#### **Theory of Chemical Tagging**

Yuan-Sen Ting Carnegie-Princeton-IAS Fellow

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton Princeton University The Carnegie Observatories

- Understanding the chemical/dynamical evolution of galaxies

- When, where and how stars formed [initial point]
- What are their elemental abundances [chemical evolution]
- What are their orbits now [dynamical evolution]

- Understanding the chemical/dynamical evolution of galaxies

- When, where and how stars formed [initial point]
- What are their elemental abundances [chemical evolution]
- What are their orbits now [dynamical evolution]



- Understanding the chemical/dynamical evolution of galaxies

When, where and how stars formed [initial point]

What are their elemental abundances [chemical evolution]

What are their orbits now [dynamical evolution]



- Understanding the chemical/dynamical evolution of galaxies

When, where and how stars formed [initial point]

- What are their elemental abundances [chemical evolution]
- What are their orbits now [dynamical evolution]

## Star forming associations quickly disperse after their formation (~100 Myrs)



Kinematic information is not sufficient to reconstruct the birth origins of stars

After 100 Myrs

Assuming  $\sigma_{
m stars} = \sigma_{
m ISM} = 10 \; {
m km/s}$ 

Dispersing ink in water

Lada & Lada 2003

#### What is chemical tagging ?

Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn, 2002

## Chemically tagging the Milky Way in a multidimensional chemical space

Even these stars are now on widely dispersed orbits Magnesium Abundances Each clump shows a distinct star formation Abundances event in the Milky Way

Barium

Iron Abundances

Large spectroscopic surveys is rapidly changing the landscape of chemical tagging



Large spectroscopic surveys is rapidly changing the landscape of chemical tagging



### Why sample size matters for chemical tagging

Most stars are now dispersed and are not observable



Low sampling rate

Mock Milky Way

### Chemical tagging needs $> 10^6$ stars



## Visualizing 30D chemical space with the tSNE-projection







Non-linear transdimensional mapping while preserving substructures

 $f: 30\mathrm{D} 
ightarrow 2\mathrm{D} ext{ or } 3\mathrm{D}$ 

## Due to the small sampling rate, currently, it is hard to recover disrupted clusters



 $N_{
m star}=3 imes10^5$ 

tSNE project of a simulated Milky Way with 30 elemental abundances

Model empirically the chemical space using Galah iDR2

see YST et al. 2015 YST et al. 2016a

tSNE projected chemical space

Galah, as a path finder, should find ~100 disrupted clusters when it is completed



## Going beyond $10^6$ stars will unravel the full potential of chemical tagging



tSNE projected chemical space

### Classical Galactic archaeology



#### The new era of Galactic archaeology



#### What is a "star cluster" in chemical tagging

The characteristic radius in which chemical abundances of stars are unique



## The characteristic radius in which stars are chemically correlated



#### Reconciling different results

Chemical tagging can work
 Hogg et al. 2016, Kos et al. 2017, Chen et al. 2017

Globular clusters can be chemically tagged

Chemical tagging cannot work
 Blanco Cuaresma et al. 2015, Smiljanic et al. 2017

Open clusters are too chemically similar

### Globular clusters can be easily tagged because





(2) Located inchemical regionswith a lowdensity/background

tSNE projection of APOGEE chemical and radial velocity space

Some parts of the chemical space will remain too crowded for "strong" chemical tagging



tSNE projected chemical space

Some parts of the chemical space will remain too crowded for "strong" chemical tagging



tSNE projected chemical space

### "Statistical" Chemical Tagging

#### Study the elemental-elemental correlation

Only small clusters

With massive clusters

More "clumpy" in chemical space

The Milky Way cluster mass function for the old disk population (first 5 billion years)





The Milky Way did not form any chemically homogeneous clusters >  $10^7 \ M_{\odot}$ 

YST et al. 2016a

GALAH will answer whether  $10^6 \ M_{\odot}$  chemically homogeneous star forming associations existed



Moving forward

Spectra from more stars (  $> 10^6$  stars)

## Extract elemental abundances from low-resolution spectra to improve significantly the sample size



The previous bottleneck for low-resolution spectra : modeling blended features with > 20 parameters



The previous bottleneck for low-resolution spectra : modeling blended features with > 20 parameters



To extract information from blended features, we have to fit > 20 elemental abundances simultaneously

Mixture of data-driven models + synthetic model spectra

Generative model with neural networks

See also "The Cannon" Work from A. Casey, M. Ness

The Payne

YST et al. 2016b, 2017a, 2017b Rix, YST et al. 2016

## We measured 14 elemental abundances from LAMOST $R = 1,800, S/N_{pixel} > 30$ spectra



## We measured 14 elemental abundances from LAMOST $R = 1,800, S/N_{pixel} > 30$ spectra



## We measured 14 elemental abundances from LAMOST $R = 1,800, \mathrm{S/N_{pixel}} > 30$ spectra



## Using Galah as training spectra will enable > 20 elemental abundances from R=2000 spectra



### Summary: "strong" chemical tagging

- Reconstruct individual star clusters, even the members are now on widely dispersed orbits
- A "weak" form has been realized e.g., tagging globular clusters
- The strong form is still largely limited by the sampling rate and requires  $> 10^6$  stars

A combination of high- and low-resolution surveys











Understanding the dynamical history of the Milky Way

Cold water Hot water

"Cold" dispersion

"Hot" dispersion

### Connection to other IFU extragalactic studies e.g., MaNGA, SAMI, Hector



spatial-elemental correlation of H II regions

Oxygen Abundance

Characteristic radius for chemical tagging

Credit: Michael Dopita

## We recover a disrupted cluster (candidate) through chemical tagging in APOGEE



YST et al. in prep

### The candidates have similar stellar ages



#### These candidates are spatially dispersed



YST et al. in prep

#### The candidates agree with the isochrone perfectly



## The birthday paradox explained 10 people Threshold 23 people $10^6$ stars

Days in a year = 365

Sharing the same birthday



# Infer the number of days through the number of pairs 10 people Threshold 23 people Days in a year = 100 Sharing the same birthday

# Infer the number of days through the number of pairs 10 people Threshold 23 people Days in a year = 900 Sharing the same birthday

#### **Elemental-elemental correlation**



How about kinematics (Gaia)

Exploit the kinematic-chemical correlation Gaia : 10<sup>9</sup> stars

Simulating orbits of a billion stars

Harshil Kamdar (student), Conroy, YST

Including kinematics can "purify" contaminations of chemical clumps

