Exploring the v_{μ} charged-current uncontained sample at the NOvA Far Detector

Jose Sepulveda Iowa State University For the NOvA collaboration

June 6, 2017

New Perspectives 2017 Fermilab | June 5-6





NuMI neutrino events in Far detector

NOvA Data



Neutrinos at the Main Injector (NuMI) event with **full 550 µs trigger** window. Beam is coming from the left. Upper image represents top (XZ)- view. Lower image represents side (YZ)-view. The color of each hit represents its charge

NuMI neutrino events in Far detector

NOvA Data



Neutrinos at the Main Injector (NuMI) event **within 10 µs beam** window. Beam is coming from the left. Upper image represents top (XZ)- view. Lower image represents side (YZ)-view. The color of each hit represents its charge

 v_{μ} CC selection

- Step 1: Basic quality
- Step 2: Containment
- Step 3: Select muons
- Step 4: Cosmic Rejection



Fraction of Events Surviving Cut

0.6

0.4

 10^{7}

NOvA Preliminary

NOv A 2.74 ×10²⁰ POT-equiv.

Quality cuts

Cosmic background

 10^{5}

Number of events in the spill window

CC v_ prediction (max. mixing)

 10^{6}

MC estimate at: sin²₂₃=0.5

10²

10

 10^{3}

10⁴

 $\Delta m_{22}^2 = 2.35 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$

True Neutrino Energy (GeV)

and containment

and NC rejection

and cosmic rejection

᠊᠊ᠬᡊ᠕ᡌ᠋᠋ᢩᡗ᠋᠋ᠧᢧᡛ

Latest analysis results

P. Adamson et al. (NOvA Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 151802

NOvA Preliminary



Latest analysis results

P. Adamson et al. (NOvA Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 151802



June 6, 2017

The plan ahead

- In its first and second analysis, NOvA used only events with an interaction vertex and all secondary particles <u>fully contained</u> in the detectors
- Improving analysis by recovering uncontained (escaping) interactions (ν_{μ} -CC)



Improvements

- Using existing selection tools applied to our sample
- Combined sample with other instances of reconstruction
- Integral: 7.82 events (around 10% improvement from total)



Improvements

- Using existing selection tools applied to our sample
- Combined sample with other instances of reconstruction
- Integral: 7.82 events (around 10% improvement from total)



Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives

Decision trees

 A decision tree is a set of questions organized in hierarchical manner and represented graphically as a tree



- Decision trees + ensemble methods = decision forests
- Two approaches to creating ensembles:
 - **Boosting:** if an event is (mis)classified, decrease(increase) its weight
 - Bagging: construct each tree on a different random subset of the data
- **Classification problem:** given an event, is it signal (neutrino) or background (cosmic)?

Cosmic rejection performance

• Twelve input variables:



Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives

Cosmic rejection performance

- Twelve input variables:
 - Track length, angle w.r.t beam, vertical projection, etc
- Signal from simulation, background from cosmic data
- Efficiency: 94.6 %



Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives

Tuning cosmic rejection: results

- Signal to background ratio: 3.11
- In addition, optimized vertex fiducial cut
- Signal events are in oscillation region (lower energy)



NOvA Preliminary

Sample	# Events (0-6 GeV)	# Events (1-3 GeV)	
Signal VisE	48.02	25.92	
Signal TrueE	24.17	15.96	
Bkg VisE	15.44	9.34	

Tuning cosmic rejection: results

- Signal to background ratio: 3.11
- In addition, optimized vertex fiducial cut
- Signal events are in oscillation region (lower energy)



Conclusions

- 50 Years
- With a total exposure of 6.05 x 10²⁰ POT, the NOvA v_{μ} -disappearance analysis group reports 78 events observed at the Far Detector (out of 473 ± 30 with no oscillations)
- Maximal mixing is <u>excluded at 2.6</u>
- Uncontained events at the far detector are challenging: signal mimics background
- Tuned cosmic rejection and vertex fiducial selection: signal/background is 3.11
- Work in progress: energy estimator for uncontained events

NOvA at New Perspectives:

- Results from the joint fit to ν_{e} appearance and ν_{μ} disappearance in NOvA, S. Yu (after break) -Sterile neutrino search in the NOvA Far Detector,

S. Edayath (after previous)



www-nova.fnal.gov

200+ scientists, engineers and students From 43+ institutions from 8 countries.



NOvA collaboration meeting @ANL

Summer 2016

Thank you!

Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives

Backup

Standard Model



NOvA Overview

NuMI off-axis electron neutrino appearance experiment.



 $u_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \text{ and } \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e} \quad \text{(appearance)}$

- Determine the ν mass ordering.
- Determine the θ_{23} octant.
- Constrain $\delta_{CP.}$





 $m^2 \bigstar$



- NuMI = Neutrinos at the Main Injector.
- Plan to upgrade to 700kW power becoming most intense beam.
- Beam is produced in pulses of 10µs (a spill) every 1.33 sec producing 10¹⁸ protons-on-target (PoT) per day.
- Detectors are14 mrad off the NuMI beam axis.
- Narrow peak energy and reduces neutral current (NC) background.
- But integrated flux is reduced.



Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives



Final state classifications



Inverted hierarchy



• Best fit (Inverted Hierarchy):

 $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (-2.72 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \,\text{eV}^2$ sin²(θ_{23}) = 0.398 ^{+0.030}_{-0.022} and 0.618 ^{+0.022}_{-0.030}

Systematic uncertainties

P. Adamson et al. (NOvA Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 151802



	- -	Source of uncertainty	Uncertainty in	Uncertainty in
al Hierarchy –		Source of uncertainty	$\sin^2\theta_{23}(\times 10^{-3})$	$\Delta m_{32}^2 \ (\times 10^{-6} \ {\rm eV}^2)$
	-	Absolute muon energy scale $[\pm 2\%]$	+9 / -8	+3 / -10
= 41.6 / 17	_	Relative muon energy scale $[\pm 2\%]$	+9 / -9	+23 / -14
		Absolute hadronic energy scale $[\pm 5\%]$	+5 / -5	+7 / -3
	-	Relative hadronic energy scale $[\pm 5\%]$	+10 / -11	+29 / -19
	_	Normalization $[\pm 5\%]$	+5 / -5	+4 / -8
	-	Cross sections and final state interactions	+3 / -3	+12 / -15
I	-	Neutrino flux	+1 / -2	+4 / -7
_ _	_	Beam background normalization $[\pm 100\%]$	+3 / -6	+10 / -16
I	-	Scintillation model	+4 / -3	+2 / -5
	1	$\delta_{\rm CP} \left[0 - 2\pi \right]$	+0.2 / -0.3	+10 / -9
	-+ -	Total systematic uncertainty	+17 / -19	+50 / -47
$\frac{4}{2}$	5	Statistical uncertainty	+21 / -23	+93 / -99

NOvA Preliminary

Discrete vs Real AdaBoost

- Discrete: the weak classifier $G_m(x)$ returns a discrete class label
- Real: base weak G_m(x) returns a real value prediction (e.g., a probability mapped to the interval [-1,1])



Jose Sepulveda, ISU - New Perspectives

Decision trees

- Random forest of 700 trees, with Real AdaBoost
- Signal efficiency: 94.6 %



Signal event

NOvA Simulation



Details: run 19531, subrun 30, event 353, subevent 32, TrueE 3.08 GeV

Background event

NOvA Data



Details: run 14741, subrun 46, event 399721, subevent 19, VisE 5.13 GeV