# Search for Lepton Flavor Violation with ATLAS

Craig Blocker Brandeis University for the ATLAS Collaboration





## Outline

- Introduction
- LHC and the ATLAS detector
- Searches for LFV decays of Standard Model particles
- Beyond the Standard Model LFV searches
- Summary

## Introduction

- Lepton Number and Flavor are not related to a gauge symmetry.
- $\Rightarrow$  Might not be conserved.
- Neutrino oscillations indeed show this.
- Important question is whether charged leptons violate lepton flavor conservation.
- Neutrino-induced lepton flavor violation for charged leptons is expected to be very small [e.g., BR(μ → eγ) ~ 10<sup>-50</sup>]. (Small but not as small for some τ decays.)
- Might manifest itself in
  - decays of Standard Model particles (e.g.  $Z \rightarrow e\mu$ ).
  - decays of Beyond the Standard Model particles (e.g. Z'  $\rightarrow$  eµ).
  - Quantum Black Holes (e.g., QBH $\rightarrow$  eµ).
  - other interactions.



### **RPV SUSY**

SUSY allows superpotential term of the form

$$W = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_iL_jE_k + \lambda'_{ijk}L_iQ_j\overline{D}_k + \frac{1}{2}\lambda''_{ijk}\overline{U}_i\overline{D}_j\overline{D}_k + \epsilon_iL_iH_2$$

**Multiplets:** 

L and Q are lepton and quark doublets.

E, U, and D are charged lepton, up-like quark, and down-like quark singlets.

H is Higgs doublet (the one coupling to up-like quarks).

i, j, and k are summed over generations.

These terms violate R-parity,  $R = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2S}$ .

 $\underline{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda'$  terms violate lepton number and flavor;  $\lambda''$  terms violate baryon number.

Limits on proton decay mean either  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda' = 0$  or  $\lambda'' = 0$ .

Usually require R-parity conversation, but this is not necessary.

## **Low Energy Constraints**

- Low energy results (e.g.,  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ ,  $\mu \rightarrow eee$ ,  $\mu$ -e conversion,  $\tau$  decays, etc.) provide constraints (but there are often assumptions).
- In general, limits on  $e-\mu$  processes are more stringent.
- Often limits are given in terms of an effective energy scale, which is a combination of mass/energy scales and coupling constants. For example, if μ → eee proceeds through a massive, LFV particle with mass M and coupling g, the Feynman diagram essentially becomes a 4-point interaction proportional to g<sup>2</sup>/M<sup>2</sup>.



 At the LHC, if the true mass scale is above a few TeV, then we are not sensitive. But if the effective scale is large because the mass scale is in the TeV range but the couplings are small, we may be able to see it. Also, LHC is almost as sensitive to eτ and μτ modes as to eµ modes.

## LHC and ATLAS

Large Hadron Collider (LHC) collides protons or heavy ions at high energy.

27 km ring near Geneva, Switzerland.

4 major detectors: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, and ALICE



Results here from 8-TeV pp data taken in 2012 (~20 fb<sup>-1</sup>) and 13-TeV pp data taken in 2015 (~3 fb<sup>-1</sup>). Concentrate on leptons: e,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau$ , using both hadronic and leptonic  $\tau$ decays



Craig Blocker (Brandeis University)

# $\mathsf{Higgs} \to \mu \tau$

JHEP 11 (2015) 211 arXiv: 1508.03372 and Submitted to EPIC arXiv: 1604.07730

Events with  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  decaying hadronically or leptonically. Use  $\tau$  kinematics and missing E<sub>T</sub> vector to correct for undetected  $\nu$  using Missing Mass Calculator (MMC).

Two signal regions: one dominated by  $Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \tau \tau$  at lower  $\mu \tau$  mass and one dominated by W + jets at higher mass.

Require moderate missing  $E_T$  to suppress  $Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \mu\mu$ .

```
BR (H→μτ) < 1.43% (95% CL)
```

Theory: BR < ~10% from  $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$  and (g-2)<sub>e, µ</sub>



# $Higgs \rightarrow e\tau$

#### Submitted to EPJC arXiv: 1604.07730

Similar to  $\mu\tau$  analysis, except  $\mu \rightarrow e$ .

### BR (H→eτ) < 1.04% (95% CL)





CLFV2016



Fit to background + signal.

BR (Z → eµ) < 7.5 × 10<sup>-7</sup> (95% CL)

LEP: BR < 1.7 × 10<sup>-6</sup> (95% CL)



Craig Blocker (Brandels University



#### Submitted to EPJC arXiv: 1604.07730

Use hadronic  $\tau$  decays (similar analysis to H  $\rightarrow \mu \tau_{had}$ ). Use  $\tau$  kinematics and missing E<sub>T</sub> to correct for undetected  $\nu$ . BR (Z  $\rightarrow \mu \tau$ ) < 1.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> (95% CL) LEP: BR < 1.2 × 10<sup>-5</sup> (95% CL)



## $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$

pp  $\rightarrow$  W  $\rightarrow \tau \nu \rightarrow (\mu\mu\mu) \nu$ Use Boosted Decision Tree based on  $E_T^{miss}$ , muon momenta, track and vertex quality, W kinematics, etc.



### $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$

### EPJC (2016) 76 arXiv: 1601.03567



PDG: BR <  $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$  (90% CL) (primarily Belle)

### Z' or $\tilde{v} \to e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , or $\mu\tau$ rkL 115, 031201 (2015), arXiv: 1503.04430



High Pt, back-to-back, opposite sign, different flavor.

Assume neutrino in same direction as  $\tau$ .









# Z' or $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , or $\mu\tau$

Limits on cross sections times branching ratio (95% CL).

Sneutrino coupling limits better or comparable to low energy limits for  $\tau$  modes and  $s\overline{s} \rightarrow e\mu$ . Within order of magnitude for  $d\overline{d}$ ,  $d\overline{s}$ , or  $s\overline{d} \rightarrow e\mu$ .



# Z' or QBH $\rightarrow$ eµ atLas-conf-2015-072 cds.cem.ch/record/214844

13-TeV analysis. Similar to 8-TeV  $e\mu$  search. Look for high  $p_T e$  and  $\mu$  of opposite sign. Quantum Black Holes (QBH) might be produced in theories with large extra dimensions and are expected to not conserve lepton flavor.





### **B-L top squark**



Craig Blocker (Brandeis University)

### Multileptons in RPV SUSY cds.cern.ch/record/2017303

ATLAS has reinterpreted SUSY searches in terms of RPV SUSY with an unstable lowest supersymmetric particle (LSP).



### **Multileptons in RPV SUSY**

 $\begin{array}{l} pp \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g} \rightarrow qq\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}qq\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \quad \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow l^{\dagger}\Gamma\nu \quad \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, \ 20.3 \ \text{fb}^{-1} \\ \text{All limits at 95\% CL} \quad m(\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \ / \ m(\widetilde{g}) = 0.1 \end{array}$ 

Limits depend on many parameters, including SUSY masses and which mode. Example limit plot shown here.



### Multileptons in RPV SUSY



### Displaced Vertices in RPV SUSY PRD 92 (2015) 072004, arXiv: 1504.05162

In RPV SUSY, lowest supersymmetric

 *μ* particle (LSP) is not stable.

 *μ* If couplings are small, the LSP may give a

 *μ* displaced vertex.

 *μ* Look for displaced vertices with 1*ℓ* (e or μ)

or 2 leptons (ee, eμ, or μμ).



q

p

p

q

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

q

CLFV2016

 $\ell/\nu$ 

 $\nu$ 

p

p

### **Displaced Vertices in RPV SUSY**



### **Displaced Vertices in RPV SUSY**

Cross-section [fb] 10<sup>5</sup> m(ĝ), m(χ̃⁰) [GeV] ATLAS Can convert to cross section and 600, 50  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 600, 400 ee/eµ channels 1300, 50 mass limits in various models. 10<sup>4</sup> **RPV Model** .... 1300, 1000  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq[\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow e\mu\nu / ee\nu]$  95% CL limit 10<sup>3</sup> Here is a small sample of the 10<sup>2</sup> available plots. 10 ⊧ 1300 GeV gluino production  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ 10<sup>5</sup>  $10^{4}$ 10 1 cτ [mm]  $10^{5}$ 10<sup>8</sup> Cross-section [fb] Cross-section [fb] m(g)=400 GeV ATLAS = 400 GeV ATLAS •••••• m(g̃)=800 GeV  $10^{7}$ √s= 8 TeV, 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> vs= 8 TeV, 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $m(\widetilde{\chi}^0) = 1 \text{ TeV}$ .....m(g)=1.1 TeV 10<sup>4</sup> ⊨ DV+jets channel DV+E<sup>miss</sup> channel Split SUSY Model ----- m(g)=1.4 TeV 10<sup>6</sup> GGM Model  $\widetilde{g} \; (1.1 \; \text{TeV}) \rightarrow qq \; [\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow Z \; \widetilde{G}]$  $[\tilde{g} \rightarrow g/qq ~\tilde{\chi}^0(100 \text{ GeV})]$ 95% CL limit 95% CL limit 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 400 GeV gluino production 10  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ 800 GeV gluino production 10<sup>2</sup> 1100 GeV gluino production 10 10 1400 GeV gluino production 1눝  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ 10  $10^{3}$ 1  $10^{2}$ 10 cτ [mm] cτ [mm] Craig Blocker (Brandeis University) **CLFV2016** 

# Black Hole $\rightarrow$ lepton + jet

### PRL 112, 091804 (2014)



Quantum black holes predicted in low-scale gravity theories.

Expected to conserve angular momentum, charge, color but not other SM quantities. Search for BH  $\rightarrow \ell$  + jet.



### Other Black Hole Modes JHEP08 (2014) 103, arXiv:1405.4254

#### PRD 88 (2013) 072001, arXiv:1308.4075

### Black hole -> $\mu^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$ + tracks



### Majorana Neutrinos

JHEP07 (2015) 162 arXiv:1506.06020

**Theories with heavy** neutrinos (such as Seesaw models and leftright symmetric models) may have lepton flavor and number violation.



Events / Ge Search for events with like-sign  $10^{-1}$ dileptons (e<sup>±</sup>e<sup>±</sup> or  $10^{-2}$  $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ ) and at least two jets.  $10^{-3}$ No excess seen.



### Summary

- ATLAS has searched for lepton flavor violation in the 8-TeV and 13-TeV data via
  - decays of Standard-Model particles (Z, H)
  - decays of possible new particles ( $\tilde{v}$ , Z',  $\tilde{\chi}$ )
  - decays of Quantum Black Holes. Total Integrated Luminosity [fb<sup>-1</sup>]
- No excess over the Standard Model expectations is seen.
- Limits are placed on various production and decay mechanisms.
- LHC is running at 13 TeV, and we look forward to studying the increased data sets.





Craig Blocker (Brandeis University)

CLFV2016

27

# $Higgs \rightarrow \mu \tau$



SR2







Z decay	Acceptance (%)	) Efficiency (%)
ee	37.6	28.7
$\mu\mu$	43.3	41.2
$e\mu$	38.9	36.5

Z decay	Efficiency (%)	$N_Z \ (10^8)$
ee	$10.8\pm0.3$	$7.85\pm0.24$
$\mu\mu$	$17.8\pm0.4$	$7.79\pm0.17$
$\langle ee, \mu\mu \rangle$		$7.80\pm0.15$
$e\mu$	$14.2\pm0.4$	

v /////									
Sources		MC		Data					
Channels	ee	$\mu\mu$	$e\mu$	ee		μμ		$e\mu$	
_	Eff. (%)	Eff. (%)	Eff. (%)	Events	Eff. (%)	Events	Eff. (%)	Events	Eff. (%)
Initial	_	_	_	242,852,345	_	242,852,345	_	242,852,345	_
Triggered	62.5	65.7	64.8	76,840,946	31.6	76,840,946	31.6	76,840,946	31.6
Two Lepton, $\eta$ and $p_{\rm T}$ cuts	31.1	51.6	40.6	4,908,037	6.4	8,129,937	10.6	76,657	0.1
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} < 17 {\rm ~GeV}$	67.9	66.9	68.0	3,384,179	69.0	5,547,293	68.2	12,189	15.9
$p_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{max}}} < 30  \mathrm{GeV}$	84.9	81.7	81.8	2,965,933	87.6	4,869,110	87.8	8,744	71.7
$70 < m_{\ell\ell} < 110 \text{ GeV}$	96.4	96.3	97.1	2,847,689	96.0	4,670,014	95.9	3,163	36.2
$85 < m_{\ell\ell} < 95 \text{ GeV}$	81.8	86.5	86.2	2,248,034	78.9	3,702,598	79.3	362	11.4

# Z' or $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow e\mu,\,e\tau,\,or\,\mu\tau$



# Z' or $\widetilde{\nu} \rightarrow$ eµ, et, or µt

	$m_{\ell\ell'} < 200 \mathrm{GeV}$			$m_{\ell\ell'} > 200 \mathrm{GeV}$			
Process	$N_{e\mu}$ $N_{e au_{had}}$		$N_{\mu au_{ m had}}$	$N_{e\mu}$	$N_{e au_{ ext{had}}}$	$N_{\mu au_{ m had}}$	
$\overline{Z/\gamma^* \to \tau\tau}$	$6000 \pm 400$	$11000 \pm 900$	$11200\pm700$	$28 \pm 12$	$72\pm 21$	$99\pm 33$	
$Z/\gamma^* \to ee$		$6100 \pm 1100$			$430\pm~70$		
$Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu$			$19500 \pm 1300$			$410\pm$ 80	
$t\bar{t}$	$4220 \pm 290$	$690 \pm 60$	$580\pm$ 50	$1640 \pm 120$	$700\pm 60$	$550\pm 40$	
Diboson	$1440 \pm 80$	$321\pm\ 29$	$258 \pm 17$	$474\pm \ 30$	$197\pm~17$	$141\pm 11$	
Single top quark	$470 \pm 40$	$87\pm$ 11	$60\pm$ 7	$202 \pm 17$	$90\pm~10$	$73\pm$ 8	
W+jets	$54\pm$ 18	$17000 \pm 4000$	$14000 \pm 4000$	$8\pm 4$	$3600 \pm 700$	$2800 \pm 600$	
Multijet	$227\pm$ 32	$4800 \pm 1000$	$700\pm$ 800	$58\pm 12$	$340 \pm 210$	$100 \pm 190$	
Total	$12400 \pm 600$	$40400 \pm 2900$	$46000 \pm 4000$	$2400 \pm 130$	$5400 \pm 500$	$4200 \pm 400$	
Data	12954	41304	48304	2474	5336	4184	

**B-L top squark** 





Craig Blocker (Brandeis University)

33

### **Multileptons in RPV SUSY**



### **Multileptons in RPV SUSY**



Craig Blocker (Brandeis University)

### **Displaced Vertices in RPV SUSY**

Displaced vertices with less than 5 tracks.

These regions are excluded from the signal search.



### **Displaced Vertices in RPV SUSY**



### Black Hole $\rightarrow$ lepton + jet



$M_{\rm th}$		Electron+je	t	Muon+jet			
	Obs.	Exp.	Eff.	Obs.	Exp.	Eff.	
TeV			%			%	
1.0	1200	$1210^{+230}_{-220}$	$57 \pm 4$	620	$550 \pm 280$	$38 \pm 4$	
1.5	100	$110 \pm 40$	$57\pm4$	49	$65^{+45}_{-40}$	$36 \pm 4$	
2.0	12	$19^{+13}_{-12}$	$56 \pm 4$	8	$14^{+16}_{-14}$	$36 \pm 4$	
2.5	0	$5.3^{+4.5}_{-3.9}$	$55\pm4$	3	$5^{+6}_{-5}$	$34\pm4$	
3.0	0	$1.8^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$	$54\pm4$	1	$2.1^{+2.9}_{-2.1}$	$34\pm4$	
3.5	0	$0.76\substack{+0.79\\-0.67}$	$54\pm4$	0	$1.0^{+1.6}_{-1.0}$	$33 \pm 4$	
4.0	0	$0.35\substack{+0.38 \\ -0.34}$	$53 \pm 4$	0	$0.57\substack{+0.94 \\ -0.57}$	$33\pm5$	
5.0	0	$0.09\substack{+0.10\\-0.09}$	$52 \pm 4$	0	$0.24^{+0.39}_{-0.24}$	$32\pm5$	
6.0	0	$0.03\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.03}$	$52 \pm 4$	0	$0.13_{-0.13}^{+0.22}$	$32\pm 6$	

Majorana Neutrinos



### Majorana Neutrinos

