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Based on work with David G. Robertson (Otterbein University), to appear soon as preprint and public computer code.

One motivation: evaluation of Coleman-Weinberg effective potential

- In the Standard Model, $V_{\text {eff }}$ relates the Higgs VEV to the Lagrangian $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ parameters. Known at:
- 2-loop order (Ford, Jack, Jones, hep-ph/0111190)
- 3-loop order only at leading order in $\alpha_{S}$ and $y_{t}$. (SPM 1310.7553)
- In SUSY, $V_{\text {eff }}$ enables approximate calculation of lightest Higgs mass. Again, only known fully at 2-loop order. 3-loop contributions are numerically important, especially if SUSY is heavy.

Need to be able to systematically compute hundreds of integrals, for example:


In SUSY cases, mass hierarchies not known in advance.

All 1-scale vacuum integrals at 3-loop order are known analytically.
Broadhurst 1992, 1999; Avdeev+Fleischer+Mikhailov+Tarasov, 1994; Fleischer+Tarasov, 1994; Avdeev 1995; Fleischer+Kalmykov 1999; Schröder+Vuorinen 2005.

Available in a computer program: MATAD (Steinhauser hep-ph/0009092) Can also get 3-loop vacuum integrals with multiple scales, by expansions in masses starting from the 1 -scale integrals, for a given hierarchy.

A few examples of 2-scale integrals are also known analytically:
Davydychev+Kalmykov 2003, Kalmykov 2005, Bytev+Kalmykov+Kniehl 2009,
a few more will appear in our own paper.

Our aim is for a fast, accurate, and flexible (valid for all masses, doesn't rely on predetermined hierarchical expansions) numerical computation method.

## Outline

- Basis ("master") integrals
- Renormalized basis integrals
- Analytic cases
- Evaluation of basis integrals using differential equations in squared mass arguments
- Public code: 3-loop Vacuum Integral Library = 3VIL

Using partial fractions, any 3-loop vacuum integral can be reduced to this topology of scalar integral in $d=4-2 \epsilon$ Euclidean dimensions with $\int_{p}=\mu^{4-d} \int d^{d} p /(2 \pi)^{d}$, where the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ renormalization scale is defined by $Q^{2}=4 \pi e^{-\gamma_{E}} \mu^{2}$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}^{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}\right)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \int_{p} \int_{q} \int_{k} \\
& \frac{1}{\left[p^{2}+x_{1}\right]^{n_{1}}\left[q^{2}+x_{2}\right]^{n_{2}}\left[k^{2}+x_{3}\right]^{n_{3}}\left[(p-q)^{2}+x_{4}\right]^{n_{4}}\left[(q-k)^{2}+x_{5}\right]^{n_{5}}\left[(k-p)^{2}+x_{6}\right]^{n_{6}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The propagator powers $n_{i}$ can be positive, negative, or zero. Using integration by parts, can always reduce all integrals of this type to a few basis integrals...

Basis integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}(u, v, w, x, y, z) & =\mathbf{T}^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(u, v, w, x, y, z) \\
\mathbf{G}(w, u, z, v, y) & =\mathbf{T}^{(1,1,1,0,1,1)}(u, v, w, x, y, z) \\
\mathbf{F}(u, v, y, z) & =\mathbf{T}^{(2,1,0,0,1,1)}(u, v, w, x, y, z) \\
\mathbf{A}(u) \mathbf{I}(v, w, y) & =\mathbf{T}^{(1,1,1,0,1,0)}(u, v, w, x, y, z), \\
\mathbf{A}(u) \mathbf{A}(v) \mathbf{A}(w) & =\mathbf{T}^{(1,1,1,0,0,0)}(u, v, w, x, y, z),
\end{aligned}
$$

The last two are just products of 1-loop and 2-loop basis integrals:


These are known analytically, and present no problems.

The genuinely 3-loop integrals in the basis are $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}$, and $\mathbf{F}$ :


The dot on the $\mathbf{F}$ integral denotes a doubled propagator for the first squared mass argument; all other propagators are single.

The 4-propagator integral $\mathbf{E}$ is not part of the basis. By dimensional analysis:
$\mathbf{E}(u, v, y, z)=[u \mathbf{F}(u, v, y, z)+v \mathbf{F}(v, u, y, z)+y \mathbf{F}(y, u, v, z)+z \mathbf{F}(z, u, v, y)] /(-2+3 \epsilon)$,
so it is redundant. However, it is still useful. Note:

$$
\mathbf{F}(u, v, y, z)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbf{E}(u, v, y, z) .
$$

Renormalized quantities are much more succinctly written in terms of modified basis integrals in which UV sub-divergences have been subtracted.

For example, at 2-loop order, define:

$$
I(x, y, z)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\mathbf{I}(x, y, z)-I_{\mathrm{div}}^{(1)}(x, y, z)-I_{\mathrm{div}}^{(2)}(x, y, z)\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathrm{div}}^{(1)}(x, y, z) & =\frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbf{A}(x)+\mathbf{A}(y)+\mathbf{A}(z)] \\
I_{\mathrm{div}}^{(2)}(x, y, z) & =\frac{1}{2}(x+y+z)\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The modified basis integral $I(x, y, z)$ is finite, by construction. It is known in terms of dilogarithms. Note it is not just the same thing as the $\epsilon^{0}$ term in the $\epsilon$ expansion!

For the 3-loop, 4-propagator integrals, define:
$E(u, v, y, z)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\mathbf{E}(u, z, y, v)-E_{\text {div }}^{(1)}(u, v, y, z)-E_{\text {div }}^{(2)}(u, v, y, z)-E_{\mathrm{div}}^{(3)}(u, v, y, z)\right]$,
where the 1-loop, 2-loop, and 3-loop UV sub-divergences are, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\text {div }}^{(1)}(u, v, y, z)= & \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{A}(u) \mathbf{A}(v)+(5 \text { permutations }) \\
E_{\text {div }}^{(2)}(u, v, y, z)= & {\left[\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}(v+y+z)+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left(\frac{u}{2}-v-y-z\right)\right] \mathbf{A}(u)+(3 \text { permutations }), } \\
E_{\text {div }}^{(3)}(u, v, y, z)= & {\left[\frac{1}{3 \epsilon^{3}}-\frac{2}{3 \epsilon^{2}}+\frac{1}{3 \epsilon}\right](u v+u y+u z+v y+v z+y z) } \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{6 \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{3}{8 \epsilon}\right]\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Renormalized quantities are written in terms of the $\epsilon$-independent modified basis functions:

$$
F(u, v, y, z)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} E(u, v, y, z) .
$$

Similarly, define the modified basis function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w, u, z, v, y)= & \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\mathbf{G}(w, u, z, v, y)-G_{\operatorname{div}}^{(1)}(w, u, z, v, y)-G_{\operatorname{div}}^{(2)}(w, u, z, v, y)\right. \\
& \left.-G_{\operatorname{div}}^{(3)}(w, u, z, v, y)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the 1-loop, 2-loop, and 3-loop UV sub-divergences are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\mathrm{div}}^{(1)}(w, u, z, v, y) & =\frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbf{I}(w, u, z)+\mathbf{I}(w, v, y)] \\
G_{\mathrm{div}}^{(2)}(w, u, z, v, y) & =\left(-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\right)[\mathbf{A}(u)+\mathbf{A}(v)+\mathbf{A}(y)+\mathbf{A}(z)]-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \mathbf{A}(w) \\
G_{\mathrm{div}}^{(3)}(w, u, z, v, y) & =\left(-\frac{1}{6 \epsilon^{3}}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{2}{3 \epsilon}\right)(u+v+y+z)+\left(-\frac{1}{3 \epsilon^{3}}+\frac{1}{3 \epsilon^{2}}+\frac{1}{3 \epsilon}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

H has no 1-loop and 2-loop sub-divergences, but does have a 3-loop UV divergence. So, define:

$$
H(u, v, w, x, y, z)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\mathbf{H}(u, v, w, x, y, z)-H_{\mathrm{div}}^{(3)}(u, v, w, x, y, z)\right]
$$

where

$$
H_{\mathrm{div}}^{(3)}(u, v, w, x, y, z)=2 \zeta(3) / \epsilon .
$$

The function $F(u, v, y, z)$ has an IR log divergence as $u \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, further define:

$$
\bar{F}(u, v, y, z) \equiv F(u, v, y, z)+\overline{\ln }(u) I(v, y, z)
$$

where

$$
\overline{\ln }(u)=\ln \left(u / Q^{2}\right)
$$

with $Q=\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ renormalization scale. The function $\bar{F}$ is well-defined for all values of its squared mass arguments, including $u=0$.

For convenience, our program 3VIL outputs all $E, F$, and $\bar{F}$ functions, for given input arguments.
(Also can output the $\epsilon$ expansions of the original bold-faced integrals $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$. )

It remains to be able to evaluate the (modified) basis integrals.

## Introducing: 3VIL = 3-loop Vacuum Integral Library

- Written in C, can be called from C, C++, Fortran
- Uses analytic results where available, otherwise differential equations method
- Evaluation for generic mass inputs:
- Time $<1$ second on reasonably modern hardware
- Relative accuracy $\lesssim 10^{-10}$
- For certain rare difficult cases, time $\sim 5$ seconds, accuracy $\sim 10^{-4}$
- Not quite ready for public release, but very soon...


The following are known analytically:

- All 1-scale integrals $E, F, \bar{F}, G, H$, with squared masses all equal to 0 or a single non-zero value $x$. Broadhurst 1992, 1999;
Avdeev+Fleischer+Mikhailov+Tarasov, 1994; Fleischer+Tarasov, 1994;
Avdeev 1995; Fleischer+Kalmykov 1999; Schröder+Vuorinen 2005.
- The following 2-scale integral cases, and integrals $E, F$ related to them, and permutations implied by symmetries of the graphs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{F}(x, 0,0, y), \bar{F}(0,0, x, y), \bar{F}(x, x, y, y), \bar{F}(x, 0, y, y), \bar{F}(y, 0, y, x), \\
& G(0,0,0, x, y), G(0,0, x, 0, y), G(x, 0,0,0, y), G(x, 0, x, 0, y) \\
& G(0, x, x, y, y), G(x, 0,0, y, y), G(y, x, x, x, x), H(0,0, x, y, x, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Davydychev+Kalmykov 2003, Kalmykov 2005, Bytev+Kalmykov+Kniehl 2009, our paper.

Our program 3VIL knows about these cases and uses them whenever possible. Computation time $\approx 0$.

The generic case: consider the master tetrahedral topology, and all corresponding basis integrals obtained by removing propagator lines:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(u, v, w, x, y, z) \\
& G(w, u, z, v, y), G(x, u, v, y, z), G(u, v, x, w, z) \\
& G(y, v, w, x, z), G(v, u, x, w, y), G(z, u, w, x, y) \\
& \bar{F}(w, u, x, y), \bar{F}(w, v, x, z), \bar{F}(x, u, w, y), \bar{F}(x, v, w, z), \\
& \bar{F}(u, v, y, z), \bar{F}(u, w, x, y), \bar{F}(y, u, v, z), \bar{F}(y, u, w, x) \\
& \bar{F}(v, u, y, z), \bar{F}(v, w, x, z), \bar{F}(z, u, v, y), \bar{F}(z, v, w, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

products of $I$ and $A$ functions
The derivatives of all of these with respect to any squared mass argument $u, v, w, x, y, z$ are also 3-loop integrals, and so are linear combinations of the basis.

Solve differential equations in the masses to compute these, starting from known analytical values at a fixed but arbitrary reference squared mass $a$ as initial conditions:

$$
H(a, a, a, a, a, a), \quad G(a, a, a, a, a), \bar{F}(a, a, a, a), I(a, a, a), \quad A(a)
$$

Define an integration variable $t$, and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =a+t(u-a), & V=a+t(v-a), &
\end{aligned}
$$

and consider basis integrals as functions of $U, V, W, X, Y, Z$.

- At $t=0$, have $U=V=W=X=Y=Z=a$, so all integrals are known.
- At $t=1$, have desired values of squared mass arguments:

$$
(U, V, W, X, Y, Z)=(u, v, w, x, y, z)
$$

Denoting the basis integrals generically by $\Phi_{i}$, have first-order coupled linear differential equations in $t$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{j}=\sum_{k} c_{j k} \Phi_{k}+c_{j}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{j k}$ and $c_{j}$ are ratios of polynomials in $t$ and fixed values $a, u, v, w, x, y, z$.
Integrate differential equations numerically from $t=0$ to $t=1$.

Differential equations method for evaluation of loop integrals
Kotikov 1991, Remiddi 1997, Caffo+Czyz+Laporta+Remiddi 1998, Caffo+Czyz+Remiddi 2002, SPM 2003, SPM+Robertson 2005, . .

Allows analytic evaluation in favorable cases; otherwise Runge-Kutta numerical integration.

When computing tetrahedral integral $H(u, v, w, x, y, z)$, we simultaneously get all subordinate basis integrals $G, F, \bar{F}, E$.

However, there are complications...

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{j}=\sum_{k} c_{j k} \Phi_{k}+c_{j}
$$

A complication: the coefficients $c_{j k}$ and $c_{j}$ have poles in $t$.

- All poles can be made simple by use of partial fractions on the coefficients.
- There are always poles at $t=0$.

Use a power series expansion around $t=0$, up to order $t^{8}$.
Start integration at $t=0.01$

- All poles are on the real $t$ axis. Sometimes poles exist for $0<t<1$.

In that case, integrate on a contour in the complex plane to avoid them:


Otherwise, integrate straight along $\operatorname{Re}[t]$ axis.

Recall $U=a+t(u-a)$, etc.
The fixed reference squared mass $a$ is arbitrary. In principle, results should not depend on it. Can be changed as a check. By default 3VIL uses:

$$
a=2 \operatorname{Max}(u, v, w, x, y, z) .
$$

Avoids numerical problems that can arise in certain special cases.
Other checks:

- analytical special cases compared to Runge-Kutta evaluation
- vanishing of imaginary parts of basis integrals when squared mass inputs are positive
- change shape of contour in complex plane, including height in the $\operatorname{Im}[t]$ direction

Initialization at $t=0.01$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(U, V, W, X, Y, Z) & =H(a, a, a, a, a, a)+\sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n} H^{(n)}(u, v, w, x, y, z ; a), \\
G(W, U, Z, V, Y) & =G(a, a, a, a, a)+\sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n} G^{(n)}(w, u, z, v, y ; a), \\
\bar{F}(U, V, Y, Z) & =\bar{F}(a, a, a, a)+\sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n} \bar{F}^{(n)}(u, v, y, z ; a),
\end{aligned}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}(a, a, a, a) & =a\left[53 / 12+\left(3 \sqrt{3} \mathrm{Ls}_{2}-3 / 2\right) \overline{\ln }(a)+\frac{3}{2} \overline{\ln }^{2}(a)-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\ln }^{3}(a)\right] \\
G(a, a, a, a, a) & =a\left[-97 / 3+12 \sqrt{3} \mathrm{Ls}_{2}+6 \zeta_{3}+\left(26-6 \sqrt{3} \mathrm{Ls}_{2}\right) \overline{\ln }(a)-8 \overline{\ln }^{2}(a)+\overline{\ln }^{3}(a)\right] \\
H(a, a, a, a, a, a) & =16 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(1 / 2)-\frac{17 \pi^{4}}{90}+\frac{2}{3} \ln ^{2}(2)\left[\ln ^{2}(2)-\pi^{2}\right]-9\left(\operatorname{Ls}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+6 \zeta_{3}[1-\overline{\ln }(a)]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
H^{(1)}(u, v, w, x, y, z ; a)=\zeta_{3}(6 a-u-v-w-x-y-z) / a,
$$

etc. All expansion coefficients through $n=7$ included, so that at $t=0.01$ the relative error from truncation is same order as that of long double arithmetic, $10^{-16}$.

For most of the integration, 3VIL uses a 6-stage, 5th order Runge-Kutta algorithm with automatic step-size adjustment.

However, can have poles in the coefficients at the integration endpoint $t=1$.
Usual Runge-Kutta routines fail!
Key property needed: no evaluations of derivatives at the endpoint of the integration step.

No 4-stage Runge-Kutta algorithms with this property exist, but we found a 5 -stage, 4th order algorithm. (Invented for a very similar situation for our program TS IL = Two-loop Self-energy Integration Library, hep-ph/0501132.)

Note: although the coefficients in the differential equations have poles, the basis functions themselves are completely finite and smooth! Only pseudo-thresholds, no thresholds.

Some examples of the basis integral $H$, as a function of a squared mass argument $x$, with other squared mass arguments fixed to 0 or 1 .




The endpoints at $x=0$ and $x=1$ are known analytically in terms of logs.
For all other $0<x<1$, computed analytically with 3VIL.

## Outlook

- Identified a basis for 3-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses; convenient modified basis for renormalized quantities
- Evaluation using differential equations method
- fast, accurate, flexible
- get all subordinate integrals simultaneously
- Public code 3VIL coming very soon
- Applications
- 3-loop effective potential for Standard Model, SUSY, general theory
- Higher point functions when external momenta are small, or are suitable for expansions

Pseudo-thresholds = numerically difficult cases:

with $v \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$.

Note that these cases are "unnatural"; not consequences of any possible symmetry in a quantum field theory. Don't arise in Standard Model, but may occur in parameter scans in Beyond Standard Model theories.

