LArSoft Architecture review Phase I closing report

Gianluca Petrillo, Saba Sehrish

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

LArSoft Coordinators' Meeting, January 19th , 2016

‡ Fermilab

Goals of the architecture review

- support experiment independence
- achieve framework independence
- increase maintainability
- promote testability

We identified five main areas for intervention:

- interoperability: algorithms can operate independently from the specifics of the experiments
- actorization of data, algorithms and services from the specificity of the environment
- generic interface for common steps that need different implementations
- Software architecture: solution to design flaws that render developing LArSoft code unnecessary cumbersome
- maintainability: code should be understandable and extensible with a moderate effort

Effort

Sub-project schedule

- set a way-point with the end of 2015 (end of LArSoft "phase I")
- definition of the next goals will follow, including input from LArSoft requirements workshop

The area of intervention is vast:

- some effort was directly under the LArSoft Architecture sub-project, either
 - fully by the LArSoft project team
 - with main contribution from people from the Experiments
- some was in parallel with other sub-projects, e.g.
 - Continuous Integration system (for testing; lead V. Di Benedetto)
 - LArSoft/LArLite integration (iteroperability; lead C. Jones/M. Paterno)

• extended Geometry service interface

• geometry IDs, uniquely identifying a cryostat, TPC, wire plane and wire, made easier to use:

sortable, printable, convertible and comparable one to another

- all methods accept geometry ID as arguments
- IterateXxxx() methods make iteration through all the elements of geometry easier
- demonstration: ClusterCrawler module (thanks to B. Baller for support and patience)
 - was not compatible with multiple-TPC geometries: fixed
 - could not accommodate "disambiguation" phase between hit and cluster finding: redesigned in two separate modules
- core services allow more experiment-specific specialization without losing generality
- a few more modules were planned, but got de-scheduled

Major action on services:

- most basic data products simplified not to require framework support (should have been *all*)
- core services rely on a framework-independent service provider — they will be available in with LArSoft v5 (thanks J. Paley): Geometry, LArPropertiesService, DetectorPropertiesService, DetectorClocksService
- new services designed with this separation (thanks B. Eberly): ChannelStatusService, DetPedestalService
- demonstration: ClusterCrawler code split into algorithm and module
- many new modules have been designed by the authors incorporating this prescription
- a separate project is lead by C. Jones and M. Paterno to interface LArSoft algorithms to MicroBooNE's LArLite

- a lot of discussion on how to implement generic interfaces...
- ... but no actual specific design
- spin-off project lead by S. Sehrish on review of Track3DKalmanHit will include the first implementation on track reconstruction
- interesting independent work by D. Adams to have TPC wire simulation modular, customizable and with a shared interface

Maintainability and Software architecture

Maintainability:

- event display was not redesigned work in this direction will happen during this year
- spin-off project on review of Track3DKalmanHit also focuses on maintainability
- good practices preached and advertised
- added numerous unit tests

Software architecture:

- performance analysis of MicroBooNE simulation by K. Knopfler and P. Russo, with recommendations
- no other specific work performed...
- thread-safety known to be an issue in some of the core services
- a lot of discussion is ongoing including art project on multi-threading

Summary

- the intervention area of the sub-project was vast
 ⇒ heavy prioritization and pruning was necessary
- not all the areas could be addressed as we would have liked
 - mainly for lack of time
- the most relevant achievements:
 - increased authors' awareness on the topic
 - (LArSoft school and workshop gave fundamental contribution)

 \rightarrow response has been very satisfying

- many data products are easily portable
- core services now allow for fully factorized code
- key components of factorization are in LArSoft version 5 (today at Release Candidate 2)
- two spin-off works (Track3DKalmanHit and ShowerReco3D) are still in the process of being completed
- documentation will keep being integrated and improved

Next phase will be defined in the coming weeks - plenty of work to do!