S-wave π Exchange And Its Implications for Quarkonium Spectroscopy





Clark Downum (Barcelona)

Christopher Thomas (JLab)/Frank Close(Oxford)

Outline

- Review of Charmonium Spectra
- General Phenomenon of S-wave π Exchange
- Application to the D₁D* System (1⁻, I=0)
 - Close, CD, Thomas PRD 81 074033 (2010)
- Generalization to Other Sectors
- Experimental Signature
- Theoretical Uncertainties
 - Filin, *et al.* arXiv:1004.4789
- Summary

States of Interest

- Visha Bhardwaj -- Recent Results on X(3872) at Belle
- Estia Eichten -- Hadronic Transitions in Quarkonium
- Kai Yi -- Quarkonium Spectroscopy Results at CDF
- Kenkichi Miyabayashi -- Other Charmonium and cc-like States at Belle
- Arafat Gabareen Mokhtar -- Babar update on new charmonia in B decays
- Cristoph Hanhart -- Hadron molecules
- Mikhail Voloshin -- Hadroquarkonium
- Chiara Sabelli -- Tetraquarks
- Pierre Artoisenet -- Production of the X(3872) at the Tevatron and the LHC

There are Many Anomalous States Godfrey Proceedings of DPF-2009



Unique Opportunity to Study QCD Dynamics

- QCD is very challenging and difficult
- The naïve quark model (qq) picture of mesons basically worked...
 - Particularly the charm spectrum seemed well understood
- However, many non qq states are possible and "predicted"
- Unambiguous identification of these particles will give critical tests of our models.



- Familiar from NN Application (Yukawa)
- By Analogy Törnqvist suggested "Deusons"
- Like the NN case Binding Energies were of order 1 MeV
- Discovery of a possible deuson X(3872) led to a great deal of interest in π-bound meson molecules (and other meson exchanges).

P-wave π Emission From Parity Conservation



S-wave π Emission



S-wave π Emission (Cont.)

Chrial Perturbation Theory Model

$$\Gamma(D_1^0 \to D^{*+} \pi^-) = \frac{h^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \frac{|\mathbf{q}| m_{D^*}}{m_{D_1}^3} (m_{D_1}^2 - m_{D^*}^2)^2 \times \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \frac{(m_{D_1} + m_{D^*})^2}{4m_{D_1}^2 m_{D^*}^2} \right)^2$$

Quark-
$$\pi$$
 Coupling
 $\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\mapsto\sigma\cdot\left(\mathbf{q}+\frac{\omega}{m}\mathbf{p}\right)$

³P₀ Model
$$H_{3}P_{0} = \gamma \sigma. \vec{p} |q\bar{q}\rangle \langle 0|$$

Empirical Support PDG Live 2009

| P = + Meson | $\Gamma[MeV]$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| $D_1(2430)$ | 384^{+130}_{-110} |
| $D_0^*(2400)^0$ | 261 ± 50 |
| $D_0^*(2400)^{\pm}$ | 283 ± 40 |
| $K_1(1400)^{\pm}$ | 174 ± 13 |
| $K_0(1430)^{\pm}$ | 270 ± 80 |
| $a_1(1260)$ | 250 to 600 |
| $b_1(1235)$ | 142 ± 9 |

D₁D* Study

Liu *et. al.* PRD77,034003(2008) $- Z(4430)^+$ as a D₁D* bound state \rightarrow Isovector

$$V(r) = \frac{h^2 q_0^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \frac{\cos(\mu r)}{r} \approx \frac{h^2 (m_{D_1} - m_{D^*})^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \frac{\cos(\mu r)}{r}$$
$$\mu^2 = q_0^2 - m_\pi^2 \approx (m_{D_1} - m_{D^*})^2 - m_\pi^2$$

$$\psi(r) = (1 - \alpha r^2)e^{-\beta r^2}$$

Conclusion: No Binding

$$\begin{split} D_1 D^* \operatorname{Study} (\operatorname{Cont.}) \\ \Rightarrow V(r) &= -3 \frac{h^2 (m_{D_1} - m_{D^*})^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \frac{\cos(\mu r)}{r} \\ \psi(r) &= (1 - \alpha r^2) e^{-\beta r^2} \end{split}$$

Conclusion: No Reason to Expect Isovector Binding Robust Isoscalar Binding

D_1D^* Study (Cont.) – χ Coupling

$$\Gamma(D_1^0 \to D^{*+} \pi^-) = \frac{h^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \frac{|\mathbf{q}| m_{D^*}}{m_{D_1}^3} (m_{D_1}^2 - m_{D^*}^2)^2 \times \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \frac{(m_{D_1} + m_{D^*})^2}{4m_{D_1}^2 m_{D^*}^2} \right)$$

$$\Gamma(D_1^0 \to D^* \pi^-) = \frac{2}{3} \Gamma(D_1 \to D^* \pi) \approx \frac{2}{3} \Gamma(D_1) = \frac{2}{3} 384^{+130}_{-110} \text{ MeV}$$

$$h = 0.80^{+0.20}_{-0.17}$$

$$h \mapsto h\left(1 - \frac{2}{9}\frac{q^2}{\beta^2}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{p^2}{12\beta^2}\right\}$$

$$h = 1.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$$

D₁D* Study (Cont.) – Schrödinger Eq.

$$\Rightarrow V(r) = -3 \frac{h^2 (m_{D_1} - m_{D^*})^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \frac{\cos(\mu r)}{r}$$

| | Binding Energy / MeV | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| State | h = 0.8 | h = 0.9 | h = 1.0 | h = 1.1 | h = 1.2 | h = 1.3 |
| $1S(0,1,2)^{}$ | 230 | 415 | 680 | $1\overline{0}00$ | 1500 | 2100 |
| 2S | 12 | 20 | 29 | 39 | 76 | 210 |
| 3S | 1.5 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 11 | 51 | 65 |
| $1P(0,1,2,3)^{+-}$ | 8.2 | 16 | 25 | 35 | 120 | 260 |
| 2P | 1 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 22 | 48 | 61 |
| 3P | _ | 1 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 15 | 20 |
| 1D $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)^{}$ | 1.4 | 8.3 | 17 | 28 | 40 | 54 |
| 2D | — | 1.3 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 13 | 18 |
| 3D | _ | _ | 1 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 7.8 |

D_1D^* Study (Cont.) – The Potential



 r_{ms} [fm] = 0.6(1S); 3(2S); 6.5(3S)



D₁D* Study (Cont.) – Parameter Flexibility



$D_1 D^*$ Study (Cont.) – More Sensible Results ($\Lambda = 1$ GeV)

| | Binding Energy / MeV | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| State | h = 0.8 | h = 0.9 | h = 1.0 | h = 1.1 | h = 1.2 | h = 1.3 |
| $1S(0,1,2)^{}$ | 12 | 20 | 29 | 60 | 106 | 160 |
| 2S | 1.5 | 3.6 | 23 | 39 | 51 | 65 |
| 3S | | | 6.7 | 11 | 15 | 21 |
| $1P(0, 1, 2, 3)^{+-}$ | 8.2 | 16 | 25 | 35 | 48 | 61 |
| 2P | 1 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 15 | 20 |
| 3P | — | | 1.5 | 3.3 | 6 | 8.6 |
| $1D(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)^{}$ | 1.4 | 8.3 | 17 | 28 | 40 | 54 |
| 2D | — | 1.3 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 13 | 18 |
| 3D | _ | _ | 1 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 7.8 |

D₁D* Study (Cont.) – Tune to Fit Y(4260) and Y(4360)



D_1D^* Study (Cont.) – Generalize (Other C/I Channels; Λ = 1 GeV)

| | | Binding Energy / MeV | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| State | Isospin | h = 0.8 | h = 0.9 | h = 1.0 | h = 1.1 | h = 1.2 | h = 1.3 | |
| $1S (0, 1, 2)^{}$ | 0 | 12 | 20 | 29 | 60 | 110 | 160 | |
| 2S | | 1.6 | 3.6 | 23 | 39 | 57 | 65 | |
| 3S | | _ | 0.6 | 6.7 | 11 | 15 | 21 | |
| $1S (0, 1, 2)^{}$ | 1 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 38 | |
| 2S | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.8 | |
| 3S | | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.0 | 0.2 | |
| $1S (0, 1, 2)^{-+}$ | 0 | 47 | 67 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | |
| 2S | | 4.2 | 8.1 | 13 | 19 | 27 | 35 | |
| 3S | | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 10 | 14 | |
| $1S(0,1,2)^{-+}$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 8.9 | |
| 2S | | — | — | — | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | |

Theoretical Uncertainty

Pretend S-wave π is the only thing...

Make a static approximation to q_0

$$\mu^2 = q_0^2 - m_\pi^2 \approx (m_{D_1} - m_{D^*})^2 - m_\pi^2$$

Normal Yukawa Process...

$$V(q) \propto \frac{1}{q^2 + m^2 + i\epsilon} \to V(r) \propto \frac{e^{-mr}}{r}$$

Parity Changing π Exchange Prop...

$$V(q) \propto \frac{1}{q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \to V(r) \propto \frac{e^{-i|\mu|r}}{r} \propto \frac{\cos(|\mu|r) - i\sin(|\mu|r)}{r}$$

$$E_n \mapsto \tilde{E}_n + i\frac{\Gamma}{2}$$

Recent Developments Filin, *et al.* arXiv:1004.4789



Conclusion: Our States Do Not Exist

Experimental Searches



- A deeply bound D1D* system requires strength in the DD3 π channel.
- We recommended looking in Y(4260) and Y(4360) decays

Summary

- S-wave π Exchange as an interaction has general applicability (D₁D*, DD₀, B₁B*, K₁K*, K₀K, a₁ ρ , b₁ ω , etc...)
- Uncertainties of OBE Molecular Models are large \rightarrow Study patterns and unique decay channels.

 $-Y(4260) \rightarrow DD3\pi$

- Filin *et al*. find that the states are, at best, too broad to be observed. We should confirm.
- Is there a pair of mesons with suitable masses for S-wave π Exchange to work well?