Introduction to the SM (1)

Yuval Grossman

Cornell



The SM (1)

General remarks

- Please ask questions!
- Email: yg73@cornell.edu
- The plan:
 - Intro to model building and the SM
 - The gauge sector and SSB
 - Flavors: quarks and leptons

Why are we here?



The SM (1)

What is HEP?



Under some assumption this translated into

$$\mathcal{L} = ?$$

- We have quite a good answer
- It is very elegant, it is based on simple axioms and symmetries
- The generalized coordinates are fields
- We use particles to answer this question

QFT: a reminder



The SM (1)

What is a field?

- In math: something that has a value in each point in space
- For physics we care about $\phi(x,t)$
 - Temperature (scalar field)
 - Wind (vector field)
 - Density of people (?)
 - Electric and magnetic fields (vector fields)
- How good is the field description of each of these?
- In physics a field used to be associated with a source, but now we know that fields are fundamental

How to solve a field theory?

Recall mechanics: We need to find x(t)

- x(t) minimizes the action, S. This is an axiom
- There is one action for the whole system

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(x, \dot{x}) dt$$

The solution is given by the E-L equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}$$

- Once we know L we can find x(t) up to initial conditions
- Mechanics is reduced to the question "what is L?"

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Solving field theories

A field theory: mechanics with many time dimensions

- We need to find the $\phi(x,t) \equiv \phi(t_{\mu})$
- ϕ is the generalized coordinate, while x and t are treated the same
- \bullet We still need to minimize S

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}[\phi(t_{\mu}), \partial_{\mu}\phi(t_{\mu})]d^{4}t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\phi)}\right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi}$$

- We have a way to solve field theories!
- Field theory is reduced to the question "what is \mathcal{L} ?"

The harmonic oscillator

Why do we care so much about harmonic oscillators?

- Because we really care about springs?
- Because we really care about pendulums?



The harmonic oscillator

Why do we care so much about harmonic oscillators?

- Because we really care about springs?
- Because we really care about pendulums?

Because almost any function around its minimum can be approximated as a harmonic function!



Coupled oscillators

- There are normal modes, and they are are not "local"
- The energy of each mode is conserved
- Once we keep non-harmonic terms energy moves between modes

$$V(x,y) = \frac{k_1 x^2}{2} + \frac{k_2 y^2}{2} + \alpha x^2 y$$

- What determines the rate of energy transfer?
- Assuming small oscillations, fields are coupled oscillators!

Quantum mechanics



The SM (1)

The quantum SHO

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 x^2}{2}$$
 $E_n = (n+1/2)\hbar\omega$

Consider a system with 2 DOFs and same mass with

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{ky^2}{2} + \alpha xy$$

The normal modes are

$$q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x \pm y) \qquad \omega_{\pm}^2 = \frac{k \pm \alpha}{m}$$

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Quantum coupled oscillators

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{ky^2}{2} + \alpha xy$$
$$q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x \pm y) \qquad \omega_{\pm}^2 = \frac{k \pm \alpha}{m}$$

1) What is the QM spectrum of this system?



The SM (1)

Quantum coupled oscillators

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{ky^2}{2} + \alpha xy$$
$$q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x \pm y) \qquad \omega_{\pm}^2 = \frac{k \pm \alpha}{m}$$

1) What is the QM spectrum of this system?

$$(n_+ + 1/2)\hbar\omega_+ + (n_- + 1/2)\hbar\omega_- \qquad |n_+, n_-\rangle$$

2) What we will have when we add a $\lambda x^2 y$ term

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Quantum coupled oscillators

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{ky^2}{2} + \alpha xy$$
$$q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x \pm y) \qquad \omega_{\pm}^2 = \frac{k \pm \alpha}{m}$$

1) What is the QM spectrum of this system?

$$(n_+ + 1/2)\hbar\omega_+ + (n_- + 1/2)\hbar\omega_- \qquad |n_+, n_-\rangle$$

2) What we will have when we add a $\lambda x^2 y$ term Energy can transfer between the modes!

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

SHO and photons

I have two questions:

- What is the energy that it takes to excite a SHO by one level?
- What is the energy of the photon?

Excitations of SHOs are particles

Feynman diagrams are a tool that use perturbation theory to calculate probably of transition

A short summary

- QFT is our framework to understand the basic laws of Nature
- Given L we use perturbation theory and Feynman diagrams to calculate



Symmetries



The SM (1)

Symmetries and representations

Example: 3d real space in classical mechanics with several particles with coordinated a, b, c,...

- We require that L is invariant under rotation
- We construct invariants from the DOFs. They are called singlets or scalars

$$C_s = a_i b_i, \qquad C_a = \epsilon_{ijk} a_i b_j c_k$$

• We then require that L is a function of the C_s and C_a and their time derivative

Generalizations

- In mechanics, \vec{r} lives in 3d real space and is a vector
- Fields do not live in real space. They live in some mathematical space
- They also do not have to be vectors, but can be scalars or tensors (representation)
- The idea is similar to what we did in mechanics
 - We require \mathcal{L} to be invariant under rotation in that mathematical space
 - Thus L depends only on combinations of fields that form singlets
- All this is related to a subject called Lie groups
- We usually care about SO(N), SU(N) and U(1)

Local symmetries



The SM (1)

Local symmetry

Basic idea: rotations depend on x_{μ}

- It is kind of logical and we think that all imposed symmetries in Nature are local
- The kinetic term $|\partial_{\mu}\phi|^2$ in not invariant
- We want a kinetic term (why?)
- We can save the kinetic term if we add a field that is
 - Massless
 - Spin 1

Local symmetries \Rightarrow force fields

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Accidental symmetries

- We only impose local symmetries
- Yet, because we truncate the expansion, we can get symmetries as output
- They are global, and are called accidental
- Example: U(1) with X(q = 1) and Y(q = -4)

$$V(XX^*, YY^*) \Rightarrow U(1)_X \times U(1)_Y$$

- X^4Y breaks this symmetry
- In the SM baryon and lepton numbers are accidental symmetries

The SM (1)

The SM



The SM (1)

How to "built" Lagrangians

- *L* is:
 - The most general one that is invariant under some symmetries (democratic principle)
 - We work up to some order (usually 4)
- We need the following input:
 - What are the symmetries we impose
 - What DOFs we have and how they transform under the symmetry
- The output is
 - A Lagrangian with *N* parameters
 - We need to measure its parameters and test it

The SM

Input: Symmetries and fields

Symmetry: 4d Poincare and

 $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

- Fields:
 - 3 copies of QUDLE fermions

$$Q_L(3,2)_{1/6} \quad U_R(3,1)_{2/3} \quad D_R(3,1)_{-1/3}$$

 $L_L(1,2)_{-1/2} \quad E_R(1,1)_{-1}$

One scalar

 $\phi(1,2)_{+1/2}$

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Then Nature is described by

• Output: the most general \mathcal{L} up to dim 4

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{kin} + \mathcal{L}_{\phi} + \mathcal{L}_{\psi} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa}$$

- This model has a $U(1)_B \times U(1)_e \times U(1)_\mu \times U(1)_\tau$ accidental symmetry
- Initial set of measurements to find the parameters
 - SSB: $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{EM}$
 - Fermion masses, gauge couplings and mixing angles

The SM pass (almost) all of it tests

Y. Grossman

The SM (1)

Some summary

- We have rules to built Lagrangians based on symmetries
- We use them to get the SM
- Tomorrow we will discuss the SM in more details

