# MicroBooNE Data Management Feedback

FIFE Workshop June 21, 2017

H. GreenleeM. KirbyJ. Mousseau

# Data Management Tools

- Data management tools used by MicroBooNE
  - SAM & SAM4USERS.
  - IFDH.
  - dCache & enstore.
  - Jobsub.

# SAM

- Overall impression: good.
  - Mostly trouble free, good support.
- MicroBooNE hasn't tried to link the sam database to an external database yet, but that is something we want to do.
  - Documentation?
- We have sometimes had issues with our sam station crashing or refusing to start projects because of running out of memory or having too many projects running.
  - More capacity would be a plus.
  - We think we have reduced the problem by fixing our production scripts.
  - Incorrect behavior of jobsub dags (see later).

# SAM4USERS

- Good for users who want to archive data after the fact and didn't generate sam metadata, or who aren't smooth using regular sam.
- MicroBooNE has begun advertising and encouraging analyzers to archive samples using sam4users.
- Few weeks ago tried to use sam4users for a large-scale user-assisted production & exposed some corner cases (bugs).
  - 200 character file limit.
  - Already declared files.

#### **IFDH**

- IFDH tools.
  - Posix-like file access interfaces for grid tools.
  - Samweb client.
  - Python and command line interface.
- From time to time, MicroBooNE has had requests for features or bug fixes, but these have addressed.
  - Support is pretty good.
- Some posix-like features are missing due to limitations of underlying grid tools. Exmples:
  - Make or read a symbolic link.
  - Delete a directory tree ("rm -r").

# dCache

- Performance & reliability of dCache has improved over the years.
  - Still not perfect. Higher latency and error rate than a regular nfs filesystem.
- Posix vs. grid interface.
  - Posix interface is definitely more performant, due to not having to authenticate each operation.
    - For this reason, we normally prefer posix access (good practice?).
  - Every posix access to dCache is a hang risk.
  - MicroBooNE has been forced to equip our production scripts with a very high level of error handling when dCache is involved.

# dCache Scratch vs. Persistent vs. Tape-Backed

- MicroBooNE production scripts are written to store temporary results in dCache scratch (/pnfs/uboone/scratch).
  - Don't have to worry about cleaning up.
  - Don't have to worry about persistent dCache space filling up.
  - There is potentially an issue due to the fact the dCache scratch doesn't have a minimum guaranteed lifetime.
    - There is some evidence that files sometimes disappear long before the advertised dCache average lifetime.
- Tape-backed dCache. It is hard to tell whether files are staged or not.
  - It would be nice if there was a way to do a sam query for staged files (feature request).

# Jobsub / Fifebatch

- Availability of fifebatch servers is not very good.
  - Oftern overloaded/unavailable, need more capacity.
    - MicroBooNE would be happy to have our own fifebatch server.
  - Job submission is subjectively slow.
- The DAG issue.
  - DAGs used by jobsub\_submit\_dag have the unfortunate feature that if any job returns an error status, dagman aborts the DAG.
    - Dagman kills entire batch cluster if any process returns error.
    - Sam stop project job won't run if any prior job in project ends with error status.
  - MicroBooNE has a long-standing feature request to teach DAGs to ignore error status of individual jobs.