

Light-by-light sum rules and dispersive analysis of  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi\pi$ **Igor Danilkin** 

in coll. with Marc Vanderhaeghen

JGU

June 5, 2017



IDUANINES GI ITFNRFRG

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

(g-2) theory vs exp

#### Experiment:

$$a_{\mu}^{exp} = (11\,659\,208.9\,\pm\,6.3) \times 10^{-10}$$

Theory:

$$a_{\mu}^{SM} = (11\,659\,182.8\,\pm\,4.9) \times 10^{-10}$$



3 - 4 σ deviation !

FNAL, J-PARC experiments

## QCD contribution to (g-2)

$$a_{\mu}^{QCD} = (695.6 \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-10}$$

Hagiwara (2011) Jegerlehnner (2015)

Hadronic vacuum polarization

 $a_{\mu}^{QCD, VP[LO]} = (694.9 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-10}$  $a_{\mu}^{QCD, VP[HO]} = (-9.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$ 



relies on experiment  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ through unitarity

$$\sigma(s)_{e^+e^- \to hadrons}$$

Hadronic light-by-light scattering

$$a_{\mu}^{QCD, \ LbL} = (10.5 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-10}$$
  
=  $(10.2 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-10}$ 



relies on measurements of **TFF** to reduce model dependence

 $\pi^0 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \eta \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \dots$  $f_1 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, f_2 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \dots$ 

## QCD contribution to (g-2)



relies on measurements of **TFF** to reduce model dependence

$$\pi^0 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \eta \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \dots$$
$$f_1 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, f_2 \gamma^* \gamma^{(*)}, \dots$$

Timelike: KLOE, MAMI/A2, NA62



## Spacelike: CLEO, BaBar, Belle, BESIII



Helicity amplitudes



$$M_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'\lambda_1\lambda_2} = M^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\mu}^*(\lambda_1')\epsilon_{\nu}^*(\lambda_2')\epsilon_{\alpha}(\lambda_1)\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda_2)$$

Forward scattering  $q_1 = q_1', q_2 = q_2'$ 

$$\lambda_i = \pm 1, 0$$
$$q_i^2 = -Q_i^2$$

$$s = (q_1 + q_2)^2$$
  
 $t = (q_1 - q'_1)^2 = 0$ 



Unitarity 2 Im  $\frac{m}{m} = \sum_{f} \int d\Pi_{f} \frac{m}{m} = f \int d\Pi_{f}$ 

For the forward scattering (optical theorem)



Unitarity

$$\operatorname{Im} M_{++,--} = 2\sqrt{X} \left(\sigma_{\parallel} - \sigma_{\perp}\right)$$

...

Analyticity (fixed t dispersion relation)

$$M_{++,--}(\nu) = \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu'}{\pi} \frac{2\nu' \operatorname{Im} M_{++,--}(\nu')}{\nu'^2 - \nu^2 + i0}, \quad \nu = \frac{s-u}{4}$$
  
... (modulo subtractions)

Matching around  $\nu = 0$  to the LbL Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = c_1 (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})^2 + c_2 (F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2 + \dots$$

yield a number of **constraints** for Im M, and thus **on cross section** 

## LbL sum rules

Three super convergence relations

 $\mathbf{\infty}$ 

Gerasimov, Moulin (1975), Brodsky, Schmidt (1995)

These sum rules have been tested in perturbative QFT both at tree-level and one loop level:



## Meson production



Narrow width approximation

$$\sigma\left(\gamma^*\gamma \to J^P(\Lambda)\right) = \delta(s-m^2) \, 8\pi^2 \frac{\left(2J+1\right)\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\left(J^P\right)}{m} \left(1+\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) \left[T^{(\Lambda)}\left(Q^2\right)\right]^2$$

Sum rules will relate  $2\gamma$  width or TFFs:

## Sum rule I (Isospin=0)

$$0 = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{(s+Q^2)} \, \left[\sigma_2 - \sigma_0\right]$$

$$0 = -\sum_{\mathcal{P}} 16\pi^2 \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\mathcal{P})}{m_{\mathcal{P}}^3} \left[ T_{\mathcal{P}}(Q^2) \right]^2 - \sum_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{A}} \dots + \sum_{\mathcal{T}} 16\pi^2 \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\mathcal{T})}{m_{\mathcal{T}}^3} \left( \left[ T_{\mathcal{T}}^{(\Lambda=2)}(Q^2) \right]^2 - \left[ T_{\mathcal{T}}^{(\Lambda=0)}(Q^2) \right]^2 \right)$$

## Dominant contributions

| State                        | m (MeV)                   | $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ (keV) | $\frac{\mathrm{SR}_1\left(Q^2=0\right)}{(nb)}$        | 0.500               |   |  | Belle (2015)               |        |        |                            |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------------|
| η<br>η'                      | 547.862±0.017<br>957±0.06 | 0.516±0.020<br>4.35±0.25      | -193±7<br>-304±17                                     | 0.100<br>(C)<br>(C) |   | -+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |                            |        |        |                            |
| $f_2(1270)$                  | 1275.5±0.8                | 2.93±0.40                     | ( $\Lambda$ =2) 434±60<br>( $\Lambda$ =0) $\approx$ 0 | ►<br>0.010<br>0.005 | • | •                                      | +                          | I      | •      | -<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>- |
| <i>f</i> <sub>2</sub> (1565) | 1562±13                   | 0.70±0.14                     | 56±11   | 0.001               | 5 | 10<br>Q                                | 15<br>2(GeV <sup>2</sup> ) | 20     | 25     | 30                         |
| sum                          |                           |                               | -7±64   |                     |   |  | Pas                        | caluts | a, Pau | ik                         |
|                              |                           |                               | 10  |                     |   |  | vai                        | (201   | 2)     |                            |

# Belle (2015)



$$T^{(\Lambda)}(Q^2) = Factor(Q^2) \times \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\lambda_{(\Lambda)}^2}\right)^2}$$

$$\lambda_{\Lambda=2} = 1222 \pm 66 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\lambda_{\Lambda=(0,T)} = 1051 \pm 36 \text{ MeV}$$

## Sum rule I (Isospin=0)



## Sum rule I (Isospin=0)

*f*<sub>2</sub>(1565), ∧=2

sum

η

n'

4

5

I.D., Vanderhaeghen

(2016)

future Belle data

3

2

 $Q^2(GeV^2)$ 



## Meson contributions to (g-2)



Results (excluding low energy region):

$$a_{\mu}[f_{0}(980), a_{0}(980)] = (-0.03 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-10}$$
  
$$a_{\mu}[f_{2}(1270), f_{2}(1565), a_{2}(1320), a_{2}(1700)] = (0.1 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-10}$$
  
$$T_{a_{i}}(Q^{2}) \approx T_{f_{i}}(Q^{2})$$

New evaluation of axial vector contributions (satisfying Landau-Yang theorem)

 $a_{\mu}[f_1(1285), f_1(1420)] = (0.64 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-10}$ =  $(0.75 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-10}$ 

14

Pauk, Vdh (2013) Jegerlehner (2015)

Compared to  $(1.5 \pm 1.0) 10^{-10}$  (which enters the Glasgow consensus)

$$\delta a_{\mu}^{exp} = 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$$

FNAL, J-PARC experiments

## Improvements: Multi-meson production

Important contributions beyond pseudo-scalar poles



dispersive analysis for  $\pi\pi, \pi\eta, \dots$  loops





Important ingredient:  $\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi \pi, \pi \eta, ...$ 



Pauk, Vanderhaeghen, (2014)

Colangelo, Hoferichter, Procura, Stoffer, (2014, 2015)

 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , KK,  $\eta\eta$ ,  $\pi\eta$  (Belle: 07,08, 09, 10, ..)  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi\pi$ ,  $\pi\eta$  (BESIII in progress)

## Cross section



Helicity amplitudes

$$H_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = H^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu}(\lambda_1)\epsilon_{\nu}(\lambda_2), \quad \lambda_1 = \pm 1, \, \lambda_2 = \pm 1, \, 0$$

P symmetry:

6 🧼 3 in

3 independent amplitudes

$$H_{++}, H_{+-}, H_{+0}$$

Differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\,\cos\theta} = \pi\alpha^2 \frac{\rho(s)}{4\,(s+Q^2)} \,\left(|H_{++}|^2 + |H_{+-}|^2 + |H_{+0}|^2\right)$$

# Born amplitudes $(Q^2 \neq 0)$



Vertex  $\pi\pi\gamma^*$ 

$$\langle \pi^+ | j_\mu(0) | \pi^+(p') \rangle = (p+p')_\mu F_\pi(Q^2)$$

Space-like region

 $F_{\pi}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{1 + Q^2/M_{\rho}^2}$ 



# Born amplitudes $(Q^2 \neq 0)$

Differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \pi\alpha^2 \frac{\rho(s)}{4(s+Q^2)} \left(|H_{++}|^2 + |H_{+-}|^2 + |H_{+0}|^2\right)$$



## Unitarity



These "diagonalise unitarity" and contain resonance information

Definite:  $J, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ 

$$\operatorname{Im} h_{\gamma\gamma^* \to \pi\pi}(s) = h_{\gamma\gamma^* \to \pi\pi}(s) \,\rho_{\pi\pi}(s) \,t^*_{\pi\pi \to \pi\pi}(s)$$

## Coupled channel Unitarity

## Coupled-channel unitarity

Definite: J,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ 



$$\operatorname{Im} h_{\gamma\gamma^*,b}(s) = \sum_f h_{\gamma\gamma^*,f}(s) \,\rho_f(s) \, t_{fb}^*(s)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} h_{\gamma\gamma^*,1}(s) = \rho_1 h_{\gamma\gamma^*,1} t_{11}^* + \rho_2 h_{\gamma\gamma^*,2} t_{21}^*$$
  

$$\operatorname{Im} h_{\gamma\gamma^*,2}(s) = \rho_1 h_{\gamma\gamma^*,1} t_{12}^* + \rho_2 h_{\gamma\gamma^*,2} t_{22}^*$$
  

$$2 = KK$$

Entire dynamical information that does not depend on the underlying theory (e.g. QCD) comes from **unitarity** 

## Experimental data



 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ : Mark II ('90), CELLO ('92), Belle ('07)  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ : Crystal Ball ('90), Belle ('09)  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^{0}\eta$ : Crystal Ball ('86), Belle ('09)  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta$ : Belle ('10)  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow KK$ : ARGUS ('90), TASSO ('85), CELLO ('89), Belle ('13)

## Dispersion relation



$$h(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C ds' \frac{h(s')}{s' - s} = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} h(s')}{s' - s} + \int_{4m_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} h(s')}{s' - s}$$

analyticity relates scattering amplitude at different energies

## Dispersion relation

## Left and right-hand cuts

## Definite: $J, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$

$$h(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} h(s')}{s' - s} + \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} h(s')}{s' - s}$$

Looking for a solution in the form (N/D technique)

$$h(s) = h^{Born}(s) + \Omega(s) N(s)$$

$$s > 4m_{\pi}^{2}$$
  
Im  $\Omega(s) = \Omega(s) \rho(s) t^{*}(s)$   
Im  $h(s) = h(s) \rho(s) t^{*}(s)$ 

Omnes (1958)

### Dispersive integral for J=0

(2013)

$$h(s) = h^{Born}(s) + \Omega(s)\left(a + bs + \frac{s^2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+s_L} \frac{ds'}{s'^2} \frac{\operatorname{Im}(h(s'))\Omega^{-1}(s)}{s' - s} - \frac{s^2}{\pi}\int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'^2} \frac{h^{Born}(s')\operatorname{Im}(\Omega^{-1}(s'))}{s' - s}\right)$$
  
see also Moussallam  
(2013)  
$$Q^2 - dependent$$
  
$$similar eq. for coupled-channel (TTT,KK)$$

# Left-band cuts

### Dispersive integral for J=0





Dispersive integral for J=0

$$h(s) = h^{Born}(s) + \Omega(s) \left( a + b \, s + \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-s_L} \frac{ds'}{s'^2} \frac{\operatorname{Im}(h^V(s'))\Omega^{-1}(s)}{s' - s} - \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'^2} \frac{h^{Born}(s')\operatorname{Im}(\Omega^{-1}(s'))}{s' - s} \right)$$
el Omnes

Coupled channel Omnes

$$\Omega(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\pi\pi\to\pi\pi} & \Omega_{\pi\pi\to K\bar{K}} \\ \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to\pi\pi} & \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to K\bar{K}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve p.w. dispersion relation using N/D technique using model-independent form for the left-hand cuts

$$T(s) = U(s) + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{R} \frac{ds'}{s'} \frac{\rho(s')|T(s')|^2}{s' - s}$$

$$\sum_{k} C_k \xi(s)^k \quad \text{conformal mapping expansion} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Chew, Mandelstam} \\ \text{Lutz, Gasparyan} \\ \text{Ck fitted to exp data and Roy eq. solutions} \end{array}$$



### Coupled channel Omnes

$$\Omega(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\pi\pi\to\pi\pi} & \Omega_{\pi\pi\to K\bar{K}} \\ \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to\pi\pi} & \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to K\bar{K}} \end{pmatrix}$$



# $f_2(1270)$ contribution

Watson theorem (for elastic unitarity) J=2:  $\phi(\gamma\gamma \to \pi\pi) = \phi(\pi\pi \to \pi\pi) = \delta(\pi\pi \to \pi\pi)$   $\Omega(s) = \exp\left(\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'} \frac{\phi_{\gamma\gamma \to \pi\pi}(s)}{s' - s}\right)$ Roy analysis (2011) R. Garcia-Martin

When there are **no VM**, it is not possible to describe J=2 partial wave using Omnes functions and we parametrize it with the Breit Wigner + Background

$$h_{J=2}^{f_2} = \frac{C_{f_2 \to \gamma\gamma} C_{f_2 \to \pi\pi}}{10\sqrt{6}} \frac{s(s+Q^2)\beta(s)}{s-M^2 + i\,M\,\Gamma(s)} \,T_{f_2}^{(\Lambda=2)}(Q^2)$$

$$h_{J=2} = B_{D2} + h_{J=2}^{f_2} e^{i\phi_0} = |h_{J=2}|e^{i\delta(\pi\pi\to\pi\pi)}$$
  
Background: Born  
Relative phase: unitarization

at.al.

## Subtraction constants



Soft photon limit  $(q_1=0)$ 

$$H_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \to H^{Born}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$$
$$s = -Q^2, t = u = m_\pi^2$$

**prediction** for **b**: generalised polarizabilities

For space like photons: generalized polarizabilities

$$\pm \frac{2\alpha}{m_{\pi}} \frac{H_{\pm\pm}^{n}}{s+Q^{2}} = (\alpha_{1} \mp \beta_{1})_{\pi^{0}} + \dots$$
$$\pm \frac{2\alpha}{m_{\pi}} \frac{(H_{\pm\pm}^{c} - H_{\pm\pm}^{Born})}{s+Q^{2}} = (\alpha_{1} \mp \beta_{1})_{\pi^{+}} + \dots$$

more realistic l.h.cut: **fix b** from **ChPT** and **COMPASS** 

# No VM $(Q^2=0)$



# No VM ( $Q^2 = 0.5$ )



Results with VM and fully dispersive f<sub>2</sub>(1270) contribution are on their way ....

Ongoing experiment: BES III

 $ss \rightarrow \pi\eta (Q^2 = 0)$ 



$$\Omega(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\pi\eta\to\pi\eta} & \Omega_{\pi\eta\to K\bar{K}} \\ \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to\pi\eta} & \Omega_{K\bar{K}\to K\bar{K}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Danilkin, Gil, Lutz (2011)



# Summary and Outlook

In light of the new Belle data (2015) for f<sub>2</sub>(1270) TFFs and using LbL sum rules we predicted (A=2) TFF for f<sub>2</sub>(1565)

## • Update for meson contributions to (g-2) LbL

<u>Tensor mesons</u> contributions found to be small compared to anticipated exp. uncertainty 1.6\*10<sup>-10</sup>

<u>Axial vector mesons</u> contributions (satisfying Landau-Yang theorem constraint) evaluated by 2 groups and found to be between  $(0.64 - 0.75 \pm 0.27)10^{-10}$ 

## Next steps?

Need to take into account  $f_0(500)$  and non resonant contributions in a dispersive approach

 Main ingredients: γγ\*→ππ, πη,... (work in progress). Can be used in different (g-2) dispersive approaches.

It is important to **validate** dispersive treatment of  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi\pi$ ,  $\pi\eta$ ,... with upcoming BES III data

Thank you!

# Extra slides

## Sum rules II and III



$$C=+1: \quad J^{PC}=0^{+}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}, \dots$$

$$Q^{2} \neq 0 \qquad \text{Landau-Yang theorem}$$

$$\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} ds \, \frac{1}{(s+Q_{1}^{2})^{2}} \left[\sigma_{\parallel} + \sigma_{LT} + \frac{(s+Q_{1}^{2})}{Q_{1}Q_{2}} \tau_{TL}^{a}\right]_{Q_{2}^{2}=0}$$

$$\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} ds \, \left[\frac{\tau_{TL}(s, Q_{1}^{2}, Q_{2}^{2})}{Q_{1}Q_{2}}\right]_{Q_{2}^{2}=0}$$

Axial-vector mesons  $I^{++}$  are **allowed** if one of the photons is virtual: interplay between  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}$ 

Equivalent 2
$$\gamma$$
 width:  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\gamma}(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \lim_{Q_1^2 \to 0} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{Q_1^2} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left( \mathcal{A} \to \gamma_L^* \gamma_T \right)$ 

 $s_0$ 

TFFs  $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow f_1(1285), f_1(1420)$  were measured

0

0

=

L3 Collaboration (2002), (2007)

## Sum rules II and III $(Q^2 \approx 0)$

|                    | m              | $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ | $\int ds \; \left[ \tfrac{1}{s^2} \sigma_{\parallel} + \tfrac{1}{s} \tfrac{\tau^a_{TL}}{Q_1 Q_2} \right]_{Q^2_i = 0}$ | $\int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \left[ rac{	au_{	ext{TL}}(s,Q_1^2,Q_2^2)}{Q_1 Q_2}  ight]_{Q_1^2=0}$ |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---|---|
|                    | [MeV]          | [keV]                   | $[nb / GeV^2]$  | [nb]  |
| $f_1(1285)$        | $1281.8\pm0.6$ | $3.5\pm0.8$             | $-93 \pm 21$  | $+153 \pm 35$   |
| $f_1(1420)$        | $1426.4\pm0.9$ | $3.2\pm0.9$             | $-50 \pm 14$  | $+102 \pm 29$   |
| $f_0(980)$         | $990\pm20$     | $0.31\pm0.05$           | $+40\pm13$  | $+19\pm10$  |
| $f_2(1270)$        | $1275.5\pm0.8$ | $2.93 \pm 0.40$         |   |   |
| $\Lambda = 2$      |                |                         | $+122\pm17$   | -   |
| $\Lambda = (0,T)$  |                |                         | $+23\pm3$   | -   |
| $\Lambda = (0, L)$ |                |                         | ??  | ??  |
| $\Lambda = 1$      |                |                         | ??  | ??  |
| $\mathbf{Sum}$     |                |                         |   |   |
| $f_2(1565)$        | $1562 \pm 13$  | $0.70\pm0.14$           |   |   |
| $\Lambda=2$        |                |                         | $+12\pm2$   | -   |
| Sum                |                |                         | $\approx 0$ (def.)  | $\approx 0 \; (def.)$   |

## Sum rules II and III ( $Q^2 \approx 0$ )



## Sum rules II and III $(Q^2>0)$



# Conformal mapping

Solve p.w. dispersion relation using N/D technique using model-independent form for the left-hand cuts

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Example: I=2

Solve p.w. dispersion relation using N/D technique using model-independent form for the left-hand cuts

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{(g-2)_{\mu}}{2}$$

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

## Jegerlehner et. al. (2015)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

41

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

| - | Total HLbL           | [a <sub>µ</sub> in units 10 <sup>-11</sup> ] |              |       |              |              |            |             |        |
|---|----------------------|--|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------|
|   | Contribution         | HKS  | BPP          | KN    | MV           | PdRV         | N/JN       | Jegerlehner | (2015) |
|   | $\pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ | 82.7±6.4                                     | 85±13        | 83±12 | $114 \pm 10$ | 114±13       | 99±16      |             |        |
|   | $\pi, K$ loops       | $-4.5\pm8.1$                                 | -19±13       | -     | 0±10         | -19±19       | -19±13     |             |        |
|   | axial vectors        | $1.7 \pm 1.7$                                | 2.5±1.0      | -     | 22±5         | $15 \pm 10$  | $22 \pm 5$ | → 7.5 ± 2.7 |        |
|   | scalars              | -  | $-6.8\pm2.0$ | -     | _            | -7±7         | $-7\pm 2$  |             |        |
|   | quark loops          | 9.7±11.1                                     | 21±3         | -     | -            | 2.3          | $21 \pm 3$ |             |        |
|   | total                | 89.6±15.4                                    | 83±32        | 80±40 | 136±25       | $105 \pm 26$ | 116±39     | → 102 ± 39  |        |
|   |                      |  |              |       |              |              |            |             |        |

Observables in experiment  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^-e^+X$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$d\sigma = \frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi^4 Q_1^2 Q_2^2} \frac{2\sqrt{X}}{s(1-4m^2/s)} \cdot \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_1'}{E_1'} \cdot \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_2'}{E_2'} \times \left\{ 4\rho_1^{++} \rho_2^{++} \sigma_{TT} + \rho_1^{00} \rho_2^{00} \sigma_{LL} + 2\rho_1^{++} \rho_2^{00} \sigma_{TL} + 2\rho_1^{00} \rho_2^{++} \sigma_{LT} + 2\left(\rho_1^{++} - 1\right) \left(\rho_2^{++} - 1\right) \left(\cos 2\tilde{\phi}\right) \tau_{TT} + 8\left[\frac{\left(\rho_1^{00} + 1\right) \left(\rho_2^{00} + 1\right)}{\left(\rho_1^{++} - 1\right) \left(\rho_2^{++} - 1\right)}\right]^{1/2} \left(\cos \tilde{\phi}\right) \tau_{TL} + h_1 h_2 4 \left[\left(\rho_1^{00} + 1\right) \left(\rho_2^{00} + 1\right)\right]^{1/2} \tau_{TT}^a + h_1 h_2 8 \left[\left(\rho_1^{++} - 1\right) \left(\rho_2^{++} - 1\right)\right]^{1/2} \left(\cos \tilde{\phi}\right) \tau_{TL}^a\right\},$$