re(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	-2.523	± 0.031	± 0.019	± 0.011	± 0.001
1(35) - 01(15) / / /	$\Im(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	± 1.189	± 0.051	± 0.026	± 0.018	± 0.015
$\Upsilon(2S) \longrightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi \pi$	$\Re(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	-0.753	± 0.064	± 0.059	± 0.035	± 0.112
$1(2D) \rightarrow 1(1D) / / /$	$\Im(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	0.000	± 0.108	± 0.036	± 0.012	± 0.001
$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) = \pi$	$\Re(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	-0.395	± 0.295		± 0.025	± 0.120
$1(3S) \rightarrow 1(2S)\%\%$	$\Im(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A})$	± 0.001	± 1.053		± 0.180	± 0.001
Fit, float \mathcal{C}			stat.	effcy. (π^{\pm})	effcy. (π^0)	bg. sub.
$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi \pi$	$ \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A} $	2.89	± 0.11	± 0.19	± 0.11	± 0.027
$A \gg B$	$ \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A} $	0.45	± 0.18	± 0.28	± 0.20	± 0.093





Estia Eichten

7th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia: Fermilab

May 19, 2010

• Only observed effects at R < 2.0 fm in $\alpha_s(R)$ running and a slight slope change.



C. Bernard, et al.; (MILC) NP Proc. Suppl. 119, 5 $98(2003)~[{\rm hep}-{\rm lat}/0209051]$

E1-E1

Model results:

 $M(Σ_g^{+'}(1P)) \approx 4.55 (c\overline{c})$ 10.80 (bb)

Full overlap calculations gives:

 $\mathcal{F}(\text{full}) = \sum_{n} \langle i | r | X(n) \rangle \langle X(n) | r | f \rangle \frac{E_i - E_{X(0)}}{E_i - E_{X(n)}}$

Transition	$ \mathcal{F} (\text{full})$
	(GeV^{-2})
$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi$	3.82
$\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	1.37
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	1.33×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)$	3.70
$\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	1.17×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(2S)$	2.71×10^{-1}

$\Sigma_{g}^{+'}(nP)$	(M(n) - M(n-1))	< r >	$< v^2 >$
n	(MeV)	(fm)	
$c\bar{c} 1$	-	0.85	0.37
2	360	1.20	0.74
$b\overline{b}$ 1	_	0.45	0.09
2	300	0.64	0.18
3	265	0.80	0.25
4	240	0.96	0.31
5	225	1.09	0.37

	$<\Sigma_g^{+'}(mP) r \Upsilon(nS)>(\mathrm{GeV}^{-1})$				
n	m = 1	m = 2	m = 3	m = 4	m = 5
1	0.874	0.460	0.283	0.196	0.142
2	-2.12	0.871	0.481	0.291	0.196
3	0.811	-3.14	0.99	0.531	0.314
4	0.082	1.23	-3.98	1.14	0.585

If leading <E1-E1> suppressed, can the <M1-M1> significant?

BUT – In addition to the suppression of the M1–M1 term by <v²> relative to the dominate E1–E1 term: wit Radial overlap amplitude:

$$\sum_{n,l} \frac{\langle f|\Psi_{nl}\rangle \rangle \langle \Psi_{nl}|i\rangle}{E_i - E_{X(nl)}}$$

Again below lowest intermediate state threshold

$$\sum_{nl} \frac{|\Psi_{nl}\rangle \langle \Psi_{nl}|}{E_i - E_{nl}} \sim \frac{1}{E_i - E_{\text{string}}^{\text{TH}}} + \cdots$$

In this limit the overlap vanishes since $\langle f|i \rangle = 0$ (i $\neq f$)

A complete calculation yields:

Transition	$ \mathcal{F} (\text{full})$
	(GeV^{-2})
$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi$	1.81×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	3.04×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	1.70×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)$	1.74×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(1S)$	1.06×10^{-1}
$\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(2S)$	0.92×10^{-1}

The M1-M1 term is highly suppressed !

	$< \Pi_u^+(mP) r \Upsilon(nS) > (\text{GeV}^{-1})$				
n	m = 1	m = 2	m = 3	m = 4	m = 5
1	0.705	0.470	0.346	0.274	0.226
2	-0.851	0.358	0.306	0.239	0.200
3	: 0.027	-0.934	0.263	0.254	0.199
4	-0.006	0.024	-0.968	0.220	0.227

with the hybrid states

$$\Psi_{nl} = \Pi_u^+(nP)$$

Estia Eichten

Transition Ratio	Belle
R(2,1)	$1.47 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.20$
R(3,1)	$0.91 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.15$

$$R(n,m) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \to \pi^+\pi^- + \Upsilon(nS))}{\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \to \pi^+\pi^- + \Upsilon(mS))}$$

phase space (GeV⁻⁷)

$$\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \to \pi^+ \pi^- + \Upsilon(nS)) \propto G(n) |f(n)|^2$$

$$\text{with } f(n) = \sum_l \frac{\langle \Upsilon(5S) | r | \Sigma_g^{+'}(lP) \rangle \langle \Sigma_g^{+'}(lP) | r | \Upsilon(nS) \rangle}{M_{\Upsilon(5S)} - E_l(\Sigma) + i\Gamma_l(\Sigma)} |^2 \quad G(n) = 28.7, \ 0.729, \ 1.33 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$\text{for } n = 1, 2, 3$$

theory - hadronic transition rates

- If lowest hybrid mass near Y(5S) a few states dominate sum. Results sensitive to mass value.
- If hybrid mass 10.75 + i(0.1) (GeV), obtain R(2,1)≈1.1 and R(3,1)≈0.08.
- Overall scale of transitions nearly two orders of magnitude larger than low-lying transitions.