The Future of Carbon-Enhanced Metal-Poor (CEMP) Studies



Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Young Sun Lee (Chungnam National University)

Importance of Studies of CEMP Stars

□Nature of the first-generation stars





Nature of the Milky Way halo system





Understanding the Nature of Pop III Stars

Three groups

Group I – CEMP-s, -r, and –r/s (i)
Associated with Pop II AGB stars

✓ Group II – CEMP-no

•Correlation between A(C) and [Fe/H]

✓ Group III – CEMP-no

•No correlation of A(C) with [Fe/H]

This division means different progenitor mass range and different mixing history !

Progenitors on the CEMP-no stars are still unknown



Understanding the Nature of Pop III Stars

Characterization of progenitors for Group II and Group III

- Require understanding of the nucleosynthetic products
- Need a larger number of UMP ([Fe/H] < -4.0) stars, about 25 UMP stars known presently
- Necessary to carry out systematic search and high-resolution spectroscopy
- Also require further elaborate theoretical models to explain abundance patterns and characterize the progenitors



EMP stars are good candidates
HK and HES: ~380 EMP ([Fe/H] < -3.0) stars
SDSS & SEGUE: ~950 EMP stars
LAMOST: ~2400 EMP

•Need to carefully inspect these, though





UMP targets can be reliably selected from low-resolution of the SDSS and LAMOST spectra using Ca II K line

Selection criteria

✓ [Fe/H] < -3.5 measured from Ca II K line ✓ 4000 < $T_{\rm eff}$ < 6500 K, g_0 < 16.5

Possible to obtain high-resolution

spectra with larger telescopes



Observation of six UMP candidates with Gemini/GRACES

✓ Reference star: 3214-54866-429

•*T*_{eff}=5467, log *g*=3.2, [Fe/H] = -4.34 (Placco et al. 2015)



Observation of UMP candidates with Gemini/GRACES

- ✓ Identified four out of six stars as near UMP stars
- ✓ Abundance patterns can be used to constrain the progenitor masses

Measured abundances of Ultra Metal-poor Stars									
Name	[Fe/H]	[Ba/Fe]	[Ca/Fe]	[Cr/Fe]	[Mg/Fe]	[Na/Fe]	[Ti I /Fe]	[TiⅡ/Fe]	[C/Fe]
SDSS 3214-54866-429	-4.09	-0.22	0.76	-0.02	-0.06	-0.04	0.75		1.10
SDSS 1650-53174-492	-4.03	•••	0.23		0.52		1.08	0.77	1.33
SDSS 2901-54652-464	-3.97	0.41	0.63		0.47	-0.08	1.19		1.46
SDSS 3264-54889-032	-3.82		0.16	-0.23	0.31				2.61
SDSS 6377-56245-0382	-3.94	•••	0.53	-0.25	0.12	•••			0.97

What is Ahead? – Nature of Pop III Stars

Need to discover more UMP stars

Low-resolution spectroscopic surveys such as LAMOST and SDSS provide lots of good UMP candidates for high-resolution follow-ups



What is Ahead? – Nature of Pop III Stars

- Stars fainter than g₀ > 16.5 are really good targets for GMT, TMT, and ELT
 - Obtain high S/N medium resolution spectra for those objects
 - Confirm the UMP and CEMP status



These targets will be able to reach by next generation telescopes

What is Ahead? – Nature of Pop III Stars

More sophisticated SN models for CEMP-no stars

- ✓ Provide stringent constraints on the mass range of the Pop III stars
- ✓ Mass range of 21 UMP star progenitor is 10~40 M_{\odot} (Placco et al. 2015)



Understanding the Nature of the Galactic Halo with CEMP Stars

Dichotomy of the Galactic Halo

The Galactic halo

An important component to understand the assembly history of the MW

✓ New results from about 30,000 SDSS calibration stars (Carollo et al. 2007, 2010)

	Inner Halo (IH)	Outer Halo (OH)		
Distance (R)	< 10–15 kpc	> 15–20 kpc		
Rotational Velocity (V_{ϕ})	~0–50 km/s	-40 to -70 km/s		
Distribution	Oblate shape (high e)	More spherical shape		
[Fe/H]	-1.6	-2.2		
Origin	Dissipative collapse	Accreted		

✓ But, local sample (d < 4 kpc) and kinematics involved for population separation

Contrast in Other Elements?

The dichotomy should be expected in other chemical elements

□Can we use other element such as C?

Implications of CEMP Contrast in the Halo

- The variety of the CEMP stars indicates that there is likely to be more than one source of carbon production mechanism at low metallicity
 - ✓ If different progenitors (or subclasses) of CEMP stars can be associated with each halo population, this implies that each halo had different initial mass function and experienced different chemical evolution and assembly history

Implications of CEMP Contrast in the Halo

Questions to ask

- Does the metallicity distribution function (MDF) differ between the two halos separated by the level of [C/Fe]?
- ✓ Does the kinematic feature differ between the two halos?
- Does the ratio of CEMP-s to CEMP-no stars in the inner halo differ from that of the outer halo? If so, does the kinematics also show different characteristics?
- → Let us find out !

Inner/Outer Halo CEMP Fractions

□Local sample (d < 4 kpc) of ~13,000 SDSS calibration stars $\checkmark f(CEMP)_{OH} \sim 2 \times f(CEMP)_{IH}$

✓ The average [C/Fe] appears to be higher for the outer halo



→ Need to confirm with a much larger sample

Ratio of CEMP-no to CEMP-s in the IH/OH

Derived ratio of CEMP-no to CEMP-s stars among 50 CEMP stars out 183 stars with high-resolution spectroscopy (Carollo et al. 2014)



To confirm this, obviously more sample with high-resolution spectroscopy needed !

Identification of More CEMP Stars



Contrast in the Distribution of [C/Fe]

- Constructed a carbonicity ([C/Fe]) map from ~100,000 SDSS MSTO stars
- Separated into thick-disk region (TDR), inner-halo region (IHR), transition region(TrR), and outerhalo region (OHR) based on the level of [C/Fe] and spatial distribution



Metallicity Distribution Functions

Metallicity distribution function (MDF)s for the IHR and OHR



[Fe/H] peaks at -1.5

[Fe/H] peaks at -2.2

Rotation Velocity Distributions

Considering only the inner- and outer-halo regions, the OHR shows a slightly retrograde motion, but weaker than the previous study (-80 km/s)



Separation of CEMP-no and CEMP-s

□ Motivated by the work by Yoon et al. (2016)

 \checkmark Low A(C) stars are associated with CEMP-no, while high A(C) with CEMP-s



Fraction of CEMP-on and CEMP-s Stars

The outer-halo region shows higher fractions of CEMP-no stars, indicating that dominant progenitors associated with each halo differ



Kinematics Between CEMP-no and CEMP-s

□Rotation velocity differs between CEMP-no and CEMP-s stars in the outer halo → Numerous accretion history?



What is Ahead? – The Galactic Halo

□Combined sample from various spectroscopic surveys (e.g., SDSS + LAMOST) allows to construct more detailed abundance map with kinematics from Gaia proper motions and parallaxes → Eventually can reveal the formation process of the Milky



What is Ahead? – Galactic Archeology

High-resolution spectroscopic surveys such as GAIA-ESO, GALAH, 4MOST, WEAVE, etc.

- Determine abundances of many chemical elements based on high-resolution spectra for millions of stars
- Find some interesting stars to explain the variety of CEMP-r, CEMP-r/s, CEMPno, CEMP-s stars
- Possible to depict detailed chemo-dynamic assembly history of the Milky Way with Gaia
- ➔ Will help disentangle the contributions of various nucleosynthesis processes to the chemical enrichment of the MW as well as the building blocks of the MW