LArSoft Implementation of Space Charge Effect: Update for DUNE 35-ton

Michael Mooney

BNL

LArSoft Coordination Meeting

August 25th, 2015

Introduction

- Tool exists to study space charge effect at the **MicroBooNE detector**
  - **SpaCE** – Space Charge Estimator
  - Study **simple problems** first in detail with dedicated simulations
  - Also performs calibration using MicroBooNE's UV laser system and cosmic muons (in progress)
  - LArSoft module exists to hold/access SCE offsets
  - Now: extend SCE simulation to **DUNE 35-ton detector**
- Outline:
  - Brief review of Space Charge Effect (SCE) and SpaCE
  - SCE at DUNE 35-ton detector
  - Updated LArSoft implementation **(focus for today)**

Space Charge Effect

- **Space charge**: excess electric **charge** (slow-moving ions) distributed over region of **space** due to cosmic muons passing through the liquid argon
  - Modifies E field in TPC, thus track/shower reconstruction
  - Effect scales with L^3, $E^{-1.7}$





$$\alpha = \frac{D}{E_0}\sqrt{\frac{K}{\epsilon\mu}}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{E}$$

No Drift!

SpaCE: Overview

- Code written in C++ with ROOT libraries
- Also makes use of external libraries (ALGLIB)
- Primary features:
  - Obtain E fields analytically (on 3D grid) via **Fourier series**
  - Use **interpolation** scheme (RBF – radial basis functions) to obtain E fields in between solution points on grid
  - Generate tracks in volume – line of uniformly-spaced points
  - Employ **ray-tracing** to "read out" reconstructed {x,y,z} point for each track point – RKF45 method
- First implemented effects of uniform space charge deposition without liquid argon flow (only linear space charge density)
  - Also can use **arbitrary space charge configuration**
    - Can model effects of liquid argon flow (but can we trust CFD simulations?)

Modified E field in 35-ton

$E_{nominal}$ = 500 V/cm

$E_{nominal}$ = 250 V/cm

E_X





cathode

anode

E_Y





Distortions in 35-ton

$E_{nominal}$ = 500 V/cm

$E_{nominal}$ = 250 V/cm

ΔX





cathode

anode

ΔY





Distortions in 35-ton (cont.)

ΔX (center in Y/Z)



anode cathode

ΔY (center in Z, X at cathode)



ΔZ (center in Y, X at cathode)



35-ton LArSoft Implementation

- Changes in LArSoft code to make work for DUNE 35-ton:
  - New parameters related to specific geometry of detector
    - Different coordinate transforms in larevt's **SpaceCharge** service for different detector geometries – modified "CoordinateType" in `dunetpc/lbne/SpaceCharge/spacecharge_lbne35t.fcl`
  - New ionization electron displacement (distortion) maps
    - Generated by **SpaCE code suite**
    - Using parametrization with polynomials for distortions
- DUNE 35-ton setup also requires additional features:
  - Storage of several maps to allow for scan over different HV values
    - Use different input files (250-500 V/cm), store in dedicated area
  - Ability to account for multiple TPC's
    - 35-ton has **eight** – see next slide

35-ton Geometry and SCE

- 35-ton has four APA's, each of which are split into two TPC's corresponding to the two sides of the APA's (see top)
  - APA's are of different sizes (see bottom)
  - Two drift lengths (different sides) per APA: **225 cm** and **27.5 cm**
- Current implementation:
  - Only simulate space charge effect for TPC's with **longer drift length**
    - L^3 dependence of offsets means difference of **~500** in magnitude
    - For now use hard cut on TPCGeo DriftDistance of **50 cm** to exclude short TPC's (LArVoxelReadout.cxx)
  - Use **one** map for other four TPC's
    - APA gaps affect results minimally



APA Organization



Explicit LArSoft Modifications

- Make following changes to LArSoft repositories:
  - **`larevt`**
    - Modify `SpaceCharge/SpaceCharge_service.cc` (and `SpaceCharge.h`) to make use of new coordinate transforms specific to DUNE 35-ton (transform to SCE map coordinates)
    - Add "CoordinateType" FHICL parameter ("1" for MicroBooNE coordinates, "2" for DUNE 35-ton coordinates)
  - **`larsim`**
    - Modify `LArG4/LArVoxelReadout.cxx` to only apply SCE offsets if TPCGeo.DriftDistance() > 50 cm
  - **`dunetpc`**
    - Add `lbne/SpaceCharge/spacecharge_lbne35.fcl`
    - Modify `lbne/Utilities/services_lbne.fcl`

LArSoft Validation

No SCE
(500 V/cm)

30X SCE
(500 V/cm)





APA 0

LArSoft Validation (cont.)

1X SCE (500 V/cm)

Note: time axis for 500 V/cm

1X SCE (250 V/cm)



APA 0

Summary

- **SpaCE** – use to study space charge effect and produce SCE distortions throughout a TPC
  - Stand-alone C++ code with ROOT/ALGLIB libraries
- Incorporated simulations into **LArSoft**, which have now been extended to 35-ton
  - Multiple drift E fields supported (250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 500 V/cm)
  - Excludes drift volumes with especially short maximal drift length (hard cut at **50 cm**) – for DUNE 35-ton, this means four out of eight TPC's are excluded
  - See **feature/mrmooney_spacechargeupdate**
    - Packages: `larsim`, `larevt`, `dunetpc`
- Very simple to turn on SCE in your FHICL file (same as before):
  - **services.user.LArG4Parameters.EnableSCE = true**

BACKUP SLIDES

Impact on E Field

- Visualization of impact on E field (Bo Yu's Maxwell-2D studies)
- Assumptions:
  - Constant charge deposition rate throughout detector
  - No liquid argon flow – **serious complication**

Drift Direction



Lateral Directions



B. Yu

Impact on Track Reco.

- Two separate effects on reconstructed **tracks**:
  - (A) Reconstructed track shortens laterally (looks rotated)
  - (B) Reconstructed track bows toward cathode (greater effect near center of detector)
- Can obtain straight track (or multiple-scattering track) by applying corrections derived from data-driven calibration



Compare to FE Results: E_x

- Looking at central z slice (z = 5 m) in x-y plane
- Very good shape agreement compared toBo's 2D FE (Finite Element) studies
- Normalization differences understood (using different rate)





Compare to FE Results: E_y

- Looking at central z slice (z = 5 m) in x-y plane
- Very good shape agreement here as well
  - Parity flip due to difference in definition of coordinate system





E Field Interpolation

- Compare 30 x 30 x 120 field calculation (left) to 15 x 15 x 60 field calculation with interpolation (right)
- Include analytical continuation of solution points **beyond** boundaries in model – improves performance near edges

E_x Before Interp-olation





E_x After Interp-olation

Ray-Tracing

- Example: track placed at **x = 1 m** (anode at x = 2.5 m)
  - z = 5 m, y = [0,2.5] m





Sample “Cosmic Event”

Nominal Drift Field

500 V/cm





Half Drift Field

250 V/cm

Complications

- Not accounting for non-uniform charge deposition rate in detector → significant modification?
- Flow of liquid argon → likely significant effect!
  - Previous flow studies in 2D… differences in 3D?
  - Time dependencies?

No Flow | **Flow w/o Turbulence** | **Flow w/ Turbulence**



Liquid Argon Flow



B. Yu

E Field Calc. Convergence

Example: E_x Convergence in x-y Plane (z = 5 m)

y = 2 m







y = 1.25 m







y = 0.25 m







x = 0.25 m | x = 1.25 m | x = 2 m

E Field Calc. Uncertainty













Simulation of SC Effect

- Can use SpaCE to produce displacement maps
  - **Forward transportation**: $\{x, y, z\}_{\mathbf{true}} \rightarrow \{x, y, z\}_{\mathbf{sim}}$
    - Use to **simulate** effect in MC
    - Uncertainties describe accuracy of simulation
  - **Backward transportation**: $\{x, y, z\}_{\mathbf{reco}} \rightarrow \{x, y, z\}_{\mathbf{true}}$
    - Derive from **calibration** and use in data or MC to correct reconstruction bias
    - Uncertainties describe remainder systematic after bias-correction
- Two principal methods to encode displacement maps:
  - **Matrix representation** – more generic/flexible
  - **Parametric** representation (for now, $5^{th}/7^{th}$ order polynomials) – fewer parameters
    - Uses matrix representation as input → **use for LArSoft implementation**

Simulation: Parametric Rep.

Residuals of Forward Transportation (Uncert. in Simulation of Effect)



Impact of Space Charge Effect (Reconstruction Bias)



Residuals of Backward Transportation (Post-bias-correction Uncert. for Perfect Calibration)



Reality: these will be larger!

Smoking-gun Test for SCE

- Can use cosmic muon tracks for calibration
  - Possibly sample smaller time scales more relevant for a particular neutrino-crossing time slice
  - Minimally: data-driven cross-check against laser system calibration
- **Smoking-gun test**: see lateral charge displacement at track ends of non-contained cosmic muons → space charge effect!
  - No timing offset at transverse detector faces (no E_x distortions)
  - Most obvious feature of space charge effect



35-ton with LAr Flow

Δx

Without
LAr Flow

central z slice

Δx

With
LAr Flow





Q map from
E. Voirin

35-ton with LAr Flow (cont.)

Δy
Without
LAr Flow



Δy
With
LAr Flow



Q map from E. Voirin

central z slice

Δz
Without
LAr Flow



~0

Δz
With
LAr Flow