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Introduction to FIFE

- The Fabric for Frontier Experiments aims to:
  - Lead the development of the computing model for non-LHC experiments
  - Provide a robust, common, modular set of tools for experiments, including
    - Job submission, monitoring, and management software
    - Data management and transfer tools
    - Database and conditions monitoring
    - Collaboration tools such as electronic logbooks, shift schedulers
  - Work closely with experiment contacts during all phases of development and testing; standing meetings w/developers
- https://web.fnal.gov/project/FIFE/SitePages/Home.aspx
A Wide Variety of Stakeholders

• At least one experiment in energy, intensity, and cosmic frontiers, studying all physics drivers from the P5 report, uses some or all of the FIFE tools

• Experiments range from those built in 1980s to fresh proposals
Common problems, common solutions

• FIFE experiments on average are 1-2 orders of magnitude smaller than LHC experiments; often lack sufficient expertise or time to tackle all problems, e.g. software frameworks or job submission tools
  – Also much more common to be on multiple experiments in the neutrino world
• By bringing experiments under a common umbrella, can leverage each other’s expertise and lessons learned
  – Greatly simplifies life for those on multiple experiments
• Common modular software framework is also available (ART, based on CMSSW) for most experiments
• Example of a common problem: large auxiliary files needed by many jobs
  – Provide storage solution with a combination of dCache+CVMFS
Common, modular services available from FIFE

• Submission to distributed computing: JobSub
  – GlideinWMS frontend

• Workflow monitors, alarms, and automated job submission

• Data handling and distribution
  – Sequential Access Via Metadata (SAM)
  – dCache/Enstore (data caching and transfer/long-term tape storage)
  – Fermilab File Transfer Service
  – Intensity Frontier Data Handling Client (data transfer)

• Software stack distribution via CVMFS

• User authentication, proxy generation, and security

• Electronic logbooks, databases, and beam information

• Integration with new technologies and projects, e.g. GPUs and HEPCloud
FIFE Experiment Data and Job volumes

• Nearly 7.4 PB new data catalogued over past 6 months across all expts
• Average throughput of 3.3 PB/wk through FNAL dCache
• Typically 16K concurrent running jobs; peak over 36K
• Combined numbers approaching scale of LHC (factor of 6-7 wrt ATLAS+CMS)
Going global with user jobs

- International collaborators can often bring additional computing resources to bear; users want to be able to seamlessly run at all sites with unified submission command
  - First International location was for NOvA at FZU in Prague. Now have expanded to JINR for NOvA; Manchester, Lancaster, and Bern for Microboone; Imperial College, FZU, Sheffield, CERN Tier 0 for DUNE/protoDUNE

- Following OSG prescription (OSG is NOT disappearing) makes it easy to have sites around the globe communicate with a common interface, with a variety of job management systems underneath

- Integration times as short as 1-2 weeks; all accessible via standard submission tools. Record set-up time is just 2 hours!
FIFE Monitoring of resource utilization

• Extremely important to understand performance of system

• Critical for responding to downtimes and identifying inefficiencies

• Focused on improving the real time monitoring of distributed jobs, services, and user experience

• Enter **FIFEMON**: project built on open source tools (ELK stack, Graphite; Grafana for visualization)
  – Access to historical information using same toolset

---

**FIFE Monitoring Summary**

- **Average Number of Jobs Running Concurrently**: 16396
- **Average Slots Claimed Concurrently**: 17228
- **Total Jobs Run**: 44121

**Weighted slots by Experiment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOvA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20158</td>
<td>4386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14188</td>
<td>3772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mu2e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18357</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroBooNE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10176</td>
<td>1914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Experiments</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12942</td>
<td>1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8490</td>
<td>1326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINERvA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7643</td>
<td>1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mu2e 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5237</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percent Jobs Run Onsite**: 77.3%

**Percent Jobs Run on HEP Cloud**: N/A

**Percent Jobs Run on OSG**: 22.7%

Code in [https://fifemon.github.io](https://fifemon.github.io)
Full workflow management

• Now combining job submission, data management, databases, and monitoring tools into complete workflow management system
  – Production Operations Management Service (POMS)
• Can specify user-designed “campaigns” via GUI describing job dependencies, automatic resubmission of failed jobs, complete monitoring and progress tracking in DB
  – Visible in standard job monitoring tools
• Usable for production-level running and user analysis
• REST API for data I/O
• Command line tools for needed operations
• Supports POMS launching jobs, or experimenters launching jobs and using POMS only for tracking
Improving Productivity with Continuous Integration

• Have built up a Jenkins-based Continuous Integration system designed for both common software infrastructure (e.g. Art) and experiment-specific software, full web UI
• In addition to software builds, can also perform physics validation tests of new code (run specific datasets as grid jobs and compare to reference plots)
• Supporting SL6/7, working on OSX and Ubuntu support, experiments free to choose any combination of platforms
• Targeted email notifications for failures

Multiplatform continuous integration for Art

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Build</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Build Type</th>
<th>checkout</th>
<th>pullProducts</th>
<th>build</th>
<th>unit_test</th>
<th>install</th>
<th>Progress Legend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:10:30.094410</td>
<td>Darwin 14.5.0</td>
<td>d14-s35-e10-mu.debug</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:10:9.53.508640</td>
<td>Darwin 14.5.0</td>
<td>d14-s35-e10-nu.prof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:10:04.143585</td>
<td>Linux 2.6.32-573.26.1.e6.x86_64</td>
<td>s6f-s35-e10-nu.prof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:10:04.601865</td>
<td>Linux 2.6.32-573.26.1.e6.x86_64</td>
<td>s6f-s35-e10-mu.debug</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:10:00.921544</td>
<td>Linux 2.6.32-573.26.1.e6.x86_64</td>
<td>s6f-s35-e10-nu.debug</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Skipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:08:49.644149</td>
<td>Linux 2.6.32-573.26.1.e6.x86_64</td>
<td>s6f-s35-e10-prof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:08:59.198921</td>
<td>Darwin 14.5.0</td>
<td>d14-s35-e10-prof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:08:59.098807</td>
<td>Darwin 14.5.0</td>
<td>d14-s35-e10-debug</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:08:45.978269</td>
<td>Linux 3.10.0-327.18.2.e7.x86_64</td>
<td>s7f-s35-e10-prof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016-06-24 18:08:45.881568</td>
<td>Linux 3.10.0-327.18.2.e7.x86_64</td>
<td>s7f-s35-e10-debug</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Skipped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase: ci_tests

- si_calib_fd_regression_test_novasci
- si_calib_md_regression_test_novasci
- si_raw2root_fd_t00_regression_test_novasci
- si_raw2root_fd_t02_regression_test_novasci
- si_reco_fd_regression_test_novasci
- si_reco_md_regression_test_novasci

NOvA experiment’s CI tests

2 tests with Warning: They are successful BUT the Data Product are different from the reference files

Finished 2016-06-24 18:08:58.841580 exit code: 3
Access to High Performance Computing

- Clear push from DOE to use more HPC resources (supercomputers)
- Somewhat of a different paradigm, but current workflows can be adapted
- Resources typically require an allocation to access them
- FIFE can help experiment link allocations to existing job submission tools
  - Looks like just another site to the job, but shields user from complexity of gaining access
  - Successfully integrated with NOvA at Ohio Supercomputing Center, MINOS+ at Texas Advanced Computing Center
  - Mu2e experiment now testing at NERSC (via HEPCloud)
Lots of (justified) excitement about GPUs; heard quite a bit already this week

Currently no standardized way to access resources

**FIFE now developing such a standard interface within the existing job submission system**
- Uses a GPU discovery tool from OSG to characterize the system (GPU type, CUDA/OpenCL version, driver info, etc.)
- **Advertises GPU capabilities in a standard way across sites**; users can simply add required capabilities to their job requirements (I need GPU Type X, I need CUDA > 1.23, etc.) System will match jobs and slots accordingly.
- Working at two OSG sites: Nebraska Omaha and Syracuse

Rolling out to experiments over the next several weeks

**Starting discussions with non-FIFE experiments (LHC) about trying to speak a common language as much as possible in this new area**
FIFE Plans for the future

• Containers (Docker, Singularity, etc.) becoming more important in increasingly heterogeneous environments (including GPU machines). Help shepherd users through this process and create some common containers for them
• Help define the overall computing model of the future (see HEPCloud talk), guide experiments
  – **Seamlessly integrate dedicated, opportunistic, HPC, and commercial computing resources**
  – Usher in easy access to GPU resources for those experiments interested
• **Lower barriers to accessing computing elements around the world in multiple architectures**
  – Help to connect experimenters and computing professionals to drive experiment SW to increased multithreading and smaller memory per core footprints
  – Federated identity management (reduced access barriers for international partners)
• Augment data management tools (SAM) to also allow a "jobs to the data" model
• Scale up and improve UI to existing services
Summary

• FIFE providing access to world class computing to help accomplish world-class science
  – FIFE Project aims to provide common, modular tools useful for the full range of HEP computing tasks
  – Stakeholders in all areas of HEP; wide range of maturity in experiments
  – Experiments, datasets, and tools are not limited to Fermilab

• Overall scale now approaching LHC experiments; plan to heavily leverage opportunistic resources

• Now providing full Workflow Manager, functionality not limited to Fermilab resources

• Work hand-in-hand with experiments and service providers to move into new computing models via HEPCloud

http://fife.fnal.gov/
Backup
Additional Reading and Documentation

https://fermipoint.fnal.gov/project/FIFE/SitePages/Home.aspx
https://cdcvsl.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/fife/wiki/Introduction_to_FIFE_and_Component_Services
https://cdcvsl.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/jobsfbi/wiki
https://cdcvsl.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/sam-main
https://fifemon.github.io/
https://cdcvsl.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/prod_mgmt_db
https://pomsgpvm01.fnal.gov/poms/
Selected results enabled by the FIFE Tools

**NOvA: $\theta_{23}$ measurement**

**Dark Energy Survey: Dwarf planet discovery**

**Microboone: first results**

**MINOS+: limits on LEDs**
NOvA – full integration of FIFE Services

- File Transfer Service stored over 6.5 PB of NOvA data in dCache and Enstore
- SAM Catalog contains more than 41 million files
- Helped develop SAM4Users as lightweight catalog

- Jan 2016 - NOvA published first papers on oscillation measurements
- avg 12K CPU hours/day on remote resources
- > 500 CPU cores opportunistic
- FIFE group enabled access to remote resources and helped configure software stack to operate on remote sites
- Identified inefficient workflows and helped analyzers optimize
Overview of Experiment Computing Operations

Select Experiments: ANNIE, CDF, CDMS, D0, DUNE, LAHAT, MINERVA, MINOS, MicroBooNE, Mu2e, NOvA, SBN, StarQuest, g-2

Landscape

MicroBooNE Computing Summary

Fermilab

Average Jobs Running Concurrently: 1042
Total Jobs Run: 168855
Average Time Spent Waiting in Queue (Production): 25.6 min

quickly understand the usage pattern for the last week of each experiment and collectively get a picture of distributed computing operations for the FIFE experiments
Detailed profiling of experiment operations

Monitor usage of slow moving resources so that projections can be made for projecting future need and limitations
Monitoring of jobs and experimental dashboards

Monitoring for individual users to track their distributed computing workflows and understand their resource allocation and needs.
Monitoring of jobs and experiment dashboards
Monitoring at user level

Users have access to their own page, including special page with details of held jobs.
Automated Alerts with FIFEMON

Automated notifications for things like idle slot counts, disk utilization can go to email, Slack, websites, to both sysadmins and experimenters.
When processing data with SAM, one:

• Defines a dataset containing the files you want to process
• Start a SAM “Project” to hand them out
• Start one or more jobs which register as “Consumers” of the Project, including their location.
• Consumer Jobs then request files from the project, process them, and request another file, etc.
• Projects can prestage data while handing out data already on disk, and refer consumers to the “nearest” replica.
• Generally output is copied to an FFTS dropbox for production work, or to a user’s personal disk area.
• Thus the data is sent to the job, not the other way around
• However projects have limits; only so much at one submission.
Provide a modular architecture: experiments do not need to take all services. Can insert experiment-specific services as well (e.g. dedicated local SEs or local lab/university clusters)
Mu2e Beam Simulations Campaign

- Almost no input files
- Heavy CPU usage
- <100 MB output per job
- Ran > 20M CPU-hours in under 5 months
- Avg 8000 simultaneous jobs across > 15 remote sites
- Usage as high as 20,000 simultaneous jobs and 500,000 CPU hours in one day – peaked usage 1\textsuperscript{st} wk Oct 2015
- \textit{Achieved stretch goal} for processing 24 times live-time data for 3 most important backgrounds
- \textbf{Total cost to Mu2e for these resources: $0}
Job Submission and management architecture

- Common infrastructure is the **fifebatch** system: one GlideInWMS pool, 2 schedds, frontend, collectors, etc.
- Users interface with system via “jobsub”: middleware that provides a common tool across all experiments; shields user from intricacies of Condor
  - Simple matter of a command-line option to steer jobs to different sites
- Common monitoring provided by FIFEMON tools
  - Now also helps users to understand why jobs aren’t running
- **Automatic enforcement of memory, disk, and run time requests** (jobs held if they exceed their request)

![Image of job submission and management architecture]

**User**

- **Jobsub client**
- **Jobsub server**
- **Monitoring** (FIFEMON)
- **GlideInWMS pool**
- **GlideInWMS frontend**
- **Condor negotiator**
- **FNAL GPGGrid**
- **OSG Sites**
- **AWS/HEPCloud**
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Simplifying I/O with IFDH

• File I/O is a complex problem (Best place to read? What protocol? Best place to send output?)

• Intensity Frontier Data Handling client developed as common wrapper around standard data movement tools; shield user from site-specific requirements and choosing transfer protocols

• Nearly a drop-in replacement for cp, rm, etc., but also extensive features to interface with SAM (can fetch files directly from SAM project, etc.)

• Supports a wide variety of protocols (including xrootd); automatically chooses best protocol depending on host machine, source location, and destination (can override if desired)
  – Backend behavior can be changed or new protocols added in completely transparent ways
Data management: SAM and FTS

SAM originally developed for CDF and D0; many FNAL experiments now using it

- A File metadata/provenance catalog
- A File replica catalog (*data need not be at Fermilab*)
- Allows metadata query-based “dataset” creation
- An optimized file delivery system (command-line, C++, Python APIs available)
- Originally a Oracle backend; now Postgresql
- Communication via CORBA for CDF/D0; now via http for everyone
  - Eliminates need to worry about opening ports for communication with server in nearly all cases
Fermilab File Transfer Service

• Watches one or more dropboxes for new files
• Can extract metadata from files and declare to SAM, or handle files already declared
• Copies files to one or more destinations based on file metadata and/or dropbox used, register locations w/SAM
• Can automatically clean dropboxes, usually N days after files are on tape
• **Does not** have to run at Fermilab, nor do source or destination have to be at Fermilab
CI Existing Plans

• Fermilab has already applied the Continuous Integration practice to the LArSoft-based experiments. Experiments on-boarded in Lar CI are: MicroBooNE, DUNE, LArIAT and ArgoNeuT.

• Because of the given justification, the CI project plan is to apply the Continuous Integration development practice to all IF experiments at Fermilab:
  – Extend Lar-CI practice to other no-LArSoft based experiments
  – Add additional features to the existing LAr-CI
  – Improve performance like: speed the response time of the DB/ schema changes (it requires some code and dataflow analysis to optimize the queries, it may need some DB model changes ... suspect scalability issue), create dynamic plots ....
  – Provide documentation to “facilitate” the use of the CI practice among the experiments.

• See CI redmine: https://cdcvs.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/ci

• Apply the The Plan Do Check Act (PDCA) cycle: work together with the experiments to define needs and priorities and receive feedback.
Monitoring in the CI system - NOvA

• Found an issue in the reco processing stage and in a commit of the NOvA code from a user (contacted and solved)
Monitoring in the CI system - MicroBooNE

- Memory usage history plot: uboonecode geant4 stage as an example.

- Using CORSIKA as cosmic shower generator, memory usage goes from ~2Gb to ~3.5Gb.
- After the intervention of a memory profiling “task force” the memory usage went down to ~1.2Gb.
POMS: Example Campaign Info

Campaign uBooNE Electron Lifetime

Campaign
Name: uBooNE Electron Lifetime
Experiment: uboone
Dataset: none
Software Version: v05_08_00_03
Created: 2016-08-05 17:42:27.461118-05:00
Creator: vito@fnal.gov
V0 Role: Production
Param Overrides: ["~/configfile ~/.config/Config/ElectronLifetime_test.cfg"]
cs_split_type: None
cs_split_dimensions: None
cs_last_split: None
Active: True

Actions
- Job Efficiency Histogram
- Day by Day Spreadsheet
- Submission Time Bars
- Campaign Submission Files
- Launch Campaign Jobs Now
- Kill Jobs for Campaign
- Schedule Future Job Launches

Campaign Definition
Name: uBooNE Electron Lifetime
Creator: vito@fnal.gov
Created: 2016-08-05 17:49:08.688918-05:00
Launch Script: /uboone/app/home/uboonepro/KeepUp/Production/KeepUp_uBooNE.sh
Definition Parameters: ["~/configfile ~/.config/Config/ElectronLifetime.cfg"]
Input Files Per Job: 0
Output Files Per Job: 0
Output File Patterns: SwtRsrcLifetime_hist_%

Tags
- Enter tag

Recent Launch Outputs
- 20160824_161120
- 20160824_141115
- 20160824_121133
- 20160824_101132
- 20160824_081132

Launch Template
Name: uboone template
Launch Host: ubooneqvm07.fnal.gov
Launch Account: uboonepro
Launch Directory: /app/ubopen
### POMS: Example of Troubleshooting

**Jobs by user_exe_exit_code,node_name,experiment**

```
2016-08-23 19:01 to 2016-08-24 19:01
```

<previous 1 days | next 1 days>

```
user_exe_exit_code | node_name | experiment | count
-----------------|----------|------------|------
65               | fnpc8001.fnal.gov | uboone     | 4    
65               | fnpc7015.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc8002.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc7002.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc3274.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
250              | acas1396.usatlas.bnl.gov | nova | 1    
65               | fnpc3284.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc2066.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc4217.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
65               | fnpc2126.fnal.gov | uboone     | 1    
```