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Outline

- Integrable optics at IOTA

- Synergia 2.1 for beam dynamics simulations

- Nonlinear dynamics in the zero current limit
  - Higher order terms in the nonlinear Hamiltonian
  - Variation with $H_0$ and emittance
  - Correlations between 1st and 2nd invariant
  - Chromaticity correction schemes

- Initial studies of nonlinear dynamics with space charge
  - Tune depression
  - Diffusive dynamics and phase space mixing
Integrable Optics and the IOTA lattice

• Experimental initiative to test nonlinear integrable optics
  – Danilov & Nagaitsev “Nonlinear accelerator lattices with one and two analytic invariants,” PRSTAB 13, 084002 (2010)
• Use of special nonlinear magnet can result in a 2nd invariant of motion, completely integrable dynamics
  – Single particle trajectories are regular and bounded
  – Mitigate parametric resonances via nonlinear decoherence
**Bunch matching with the nonlinear lattice**

- Danilov & Nagaitsev derived potential which produces Hamiltonian with two analytic invariants
  - Vary magnetic field strengths to obtain order unity tune spread
  - Symmetric motion through NL section preserves integrability
- A generalized K-V distribution with fixed H demonstrates shape

![Proton beam at turn 0](image)
Beam dynamics with space charge via Synergia 2.1

Synergia: A comprehensive accelerator beam dynamics package
http://web.fnal.gov/sites/synergia/SitePages/Synergia%20Home.aspx
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**Synergia 2.1 Overview**

- **Capabilities include:**
  - symplectic tracking for single particle dynamics
  - PIC (validated with GSI space charge benchmark)
  - dynamic lattice element adjustments
    - Fit tunes or chromaticities to desired values
    - Construct arbitrary 6x6 matrix elements

- **New capabilities added by RadiaSoft:**
  - bunch matching with arbitrary distributions
  - interactive simulations with an IPython notebook

Thanks very much to the Synergia development team, from the Accelerator Simulation group within Fermilab’s Scientific Computing Division!

Especially: J. Admundson, E. Stern, C.S. Park, L. Michellotti, and P. Spentzouris
Initial simulations - 100k turns with NL element

- Tracking matched proton bunch in lattice
  - Generalized KV distribution with fixed $H_0$
  - $\varepsilon_x = 0.03$ mm-mrad normalized emittance
  - $\delta = 0\%$ — no variation in particle energy
- Resulting variation is consistent over 100k turns
  - $\sigma_H = 1.07\%$, $\sigma_I = 2.56\%$ for the particle shown below
  - Periodic variation which appears bounded
Higher order terms in the Nonlinear Hamiltonian

• Original analysis computed the single turn map for the ideal integrable optics lattice

• Expansion of the Hamiltonian lowest order correction to \( H \) in \( \nu_0 \), the “tune-advance” through the nonlinear drift

\[
H = \nu_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p}^2 + \vec{x}^2) + tV(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \right\} + D.-N. Hamiltonian
\]

\[
\frac{1}{6} \nu_0^3 \left\{ \frac{1}{4} [\vec{p}^2 + \vec{x}^2, [\vec{p}^2 + \vec{x}^2, tV(\hat{x}, \hat{y})]] - \frac{t^2}{2} [V(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), [V(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \vec{p}^2 + \vec{x}^2]] \right\} + O(\nu_0^5). \]

• The leading order correction to the ideal Hamiltonian \( H_0 \) scales with \( \nu_0^3 \), thus implying a variation in \( H \) from the first order estimate \( H_0 \)

\[
H = H_0 \nu_0 + H_2 \nu_0^3 + \ldots
\]
Variation in the first invariant - $H_0$

- Simulated a toy-model IOTA lattice, comprised of a nonlinear element followed by a corresponding 6x6 matrix representing a thin double-focusing lens.
  - Variations of the nonlinear element with different $\nu_0$ are calculated using a MADX script

**Standard Deviation in $H$ versus phase advance $\nu_0$**

![Graph showing standard deviation in $H$ versus phase advance $\nu_0$.]

**Standard Deviation in $I$ versus phase advance $\nu_0$**

![Graph showing standard deviation in $I$ versus phase advance $\nu_0$.]
**Variation in $H_0$ with increasing emittance**

- Greater variation in $H_0$ with increasing emittance — coefficients in the expansion of the Hamiltonian vary with $\varepsilon^3$.
- Ex. For a NL segment with $\nu_0 = 0.3$, a KV distribution with $H_0 = 10$ mm-mrad demonstrates an average r.m.s. variation of 5% in calculated value of of $H_0$. 

![Graphs showing variation in $H_0$ with increasing emittance](image)
Higher order correlations between $H$ and $I$

- Turn by turn variation observed over many turns
  - Variations appear bounded and well behaved
- Suggestive of some surface relating to a new invariant
  - Can we calculate this hyper-surface?
Chromatic Considerations in IOTA

- Tests performed for matched bunches with energy deviations $\delta \leq 0.5\%$, with and without chromaticity correction

- Simulations of chromaticity corrected lattice
  - Beam loss observed (4%) even for a bunch with $\delta = 0.1\%$ and a normalized emittance of $\varepsilon_x = 0.03\ mm\cdot mrad$
  - Invariant clearly not preserved - $\sigma_H > 15\%$
  - As $\delta$ approaches 0.4%, the majority of the beam is lost

- Uncorrected lattice retains better single particle dynamics
  - For $\delta = 0.1\%$, no particle loss and $\sigma_H \approx 10\%$

- Conclusion: Off-energy particles in IOTA are more sensitive to sextupole fields than to natural chromaticity of the lattice.
Chromaticity Correction Schemes

• For realistic energy spreads, chromaticity significantly perturbs integrable motion
  \[ \overline{H} = \overline{H}_0 + \Delta C \left( \overline{p}_x^2 + \overline{x}^2 - \overline{p}_y^2 - \overline{y}^2 \right) \]

• Recent work by Webb et al. presents a chromaticity correction scheme which preserves integrability
  • Require equal horizontal and vertical chromaticities \( C_x = C_y \)
  • Sextupoles must be optically transparent \( (\delta \phi = (2n +1)\pi ) \)

• Recover normalized Hamiltonian with adjustment to nonlinear strength parameter, \( t \)
  • *Complete correction may not be needed!*
  • *Carefully placed sextupoles at low strength may correct for energy spread*

• Current work aimed at adjusting IOTA-1IO design to meet these requirements for basic testing
Initial simulations with space charge

• Lattice Parameters:
  – Lota v6.6 lattice with a single NL magnet
  – zero sextupole strength, zero RF
  – CHEF propagation of all lattice elements, 4 slices per element
  – **Nonlinear strength parameter** $t = 0.4$
  – Nonlinear aperture parameter $c = 0.01 \, \text{m}^{1/2}$
  – diagnostics at center of NL magnet

• Space Charge parameters:
  – 2D open solver with 64x64 mesh, periodic in $z$
  – 100 particles per cell

• Proton beam parameters:
  – 2.5 MeV protons, matched at center of NL element
  – $dp/p = 0$, to minimize chromatic effects
  – generalized KV distribution with first invariant $H_0 = 9.74 \, \text{mm-mrad}$
    • corresponds to $\varepsilon_{x,n} = 0.3 \, \text{mm-mrad}$, and $\varepsilon_{y,n} = 0.6 \, \text{mm-mrad}$
Space charge tune shift in IOTA

• Linear lattice with zero current
  – tunes are equal and vary weakly with amplitude
  – \( Q_x = Q_y = 0.3 \)

• Nonlinear lattice with zero current
  – tunes are asymmetric and vary strongly with amplitude
  – \( Q_x = 0.403 \quad Q_y = 0.232 \) (very near the axis)

• With space charge, tune varies orbit to orbit
  – Compute incoherent tune shift \( \Delta Q_x \)
  – Compare against single particle orbits
    • Observe \( dQ_x \sim dQ_y \) (near axis)
    • evidence of strong coupling in x and y

\[ \Delta Q_x = -\frac{r_0 N}{2\pi \epsilon_x \beta^2 \gamma^3} \]
Beam evolution at $dQ = -0.01$ IOTA lattice

Beam envelope evolution - $\sigma_x$ over 600 turns

Beam envelope evolution - $\sigma_y$ over 600 turns

Initial proton beam

Proton beam at turn 600
Particle dynamics - Equilibration and diffusion

- Mixing on the scale of 1 turn
  - $t = 0.4$ is rather large, so tune spread leads to fast mixing
- Diffusion varies with $H_0$
  - consistent at median values
  - strong tails at large $H_0$
Dependence of diffusion on $H_0$

$H_0$ distribution after 20 turns - 14 mA current in Iota Lattice

Spread in $H$ for low $H_0$ population Turns 20-25

$H_0$ distribution for full population - Turn 25

Spread in $H$ for high $H_0$ population Turns 20-25
Conclusions

- Simulated IOTA lattice with segmented NL element
  - Invariants appear well behaved over 1 million turns
- Higher order contributions of NL magnet at zero current
  - Variation in the invariants scales with $v_0^3$ and $\epsilon^3$
- Large energy spread breaks integrability
  - Dynamics are especially sensitive to sextupole fields
- Initial simulations with space charge
  - Tune depression appears to break integrability
    - But there may be other nearby invariants
    - Look for bounded behavior to retain nonlinear decoherence
  - Operation at modest currents will likely require adjustments to the magnets surrounding the integrable optics insert
Future Work

- Understand dynamics with space charge
  - Investigate single particle dynamics with low nonlinearity
  - Adjust lattice incrementally to recover integrability
  - Calculate diffusion coefficient in perturbative regime
- Implement chromaticity correction scheme
  - Optically transparent sextuples to equate chromaticities
  - Optimize dynamic aperture
- Simulate wakefields in IOTA
  - Quadrupolar term along vertical axis may limit peak current
- Simulate injection of matched beam
- Consider machine perturbations
  - misalignments and mismatches