# Hadronic containment in a Liquid Argon test beam

Pawel Guzowski

University of Manchester



The University of Manchester

## Outline

- Definition of containment
- Treatment of neutrons
- Pion containment
- Proton containment
- $\pi^{o}$  containment

# Introduction

- Presented here is a study I performed for the LArIAT Phase 2 proposal
- This study was performed >3 years ago
  Apologies if my memory is a bit hazy
- The goal was to study hadronic containment, to influence the design of the detector, specifically the dimensions required
- The study was performed with LarSoft version "S2012.05.09"

# Quantifying containment

- Fire hadrons at an expanded microboone detector simulation (double width & double height)
- Determine what fraction of total energy deposit is contained inside cylinder (r,z) around particle axis
  - Numerically: (Sum of energy in cylinder) / (Total energy in whole detector)



#### Relatively poor containment due to neutrons



## Strategy for neutrons

- Three strategies available for dealing with neutrons
  - 1. Ignore them completely
  - 2. Have a KE cut
  - 3. Have a time cut
  - 4. Noise floor not simulated
- Probably a combination of 2&3 is the best

## Ignoring neutrons



Pawel Guzowski - ProtoDUNE workshop

### Neutron KE cuts

• Ignore hits produced by neutrons below KE thresh



### Neutron time cuts

- Geant4 has a default neutron tracking time cut of 10µs
- However neutron times extend up to 120µs



MC energy deposit times (1GeV pions)



## Effects of time cut

#### • Ignore hits produced by neutrons after time thresh



(Apologies for poor statistics, as these had to be regenerated after altering GEANT4)

# Handling neutrons

- These neutron hits are in theory reconstructable.
  - Without a good handle on t<sub>o</sub>, how much use are they?
- Do you really want these neutron hits to be a significant input to deciding the size of the detector?
- Remainder of the plots in this presentation are with neutrons hits removed.

## Pions

- 0–2 GeV/c momentum range
- These plots ignore neutrons
  - Neutron-inclusive plots in backups for comparison
  - But for quick reference, at 75cm radius:
    - ~5% fully contained 1 GeV/c pions
    - ~85% mean containment for 1GeV/c pions

#### Mean transverse containment



Mean longitudinal containment



≥99% Transverse Containment



≥99% Longitudinal Containment



≥95% Transverse Containment



≥95% Longitudinal Containment



## Protons

- 0–2 GeV/c momentum range
- These plots ignore neutrons
  - Neutron-inclusive plots in backups for comparison
  - But for quick reference, at 75cm radius:
    - ~50% fully contained 1 GeV/c protons
    - ~95% mean containment for 1GeV/c protons

#### Mean transverse containment



#### Mean longitudinal containment



≥99% Transverse Containment



≥99% Longitudinal Containment



≥95% Transverse Containment



≥95% Longitudinal Containment



# Secondary $\pi^{o}s$

- Following the removal of neutrons from the event, the next most significant effect limiting the containment of hadrons is the production of secondary  $\pi^{o}s$
- If these decay transversely, the photons are rarely fully contained within ~75cm.



## Secondary $\pi^{o}$ kinematics



MANCHESTER 1824

The University of Manchester

#### Mean transverse containment



MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

Mean longitudinal containment



≥99% Transverse Containment



≥99% Longitudinal Containment



≥95% Transverse Containment



≥95% Longitudinal Containment



## Summary

- These plots will hopefully be a good start for understanding the containment of hadronic showers in protoDUNE
- Containment is limited by pions, protons and secondary  $\pi^{o}s$  (when neutrons are ignored)
- Muons, electrons etc are relatively well contained, compared to these



## BACKUPS



#### Effects of reconstruction on containment

- Using reconstruction information to refine containment studies
  - Only use those energy deposits that end up in
    - Cheater hits
      - Uses MC truth
    - Reconstructed hits
      - Gaussian hit finder
    - Reconstructed clusters
      - DB scan

#### Mean containment

Mean transverse containment





• Using reconstruction info doesn't add much to the containment study

Mean longitudinal containment

MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

#### Full containment

≥99% Transverse Containment





• Using reconstruction info doesn't add much to the containment study

#### Mean transverse containment



MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

#### Mean longitudinal containment



#### ≥99% Transverse Containment



≥99% Longitudinal Containment





#### ≥95% Transverse Containment



MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

≥95% Longitudinal Containment



#### Mean transverse containment

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

#### Mean longitudinal containment

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

≥99% Transverse Containment

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

≥99% Longitudinal Containment

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

≥95% Transverse Containment

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

≥95% Longitudinal Containment

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)