

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

Conventional Facilities Status

Steve Dixon PIP-II Machine Advisory Committee Meeting 10-12 April 2017

Outline

- R&D Phase Goals
- Current Status
- Schedule to CD-1
- Risk Status
- Summary

4/11/17

Charge Questions

- 1. Is the scope of the facility described in the CDR both feasible and likely to satisfy the requirements outlined in the Mission Need Statement?
- 2. Is the facility likely to meet the enumerated performance goals incorporated into the Functional Requirements Specification (FRS).
- 3. Have the risks inherent in the conceptual design been adequately identified and appropriately targeted within the R&D program?
- 4. Can the conceptual design be characterized as being sufficient to provide the technical basis for CD-1?



4/11/17

Conventional Facilities Scope of Work

- Conventional Facilities to Support PIP-II:
 - Site Work
 - Utilities (electrical, communication, ICW, DWS, sanitary, chilled water);
 - Site Improvements (roads, parking area, hardstands, tank foundations);
 - Linac
 - Below Grade Enclosure;
 - Linac Service Building;
 - Transport Line
 - Transport Line Enclosure;
 - Beam Dump Enclosure;
 - Connection to existing Booster;
 - Cryo Plant Building
 - Mechanical Plant

🚰 Fermilab

R&D Phase Goals

- Conceptual Design:
 - Conceptual Design Report Text;
 - Conceptual Design Drawings;
- Life Safety Analysis
- Support Analysis of Alternates
- Support NEPA Process
- Prepare for CD-1
 - R&D Phase resource loaded schedule
 - Construction Phase resource loaded schedule
- Prepare for CD-2/3a
 - Advanced Preliminary Design for Site Prep work
 - Advanced Preliminary Design for Cryo Plant Building

🛠 Fermilab



R&D Phase Goals and Status

- Conceptual Design:
 - Conceptual Design Report Text; Complete
 - Conceptual Design Drawings; Complete [1]
- Life Safety Analysis Complete [2]
- Support Analysis of Alternates Complete
- Support NEPA Process Ongoing
- Prepare for CD-1 Ongoing
 - R&D Phase resource loaded schedule Complete
 - Construction Phase resource loaded schedule Ongoing
- Prepare for CD-2/3a Not Started
 - Detailed Design for Site Prep work
 - Detailed Design for Cryo Plant Building
- [1] Conceptual Design Drawings can be found in TeamCenter ED0005473
- [2] Final LSA can be found at PIP-II-doc-120

6

Charge Item: #1

Charge Item: #3

Charge Item: #3

Charge Item: #4

Fermilab

4/11/17

Conceptual Design Process

Charge Item: #1

- Meetings with Stakeholders:
 - Goal: Document the spatial and infrastructure requirements for PIP-II facilities; [3]
 - Started in January 2016;
- Results:
 - Product was the Conceptual Design drawings and text that described the sizes/arrangement of spaces and buildings to accommodate the functional requirements;
 - Developed cooling strategies for pulsed mode and continuous wave operation; FRS Section 5: "Full CW RF operational capability"
 - Conventional facilities are similar to typical Fermilab construction;



4/11/17

🗲 Fermilab



Siting Overview



Construction Packages:

Siting Considerations







Siting Considerations



White Flags = Warm Components Blue Flags = Cold Components

Looking South Along Beamline

Looking Southeast From Wilson Hall



4/11/17

View from Wilson Hall



Typical Linac Cross Section





Charge Item: #1

Linac Plan



Linac Enclosure Plan

Charge Item: #2



The linac enclosure will be constructed with a length to accommodate four HB650 cryomodules beyond the nominal compliment required for 800 MeV

S. Dixon | P2MAC

4/11/17

Fermilab



Main Ring/Transport Line Intersection

Charge Item: #1 Charge Item: #2



Booster Connection

Charge Item: #2



Cryo Plant Building

Charge Item: #3



[4] – Engineering Note LCLSII-4.8-EN-0326-R0 can be found at PIP-II-doc-122

4/11/17

🛟 Fermilab



Utility Building



4/11/17

Fermilab

Charge Item: #3

Support NEPA



Site Plan with 2016 Wetland Delineation

‡ Fermilab

PIP-II

Schedule to CD-1

ctivity	1D		Activity Name		2016		2017	7	20)18	2	019	2	020		2021	2022	2	2	023	2	2024
				Q	1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q	1 Q2 Q	13 Q4	Q1 Q2	Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2	Q3 Q4	4 Q1 Q2	2 Q3 Q4	4 Q1	Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 0	23 Q4	Q1 Q2	Q3 Q4	Q1 Q	2 Q3 Q4
=	1 21	I.6.1 CF - R&D Phase								12	1.6.1 C	F - R&D F	Phase									
	⊟_1	21.6.1.1 CF - R&D - Co	nceptual & Detail Design for all PIP-II civil engineering works (CDⅅ)							12	1.6.1.1	CF - R&E) - Conce	ptual & D	etail De	esign for all	PIP-II civil en	igineeri	ng work	s (CDⅅ))	
		121.6.1.1.1 CF - R&D	- CDⅅ: T4 Milestones							12	1.6.1.1.	1 CF - R8	&D - CD&	DD: T4 Mi	ileston	es						
		A6000	CF - R&D - CDⅅ: T4 MS - Documentation & Drawings ready for CDR				🔶 CF -	R&D - 0	CDⅅ: 1	T4 MS - D)ocumer	tation & [Drawings	ready fo	or CDR							
		A6010	CF - R&D - CDⅅ: T4 MS - Documentation & Drawings ready for CD-1 - End of Preliminary Design					CF - R&	D - CD&	DD: T4 M	S - Doci	imentatio	n & Drav	rings read	dy for (CD-1 - End c	of Preliminar	y Desig	n	1000010		
		A1720230	CF - R&D - CDⅅ: T4 MS - Documentation & Drawings ready for TDR							🗣 CF - R	&D - CD	3DD: T4 N	/IS - Doc	umentatio	n & Dra	awings read	ly for TDR					
		A6020	CF - R&D - CDⅅ: T4 MS - Documentation & Drawings ready for CD-2 - End of Detail Design							♦ CF	- R&D -	CDⅅ:	T4 MS -	Documen	tation	& Drawings	ready for C	D-2 - E	nd of De	tail Desig	n	
	_																					



PIP-II PROJECT - High Level Master Schedule

T0, T1, T2, I3 Milestones



4/11/17

🛟 Fermilab



🔀 Fermilab

4/11/17

Risks

- 9 Opportunities (O)
- 48 Threats (T)
- Largest Opportunities/Threats
 - Wetland Delineation (O)
 - Changes to Subproject Design Requirements (T)
 - Poor Interface Definition Between Subprojects (T)
 - Significant Injury During Construction (T)
 - Accelerator Shutdown Uncertainties (T)
- These are being investigated during the R&D phase

Summary

- Technical Design of Conventional Facilities is based in iterative discussions and meetings with stakeholders and the conceptual design of the conventional facilities will meet the requirements outlined in the Mission Need Statement;
- The scope of the conceptual design for the conventional facilities is sufficiently well defined to support the development of preliminary cost and schedule estimates currently underway;
- The Conventional Facilities have designed to incorporate and accommodate the performance goals contained in the Functional Requirements Specifications;
- The Conventional Facilities risk (threats/opportunities) review is underway and has identified and targeted keys risks to be addressed within the R&D program;
- The conceptual design is sufficiently developed to provide the technical basis of CD-1;

🚰 Fermilab

4/11/17

Backup Material



4/11/17

Other FY16/17 Activities:

- Siting Analysis PIP-II-doc-136
- ICW Water Quality Test Results PIP-II-doc-155
- Implementation of Guiding Principles PIP-II-doc-184
- Wetland Assessment Report PIP-II-doc-159
- Building Renderings PIP-II-doc-151
- Investigation of One-for-One Building Replacement Requirement - ongoing



Stakeholders:

Fermilab:

Alessandro Vivoli, Anindya Chakravarty, Anthony F Leveling, Arkadiy L Klebaner Beau F. Harrison, Curtis M. Baffes, David E Johnson, David W Peterson Don Cossairt, Donald V Mitchell, Emil Huedem, Jim Niehoff, Fernanda G Garcia Jerry R Leibfritz, Jerzy Czajkowski, John E Anderson Jr, Luisella Lari Matthew Quinn, Maurice Ball, Paul Derwent, Ralph J Pasquinelli Todd M Sullivan, Valeri A Lebedev, William A Pellico

Consultants: Tom Lackowski, TGRWA Ron Jedziniak, LG Associates Rick Glenn, Jensen Hughes



Meeting Minutes (PIP-II-doc-70)

- <u>01 Coordination Meeting 17FEB16 (pdf)</u>
- <u>02 Cryogenic Department Meeting 19FEB16 (pdf)</u> Cryo Meeting
- <u>03 Coordination Meeting 02MAR16 (pdf)</u> Linac Enclosure
- <u>04 Coordination Meeting 09MAR16 R1 (pdf)</u> Linac Enclosure and Cooling
- 05 Coordination Meeting 24MAR16 R1 (pdf) Linac Enclosure and Cryo Plant
- <u>06 Cryo Coordination Meeting 01APR16 (pdf)</u> ICW Cooling and Cryo
- <u>07 Coordination Meeting 14APR16 (pdf)</u> Penetrations and Cooling Strategy
- <u>08 Coordination Meeting 28APR16 (pdf)</u> Cooling Strategy
- <u>09 Coordination Meeting r1 12MAY16 (pdf)</u> Shielding and Transport Line
- <u>10 Coordination Meeting 09JUN16 (pdf)</u> Shielding Summary
- <u>11 Coordination Meeting 07JUL16 (pdf)</u> RF Distribution and LCW Cooling
- <u>12 Coordination Meeting 21JUL16 (pdf)</u> High Bay Equipment
- <u>13 Coordination Meeting 04AUG16 (pdf)</u> Cryo Summary and Linac Gallery
- <u>14 Coordination Meeting 15SEP16 (pdf)</u> Sitewide Electrical Distribution



🛠 Fermilab

Drawings (TeamCenter ED0005473)

54 Drawings

- One (1) General sheet
- Six (6) Civil sheets
- Forty-Three (43) Architectural sheets
- Three (3) Mechanical sheets
- One (1) Electrical sheet

		A-9	LINAC ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 4	A-29	CROSS SECTION THRU HIGH BAY
LIJ	I OF DRAWINGS	A-10	TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 1	A-30	CROSS SECTION @ HWR
		A-11	TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 2	A-31	SECTION THRU HIGH BAY
G-1	TITLE SHEET, LIST OF DRAWINGS	A-12	TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 3	A-32	SECTION @ COAX FOR SSR1, SSR2
		A-13	TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 4	A-33	SECTION @ WAVEGUIDE FOR LB 650, HB 650
C-1	SITE IMAGE	A-14	TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 5	A-34	SECTION AT LINAC ALCOVES
C-2	FUTURE BEAMLINES SITE PLAN	A-15	TYP. LINAC ENCLOSURE SECTION	A-35	SECTION SHEET - 1
C-3	WETLANDS SITE PLAN	A-16	TYP. TRANSPORT ENCLOSURE SECTION	A-36	SECTION SHEET - 2
C-4	SITE PLAN	A-17	ELEVATION AT MAIN RING CROSSING	A-37	SECTION SHEET - 3
C-5	ENLARGED PLAN AT ABSORBER	A-18	PIP II CAMPUS PLAN	A-38	SECTION SHEET - 4
C-6	SITE UTILITY PLAN	A-19	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING KEY PLAN	A-39	SECTION SHEET - 5
		A-20	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING PLAN - SHEET 1	A-40	CRYOGENIC PLANT
A-1	DESIGN BASIS - SHEET 1	A-21	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING PLAN - SHEET 2	A-41	COLD BOX STATION PLAN
A-2	DESIGN BASIS - SHEET 2	A-22	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING PLAN - SHEET 3	A-42	COMPRESSOR STATION PLAN
A-3	DESIGN BASIS - SHEET 3	A-23	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING PLAN - SHEET 4	A-43	PIP II UTILITY PLANT PLAN
A-4	LIFE SAFETY	A-24	LINAC SUPPORT BUILDING PLAN - SHEET 5		
A-5	ENCLOSURE KEY PLAN	A-25	SOUTHEAST BOOSTER BUILDING - DEMO PLAN	M-1	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN BASIS - SHEET 1
A-6	LINAC ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 1	A-26	SOUTHEAST BOOSTER BLDG EXCAVATION PLAN	M-2	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN BASIS - SHEET 2
A-7	LINAC ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 2	A-27	SOUTHEAST BOOSTER BUILDING - PLAN	M-3	COOLING HEAT REJECTION CONCEPT
A-8	LINAC ENCLOSURE PLAN - SHEET 3	A-28	SECTION THRU RECEIVING		
				E-1	POWER SINGLE LINE DIAGRAM



Typical Design Basis Sheet



S. Dixon | P2MAC



Preliminary Shielding Considerations





Cryo Plant



‡ Fermilab

Cryo Plant





Cryo Plant – Water Quality Requirements

	ľ						
		PIP-II Req	uirements			Water Analy	Water Analysis Report
Desription	Unit	Closed loop	Open loop			Range	Range Units
pH value		7.5 - 9.0	7.5 - 9.0	l		7.82 - 7.89	7.82 - 7.89
Hardness	[dH]	< 20	< 20			20.79 - 23.02	20.79 - 23.02 ppm CaCO3
Carbonate hardness	[dH]	< 20	< 4			0.96 - 1.02	0.96 - 1.02 Ca/Mg ratio
Chloride (CI)	[mg/l]	< 100	< 100			5-15	5-15 ppm
Dissolved iron (Fe)	[mg/l]	< 0.2	< 0.2		0	.04 - 0.01	.04 - 0.01 ppm
ulphate (SO4)	[mg/l]	< 200	< 200		84.51 - 11	5.51	15.51 ppm
ulfide (S2-)	[mg/l]	< 0.1	< 0.1				
ilicic acid (SiO₂)	[mg/l]	< 200	< 200		10.63 - 11.56	I	ppm
CO3/SO4	-	> 1	> 1				
lectrical conductivity	[µS/cm]	10 - 800	10 - 1500				
mmonium (NH4)	[mg/l]	< 1	< 1				
Dissolved manganese (Mn)	[mg/l]	< 0.2	< 0.1		0.00		ppm
hosphate (PO4)	[mg/l]	< 15	< 15				
Blycol	[%]	20 - 40	-				
Solids (particle size)	[mm]	< 0.1	< 0.1		?		?
Solids (particle amount)	[mg/l]	< 10	< 10		?	ļ	?
Appearance		clear, colorless	clear, colorless				
Fotal bacterial count	[CFU/ml]	< 10 ⁴	< 10 ⁴		?		?
Proportion of non-dissolved solids	[ppm]	< 20	< 20		?		?
Algae		- not allowed	 not allowed 		?		?
Magnesium					189.46 - 204.43		ppm CaCO3
Calcium					181.52 - 206.42		ppm CaCO3
Copper					0.00 - 0.01		ppm
Total Phosphorus					0.06 - 0.13		ppm
Zinc					0.0 - 0.01		ppm
Sodium					23.84 - 34.98		ppm
Molybdate					0.01 - 0.3		ppm
Boron					0.55 - 0.65		ppm
Aluminum					0.02 - 0.03		ppm

Thanks to A. Klebaner and A. Chakravarty



Cryo Plant Cooling Requirements

- Water Requirements
 - ~2,000 gpm flow
- Pond System
 - Chemical characteristics met by Pond system;
 - Solids content characteristics NOT met by Pond system;
 - No Pond Exists ~\$500-\$700k per acre;
- ICW System
 - Testing indicates that ICW meets most requirements (see next slides) [5];
 - Chemical characteristics met by existing ICW system;
 - Solids content characteristics NOT met by ICW system;
 - Only 1,400 gpm available per the ICW model



Cryo Plant – Water Quality Test Stand



BZero Compressor Building

- Installed as part of the Mu2e Cryo work for CDF;
- Installed test ports to sample the ICW before and after the strainer;
- Includes a Adams strainer with 250 micron slot sizes (baseline);
- Two month rental of a Lakos strainer to reduce the solids with 25 micron filter;
- Replacement filter elements in Adams strainer with 75 micron slot size;
- Arranged for FESS/O water testing service to increase the testing to include solids;
- Compare strainer options with water quality requirements.



Cryo Plant – Test Stand Results

Thanks to G. Gilbert for the help with water sampling/testing

				Adam's Strain	er (250 micron)	Adams Strainer (75 micron)		Lakos Filter	(25 micron)	CUB Cooling Towers	
				21-0	ct-16	14-Dec-16		16-Nov-16			0
		PIP-II Req	uirements	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	16-Nov-16	14-Dec-17
Desription	Unit	Closed loop	Open loop								
pH value		7.5 - 9.0	7.5 - 9.0	7.51	7.71			8.28	8.23		
Hardness	[dH]	< 20	< 20	12.10	12.03			13.98	14.01		
Carbonate hardness	[dH]	< 20	< 4	1.02	1.01			1.03	1.03		
Chloride (Cl)	[mg/l]	< 100	< 100								
Dissolved iron (Fe)	[mg/l]	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.07	0.07			0.10	0.12		
Sulphate (SO ₄)	[mg/l]	< 200	< 200	36.02	34.63			46.16	44.41		
Sulfide (S2-)	[mg/l]	< 0.1	< 0.1								
Silicic acid (SiO ₂)	[mg/l]	< 200	< 200	5.62	5.56			5.52	5.54		
HCO ₃ /SO ₄	-	> 1	> 1								
Electrical conductivity	[µS/cm]	10 - 800	10 - 1500	672.00	672.00			698.00	695.00		
Ammonium (NH4)	[mg/l]	< 1	< 1	0.20	0.20		0.30		0.22		
Dissolved manganese (Mn)	[mg/l]	< 0.2	< 0.1	0.01	0.01			0.01	0.01		
Phosphate (PO ₄)	[mg/l]	< 15	< 15	0.29	0.44			0.07	0.31		
Glycol	[%]	20 - 40	-	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
Solids (particle size)	[mm]	< 0.1	< 0.1		0.04		0.03		0.03		
Solids (particle amount)	[mg/l]	< 10	< 10		see chart		see chart		see chart		
Appearance		clear, colorless	clear, colorless								
Total bacterial count	[CFU/ml]	< 10 ⁴	< 10 ⁴	1,000	1,000			0	0		
Proportion of non-dissolved solids	[ppm]	< 20	< 20								
Algae	cells/mL	- not allowed	- not allowed	986,751	1,347,557	447	47	23,785	2,144	87	13
Magnesium	ppm			107.12	106.63			122.72	122.87		
Calcium	ppm			108.86	108.13			126.81	127.12		
Copper	ppm			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		
Zinc	ppm			0.00	0.01			0.01	0.01		
Sodium	ppm			62.19	61.77			60.21	59.70		
Molybdate	ppm			0.01	0.00			0.00	0.01		
Boron	ppm			107.12	106.63			122.72	122.87		
Aluminum	ppm			0.03	0.03			0.04	0.04		

Results:

• Baseline assumes a heat exchanger to isolate the ICW from the cryo compressor side;

- Opportunity to eliminate the heat exchanger/pumps/treatment and have direct ICW cooling with additional testing/convincing;
- Additional testing needed for chloride;
- Algae is likely seasonal, still requires a solution or better definition of requirements;



🛟 Fermilab

PM vs. CW Considerations

- Driven by duty factor of the equipment
 - 15% for Pulsed Mode
 - 100% for Continuous Wave Mode
- Common For Both Modes
 - Physical arrangement of heat producing equipment;
 - Electrical power supply (not usage);
 - Conventional Facilities handles the heat load to air (HLA);
- Difference is Primarily Cooling
 - 5.0 mw in pulsed mode;
 - 10.5 mw in continuous wave mode;



PM vs. CW Considerations - Cooling

Heat Loads			
		Pulsed Mode	Continuous Wave Mode
Low Conductivity W	/ater (LCW)	1.65	7.07
Heat Load to Air (H	LA)	0.33	1.29
Cryoplant Cooling (Cryo)	3.4	3.4
	Total (MW)	5.38	11.76

	Industrial Cooling Water (ICW)	Cooling Ponds (PW)	Towers (close)	Towers (open)	Basis of Estimate					
Pulsed Mode	MW to GPM Conversion 682.79 LCW 1,125 gpm HLA 227 gpm Cryo 1,400 gpm @17 2,752 gpm	MW to Acres Conversion 1.2 LCW 1.98 acres HLA 0.50 acres Cryo 4.08 acres 6.56 acres	LCW 1.0 towers HLA towers Cryo 2.0 towers 3.00 towers <i>excludes standby</i>	LCW 1.0 towers HLA towers Cryo <u>1.0</u> towers 2.00 towers <i>excludes standby</i>	LCW 1 towers HLA chilled water via CUB Cryo 1,400 gpm @17 Fdt Standby 1 towers					
CW Mode	MW to GPM Conversion 682.79 LCW 4,827 gpm HLA 881 gpm Cryo 1,400 gpm @17 Fdt 7,108 gpm 3000	MW to Acres Conversion 1.2 LCW 8.48 acres HLA 1.94 acres Cryo 4.08 acres 14.50 acres	LCW 4.0 towers HLA 2.0 towers Cryo 2.0 towers 8.00 towers <i>excludes standby</i>	LCW 2.0 towers HLA 1.0 towers Cryo <u>1.0</u> towers 4.00 towers <i>excludes standby</i>	LCW 4 towers HLA (PM) chilled water via CUB HLA (CW) 2 air cooled chillers Cryo 1,400 gpm @17 Fdt Standby 1 towers					
	Other Considerations Strainers, Drought Conditions	Other Considerations Strainers, Heat Exchangers, Treatment Drought Conditions	Other Considerations Heat Exchangers, Treatment, Make Up Building Costs	Other Considerations Heat Exchangers, Treatment, Make Up Building Costs	Other Considerations Heat Exchangers, Treatment, Make Up Building Costs					
	Note: 1,400 gpm is the highest flow currently available from the existing ICW system									

2,000 gpm is preferred



Thanks to E. Huedem

Cooling Design Approach

- Goal: Modular approach that allows for efficient operation in both modes;
- CUB Chilled Water Budget: ~250 tons total. Used for small equipment loads, building loads <u>and</u> RF heat load
- Pulsed Mode
 - Heat Load to Air (HLA): Utilize chilled water from existing CUB for equipment cooling;
 - LCW: (1) Cooling Tower + 1 standby;
 - Cryo: 1,400 gpm of ICW through heat exchanger
- Continuous Wave Mode
 - Heat Load to Air (HLA): Install a chilled water loop to supplement the pulsed mode system with (2) air cooled chillers;
 - LCW: (3) additional Cooling Tower;
 - Cryo: No change



🚰 Fermilab

PIP-II Utility Building



Mechanical Conceptual Design



4/11/17

Fermilab

MV Electrical Conceptual Design



Fermilab

40