

Fit of TBT data using MAD-X^a

^aY.Alexahin et al., “Coupled Optics Reconstruction from TBT data using MAD-X”, PAC07

INTRODUCTION

Through the Fourier transform of the measured TBT data

$$\begin{aligned}x_n &= A_I \sqrt{\beta_{xI}} \cos(\phi_{xI} + \delta_I + 2\pi n Q_I) + \\ &\quad A_{II} \sqrt{\beta_{xII}} \cos(\phi_{xII} + \delta_{II} + 2\pi n Q_{II}) \\ y_n &= A_I \sqrt{\beta_{yI}} \cos(\phi_{yI} + \delta_I + 2\pi n Q_I) + \\ &\quad A_{II} \sqrt{\beta_{yII}} \cos(\phi_{yII} + \delta_{II} + 2\pi n Q_{II})\end{aligned}$$

the coupled **Mais-Ripken** twiss functions (β_{xI}, β_{xII} etc.) are known
(a part for $A_{I,II}$ and $\delta_{I,II}$).

The eigenvectors of the coupled transport matrix are related to the Mais-Ripken twiss functions

$$\begin{aligned} V_{11} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{xI}} \cos \phi_{xI} & V_{12} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{xI}} \sin \phi_{xI} \\ V_{13} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{xII}} \cos \phi_{xII} & V_{14} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{xII}} \sin \phi_{xII} \\ V_{31} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{yI}} \cos \phi_{yI} & V_{32} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{yI}} \sin \phi_{yI} \\ V_{33} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{yII}} \cos \phi_{yII} & V_{34} &\equiv \sqrt{\beta_{yII}} \sin \phi_{yII} \end{aligned}$$

Goal: adjust

- quadrupole **gradient** and **tilt**
- BPMs **calibration** and **tilt**^a
- $A_{I,II}$ and $\delta_{I,II}$

in order to fit the measured eigenvector values at the BPMs.

MAD-X is capable of matching coupled optics and allows user-defined expressions in matching constraints.

MAD-X **TWISS** uses Edwards-Teng formalism, whereas MAD-X **PTC_TWISS** uses Mais-Ripken formalism, but it is too slow for matching purposes.

^aThe BPM reading is related to the actual beam position by

$$x^{meas} = \frac{x + y \tan \chi}{r_x} \quad y^{meas} = \frac{y - x \tan \chi}{r_y}$$

with $\chi \equiv$ BPM tilt and $r_z \equiv z/z^{meas}$.

The two formalism are of course related, the relationships between the two sets of twiss functions being

$$\beta_{xI} = \kappa\beta_1 \quad \beta_{yII} = \kappa\beta_2 \quad \phi_{xI} = \varphi_1 \quad \phi_{yII} = \varphi_2$$

$$\beta_{xII} = \kappa[R_{22}(R_{22}\beta_2 + 2R_{12}\alpha_2) + R_{12}^2\gamma_2]$$

$$\beta_{yI} = \kappa[R_{11}(R_{11}\beta_1 - 2R_{12}\alpha_1) + R_{12}^2\gamma_1]$$

$$\phi_{xII} = \varphi_2 - \arctan[R_{12}/(R_{22}\beta_2 + R_{12}\alpha_2)]$$

$$\phi_{yI} = \varphi_1 + \arctan[R_{12}/(R_{11}\beta_1 - R_{12}\alpha_1)]$$

with $\kappa \equiv 1/(1 + |R|)$, R being a 2×2 matrix, also computed by MAD-X.

Use MAD-X **macros** to define

- Mais-Ripken functions in terms of Edwards-Teng ones
- constraints

Application to Tevatron

- The model: Tevatron luminosity optics (converted by Norman to MAD-8 format from Sasha OPTIM file (September 06) and further converted to MAD-X format)
- Number of variable magnets: 216 normal and 216 skew 2th order multipoles (as in Sasha LOCO fits)
- Number of observation points: 2×118
- TBT data to fit: July 07 (horizontal and vertical kick)

Fit very time consuming, therefore

- simplify input
- split fit

(see Sergey talk).