
Energy depositions in materials

Simulations


- Started with the vessel materials:
 - 1 cm wood, 1m foam (70 kg/ m^3), 1.2 mm Stainless Steel membrane
- Same without foam
- Added LAr layers : 1 ,3 , 5 cm
- Simulated (FLUKA)
- Protons, Kaons, Pions, Kaons-, Pions-, electrons
- Perpendicular to layers
- Momenta: 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 GeV/c
- I apologize for the quality of plots/slides..
- All numbers will be available in tables.

Hadrons: Survival




- Fraction of particles that do not interact or stop in the dead materials
- Different colors==particle type (only positive here)
- Different symbols: momenta
- Very Low E protons /Kaons (p=0.2 GeV→Ek=21 MeV for protons !) easily stopped
- > Others: survival almost flat vs material sandwich

Fraction of energy loss, non-interacting hadrons




- Percentage energy loss for surviving particles
- Apart from protons, the existence of the SS membrane has a limited effect (below 5% energy loss)
- 1 m Foam does much more
- 5 cm of Lar->10% eloss for 0.5 GeV/c pions

Energy spread, hadrons




- Energy spread of "surviving" particles as a percentage of the original kinetic
- SS membrane acceptable (fraction of %)
- 3-5cm Lar \rightarrow order of %

Electrons: energy loss



- For electrons no "noninteracting" concept
- Here: average energy deposited in dead layers
- > Membrane only: couple of MeV -)
- > 5 cm LAr → about 15 MeV (almost 10% at 0.2 GeV/c)
- > 1m foam has about the same effect of 5 cm LAr


Electrons: spread of the E loss



- Spread of the energy loss
- > Membrane: fraction of MeV

 Adding materials: few MeV (order of few % in fraction of original E)


Electrons: Fraction of "mips" after dead layers



- EXTREMELY ROUGH EVALUATION of the fraction of electrons that are still "minimum ionizing particles" after the dead layers", simly by dE in 1cm Ar
- Membrane: fine, 90% survive
- 5cm LAR: only 60-80 % survive

Backsplash vs containment

- We have also backward-going particles:
- What happens to containment if particles are injected just at the border of the active volume?
- How to reconstruct backwards?
- Would it be helpful/ necessary/possible to push the beam further inside?
- The plots on the right are Energy Deposition/cm³ in average for 1 GeV/c π starting n the middle of a LAR box (at Z=500 cm)



Conclusion

- .. Have to digest better..
- The effect of the SS membrane is acceptable
- 1m Foam is not
- 5cm LAr seem too much, will be the dominant term. Maybe charge can be recovered, surely not what is produced/intercepted by field cage.
- Building the full geometry in FLUKA started,
- Other cases for beam windows can easily be done if needed
- Othe quantities can be scored if there are good ideas