# Neutrino Flux Measurement In the DUNE Near Detector

Hongyue Duyang University of South Carolina



For the DUNE Collaboration



DPF Meeting 2017 APSIPARTICLES & FIELDS July 31 - August 4 dpf2017.fnol.gov

## Introduction to DUNE

- DUNE is a long-baseline neutrino experiment aiming to solve mass hierarchy and CP-violation by measuring  $v_{\mu}$  to  $v_e/\bar{v}_{\mu}$  to  $\bar{v}_e$  oscillation in one single experiment.
- 40 kton LAr TPC as the far detector in Lead, SD.
- A capable near detector is crucial for DUNE to constrain systematic uncertainties, including flux uncertainty.



### **Near Detector Options**

- Currently we have several ND options under study:
  - LAr TPC
  - Fine-Grained Tracker (FGT, CDR reference design)
  - High-Pressure Ar Gas TPC
  - Scintillating plastic tracker

### **Near Detector Options**

- Currently we have several ND options under study:
  - LAr TPC-
  - Fine-Grained Tracker (FGT, CDR reference design)
  - High-Pressure Ar Gas TPC
  - Scintillating plastic tracker



### **Near Detector Options**

- Currently we have several ND options under study:
  - LAr TPC-
  - Fine-Grained Tracker (FGT, CDR reference design)
  - High-Pressure Ar Gas TPC
  - Scintillating plastic tracker



Straw Tube Tracker (Argon target)





#### **GAS MIXTURE AND READOUT**

- Modern micro-pattern gaseous detectors (i.e. Micromegas, GEMs, etc.) solve traditional problems of TPCs (ion feedback, E×B effects...) and facilitate the operation at high pressure.
- Gas quenchers are probably required to achieve highenough gains. Their concentration must be kept low

#### **EXPECTED PERFORMANCE OF TPC**

• Momentum resolution better than 5% at 1 GeV. Dominated by multiple scattering at lower momenta.

$$\mathbf{6} \left( \sigma_p / p \right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{720}{N+5}} \frac{\sigma_x \ p \ \sin \theta}{0.3 \ B \ L} + \left( \frac{0.016}{\beta \ B \ \sqrt{L \ X_0 \ \sin \theta}} \right)$$







- DUNE will use the new LBNF neutrino beam.
- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.





- DUNE will use the new LBNF neutrino beam.
- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.





- DUNE will use the new LBNF neutrino beam.
- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.

# Flux Measurement for DUNE



Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.

# Flux Measurement for DUNE



- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.
- External hadron production data constraint.
  - Talk on Monday by Amit Bashyal: PPFX for DUNE.

# Flux Measurement for DUNE



- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.
- External hadron production data constraint.
  - Talk on Monday by Amit Bashyal: PPFX for DUNE.
- In situ measurement at ND: the focus of this talk.
  - Generally speaking neutrino interaction cross-sections have large uncertainty
  - We need some neutrino interaction channels known well enough in some aspect to measure flux:
    - Neutrino-electron scattering for absolute flux.
    - Low-v sample for flux shape.
    - Coherent pions for  $\bar{\nu}/\nu$  ratio and beam divergence.





- $E_e \theta^2 = 2m_e(1-y) < 2m_e$ .
- Good angular resolution is critical to reduce background.



- $E_e \theta^2 = 2m_e(1-y) < 2m_e$ .
- Good angular resolution is critical to reduce background.
- Assuming 2 mrad angular resolution, 6% background is expected.

#### **DUNE Work in Progress**





- $E_e \theta^2 = 2m_e(1-y) < 2m_e$ .
- Good angular resolution is critical to reduce background.
- Assuming 2 mrad angular resolution, 6% background is expected.
- Background comes from  $\pi^0$  and  $\nu_e$ -CC (QE) events:
  - e<sup>+</sup> sample to control  $\pi^0$  bkg: need e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> ID.
  - 2-track  $v_e$ -CC QE-like events to constrain  $v_e$  " CC QE background (50% efficiency in FGT).

#### **DUNE Work in Progress**



- Assuming 1.2 MW beam power, 5 tons ND fiducial mass, 3 years neutrino running we expect:
  - ~7.8k  $\nu_{\mu}$  + e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$  + e<sup>-</sup>: ~2% precision in 2.5~10 GeV.
  - ~4k  $\nu_{\mu}$  + e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$   $\nu_{e}$  +  $\mu^{-}$ : ~2.5% precision in 11~50 GeV.
- Given known neutrino direction and good detector resolution it is also possible to measure flux shape (work in progress).



### Low-v method

• At very low  $v = E_v - E_l$ , the cross section is independent from  $E_v$ :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\nu} = A\left(1 + \frac{B}{A}\frac{\nu}{E} - \frac{C}{A}\frac{\nu^2}{2E^2}\right)$$

(A, B and C are parameters formed by nuclear structure functions.)

- the measurement of low v spectrum is approximately a measurement of flux shape.
- The effect of non-zero v cut is account for by a theoretical correction:

$$S(E) = \frac{\sigma(E)^{\nu < \nu_0}}{\sigma(E)^{\nu \to 0}} = \frac{\sigma(E)^{\nu < \nu_0}}{\sigma(E \to \infty)^{\nu < \nu_0}}$$

- Systematic uncertainty dominant:
  - Muon energy
  - Hadronic energy (v)
  - Theoretical correction
- See Lu Ren's talk on Tuesday for MINERvA's Low-v flux measurement



### Low- $\nu$ method

- Beam hadron production can be parametrized using empirical functions:  $\begin{pmatrix} E \times \frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} \end{pmatrix} = A (1 - x_R)^{\alpha} (1 + Bx_R) x_R^{-\beta} \times (1 + a'(x_R)p_T + b'(x_R)p_T^2) e^{-a'(x_R)p_T} \end{pmatrix}$
- Use ND low-v neutrino and antineutrino data to constrain beam hadron productions.
- Given good muon/hadron energy resolution, expect an FD/ND ratio at 1~2% precision in 0.5~50 GeV.



#### Coherent Pion



# Summary

- A capable ND is important to constrain the systematic uncertainty for oscillation analysis, including neutrino flux uncertainty.
- A lot of work going on to develop flux measurement methods.
  - Neutrino-electron scattering for absolute flux.
  - Low-v method for relative flux.
  - Coherent pions for  $\bar{v}/v$  ratio and beam divergence.
- Combined with external data we aim at a precise flux prediction for DUNE.

# Back up slides







- DUNE will use the new LBNF neutrino beam.
- Flux uncertainty comes from hadron production and beam focusing.



- $E_e \theta^2 = 2m_e(1-y) < 2m_e$ .
- Good angular resolution is critical to reduce background.
- Assuming 2 mrad angular resolution, 6% background is expected.
- Background comes from  $\pi^0$  and  $\nu_e$ -CC (QE) events:
  - e<sup>+</sup> sample to control  $\pi^0$  background: need e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> ID.
  - 2-track  $v_e$ -CC QE-like events to constrain  $v_e$ -CC QE background (50% efficiency in FGT).