Workshop on Radiation Effects in Superconducting Magnets and Materials 2014 (RESMM'14) at Wroclaw POLAND , from May 12 to May 15

# Radiation Transport Calculation for the In-Flight Fragment Separator of RISP



Rare Isotope Science Project(RISP) Institute for Basic Science(IBS) Mijung Kim





#### **Raon Accelerator Layout**

400





400

400

70 kW

Power on target(kW)

nstitu



#### **IF Separator Layout**



## **Model for PHITS Calculation**

descripti resource Bean Dunno Target 01 Q2 Q3 H1 on Primary®beam Dipole to Production Node[01-30] computing Target Collimator 30 node 400 <sup>Graphite shielding</sup> blocks Graphite CPU 2.9Ghz Tunastor (16core per 200 node) Memory 64G(4G per 0 core) 500 1000 0 1500 shared /home(84TB) filesystem TS. 200 **Calculation condition** void 0 Iron version: PHITS2.64 Copper Heavy ion: JAM/QMD+GEM Aluminum -200 x [cm] HŤS Hts Neutron(E>20MeV) LTS-13 :JAM/QMD+GEM -400Graphite •Neutron(E≤20MeV) Water :ENDF/B-VI, Titanium -600 Tungsten ENDF/B-VII. JENDL-3.3, JENDL-4.0 -800 500 1000 0 1500 HTS: High temperature superconducting 4/21 LTS : Low temperature superconducting z [cm]

#### Raon resource summary

## **HTS Coil specification & Design**



#### Basic specifications of HTS coil

- Operating temperature : ~ 50 K
- Operating current : Amount equivalent to the field gradient of 15 T/m (< critical current of HTS coil)
- Coils shape : Racetrack, Pancake
- Number of HTS coils : 1~4 single pancake (or, 1~2 double pancake)
- HTS wire : 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation HTS Tape (ReBCO coated conductor-

GdBCO was used for the PHITS calculation)

(width of tape = 12 mm)

- Electric insulation between turns : Metal insulation (stainless steel tape)

#### HTS wire

- Two candidates : SuperPower, SuNam

Number of HTS coils, Critical current and Operating current -Basic shape of HTS coil

- Cross-sectional dimension of single pancake = 36 mm X 12 mm (considering the acceptability in the yoke space)
- HTS tape : 12 mm width x 0.1 mm thickness
- Thickness of stainless steel insulator = 0.05 mm



## Iron Yoke Design

#### Shape of iron yoke

- 1. Magnetic field performance of magnet (Field gradient, uniformity...) → Dominantly depends on the shape of Iron Yoke
- 2. **Space** for thermal insulation, mechanical structures for coil support and GHe cooling channels.
  - $\rightarrow$  Size and shape of the space also affects the performance of magnet



## **Magnet Model for PHITS calculation**



## **Magnet Model for PHITS calculation**



9/21

## **Used Primary beams and conditions**

Primary beam	Projectile energy (MeV/u)	Graphite Target thickness (cm)	Beam intensity (pps)	wanted RI Frag.
<sup>16</sup> O	333	2.298	4.692x10 <sup>14</sup>	<sup>12</sup> N
<sup>48</sup> Ca	264	0.752	1.973x10 <sup>14</sup>	<sup>16</sup> C
<sup>86</sup> Kr	258	0.406	1.127x10 <sup>14</sup>	<sup>77</sup> Co
<sup>238</sup> U	200	0.136	5.252x10 <sup>13</sup>	<sup>132</sup> Sn
<sup>238</sup> U	400	0.374	2.626x10 <sup>13</sup>	<sup>132</sup> Sn

Target thickness, beam intensity, magnetic field were obtained from LISE++



## **Particles and Nuclei from the Target**



11/21

#### **Neutrons and Protons from the Target**





## Flux Distribution[1/cm<sup>2</sup>/second]









## Collimator aperture(<sup>16</sup>O beam for <sup>12</sup>N)





## **Dipole and Beam Dump area**

-400

-600

400

600

800

z [cm]

1000

1200



10 104 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup>

1400

15/21



## **Deposited Heat Distribution and Power Density**





Institute for Basic Science





## How to extract Peak power density of HTS coils ?

For 400 kW, 200MeV/u <sup>238</sup>U





averaging the beam energy in the mesh of 1 cm square in the transverse direction and of 1 cm in the beam direction

Peak power density calculation is ongoing with new design



## **Total Power Deposit[W]**

	_				_	Only for Colls			
Total Power Deposit[W]		coil+iron for : Q2 Q3 H1	at 400 kW → 333 MeV/u <sup>16</sup> O → 264 MeV/u <sup>48</sup> Ca → 258 MeV/u <sup>86</sup> Kr → 200 MeV/u <sup>238</sup> U → 400 MeV/u <sup>238</sup> U → 400 MeV/u <sup>238</sup> U → 100 MeV/u <sup>238</sup> U	H2 Resenting Resents	Total Power Deposit[W]	Long tot constructions 1000 1000 100 100 100 100 100			
	Beam ion	Target [kW]	Collimator [kW]	Coil [kW]	Iron [kW]	Beamdump (Ti+Water)[kW]	Target + Beamdump [kW]		
	<sup>16</sup> O	74.26	23.50	2.63	17.06	4.90+ 246.45	325.60		
	<sup>48</sup> Ca	75.05	8.65	0.61	13.73	16.21+228.36	319.62		
	<sup>86</sup> Kr	76.08	5.34	0.33	9.12	31.68+268.17	375.94		
	<sup>238</sup> U_200	86.07	2.52	0.35	3.15	119.85+185.13	391.05 1	9/21	
hS	<sup>238</sup> U_400	80.45	5.40	0.86	9.29	35.81+ 252.54	368.79	3	

## Absorbed Dose Rate[MGy/y]



# Summary

- Radiation Transport calculation was performed for the components of target and beam dump area of RISP IF separator system using PHITS code
- The production yields of particles and nuclei at the target and their flux distribution and heat deposition on the components are checked for the <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>86</sup>Kr, 200 MeV/u <sup>238</sup>U and 400 MeV/u <sup>238</sup>U beams
- Total power deposition for the each component was extracted
- Absorbed dose rate and HTS coil life time were obtained from the mean power density
  → The calculation of peak power density is ongoing.
- In target area, to protect first quadrupole triplet(Q1 ~ Q3) much stronger shielding is needed





# Thank you very much for your attention!



