Amplitude analysis of gammagamma scattering data

Lingyun Dai (IAS, Forschungszentrum Jülich)

with M. R. Pennington (JLab)

Based on: PRD90 (2014) 036004; PRD94 (2016) 116061; PRD95 (2017) 056007

First Workshop of the Muon g-2 Theory Initiative



Outlines





2. Hadronic amplitudes

ππ - KK scattering inputs

- We use K-matrix to represent S and D partial waves
- Data on Phase shifts and inelasticities of ππ KK coupled channel scattering.
- BABAR's Dalitz plot analysis of D_s⁺→(π⁺π⁻)π⁺ and D_s⁺→(K⁺K⁻)π⁺ process. BES's analysis on J/ ψ → π⁺π⁻ φ and J/ ψ → K⁺K⁻φ.
- Dispersion analysis: Descotes et.al EPJC33 (2004) 409
 - T-matrix of ππ Scattering by CFDIV Pelaez et al. PRD83 (2011) 074004
 - $\pi\pi \rightarrow KK$ amplitudes given by Roy-Steiner Equation.

Data: phase shift and inelasticity









BABAR && BES

ππ - KK scattering inputs

• KK threshold region is important as it is around $f_0(980)$.



Dispersion analysis constraints

 The constraints from Roy like equation, they have taken crossing symmetry into account.



3. Photon amplitudes

 With Final State Interaction Theorem (FSIT), We can construct the amplitudes of photonphoton scattering into meson pairs:

$$\mathcal{F}_{J\lambda}^{I}(\gamma\gamma \to \pi\pi; s) = \alpha_{1J\lambda}^{I}(s) \,\hat{T}_{J}^{I}(\pi\pi \to \pi\pi; s) + \alpha_{2J\lambda}^{I}(s) \,\hat{T}_{J}^{I}(\pi\pi \to \overline{K}K; s) ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{J\lambda}^{I}(\gamma\gamma \to \overline{K}K; s) = \alpha_{1J\lambda}^{I}(s) \,\hat{T}_{J}^{I}(\pi\pi \to \overline{K}K; s) + \alpha_{2J\lambda}^{I}(s) \,\hat{T}_{J}^{I}(\overline{K}K \to \overline{K}K; s) .$$



Photon-photon collision

- To constraint the di-photon amplitudes, we follow such steps:
 - We use dispersion relation to calculate the amplitudes below 0.6GeV, and give errors.
 - Fit the overall $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\overline{K}$ datasets, get a very narrowed patch of solutions.

Dispersion relations

- FSIT: r.h.c is 'fixed' by that of hadronic amplitudes
- I.h.c is divided into two parts: Born term and other cross channel exchange terms. When s<0:



Dispersion relations

Low's low energy theorem tells us that:

 $\mathcal{F}_{J\lambda}^{I}(s) \to \mathcal{B}_{J\lambda}^{I}(s) \text{ as } s \to 0$

Thus we can write Dispersion relations of $\mathcal{F}_{00}^{I}(s) = \mathcal{B}_{00}^{I}(s) + b^{I} s \,\Omega_{00}^{I}(s) + \frac{s^{2} \,\Omega_{00}^{I}(s)}{\pi} \int_{I} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left[\mathcal{L}_{00}^{I}(s')\right] \Omega_{00}^{I}(s')^{-1}}{s'^{2}(s'-s)}$ $-\frac{s^2 \,\Omega_{00}^I(s)}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} ds' \frac{\mathcal{B}_{00}^I(s') \,\operatorname{Im} \left[\Omega_{00}^I(s')^{-1}\right]}{s'^2(s'-s)}$ Solved by ChPT $\mathcal{F}_{J\lambda}^{I}(s) = \mathcal{B}_{J\lambda}^{I}(s) + \frac{s^{n}(s - 4m_{\pi}^{2})^{J/2}}{\pi} \Omega_{J\lambda}^{I}(s) \int_{I} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left[\mathcal{L}_{J\lambda}^{I}(s')\right] \Omega_{J\lambda}^{I}(s')^{-1}}{s'^{n}(s' - 4m^{2})(s' - s)}$ Threshold behaviour $-\frac{s^n(s-4m_\pi^2)^{J/2}}{\pi}\Omega^I_{J\lambda}(s) \int_{\mathcal{D}} ds' \frac{B^I_{J\lambda}(s')\operatorname{Im}\left[\Omega^I_{J\lambda}(s')^{-1}\right]}{s'^n(s'-4m^2)(s'-s)}.$

Constraints on low energy amplitudes

Finally we have the bands given by dispersion relations:



$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ integrated cross section

 With these constraints, we fit all datasets. The integrated cross sections with limited angular coverage



$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ integrated cross section



Why angular distribution?

- we can predict the full cross section if we know each partial wave.
- The angular distribution is helpful to seperate each partial wave.





$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow KK$ integrated cross section

- If only fit to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$, we will get a region of solutions. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow KK$ data is helpful to select solutions.
- The latest K_SK_S data of Belle make the accurate coupled channel analysis possible. Especially the angular distribution.





$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ individual partial waves



$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow KK$ individual partial waves, I=1



- For LbL one needs photons with virtualities from threshold up to around 2 GeV^2. Our massless photon amplitudes are boundary values when Q² = 0.
- Narrow resonance estimates from the tensor mesons are not a good approximation.
- We can test the simplest Pascalutsa-Vanderhaeghen sumrule.

$$0 = \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\Delta \sigma(s)}{s}, \qquad \qquad \sigma_2(s) - \sigma_0(s)$$

$$c_1 \pm c_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\sigma_{||}(s) \pm \sigma_{\perp}(s)}{s^2}. \qquad \qquad \text{V.Pascalutsa \& M.Vanderhaeghen,}$$

$$\text{PRL105 (2010) 201603.}$$

- For $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ amplitudes above 2 GeV² we use Born terms to estimate, the uncertainty is within 10%.
- For γγ→KK amplitudes the uncertainty is within 25%. But they have much less contribution to the PV sumrule.
- The Born term itself satisfies PV sumrule, so higher partial waves do not contribute. Finally one has:

 $\overline{\Delta}\sigma^{I}(s) = \sigma^{I}_{D2}(s) - \sigma^{I}_{S}(s) - \sigma^{I}_{D0}(s) - \left[\sigma^{I}_{D2}(s) - \sigma^{I}_{S}(s) - \sigma^{I}_{D0}(s)\right]_{Born}$





The contribution to PV sumrule is certainly not zero.

evaluation of $\Delta^{I}(4m_{\pi}^{2},\infty,Z=1)$	I = 0	I = 1	I = 2
$\gamma\gamma ightarrow \pi^0$ [6] (nb)		-190.9±4.0	142
$\gamma\gamma ightarrow \eta, \eta'$ [6] (nb)	-497.7±19.3	-	1=3
$\gamma\gamma ightarrow a_2(1320)$ [6] (nb)		135.0±12±25 †	1 - 1
$\gamma\gamma ightarrow \pi\pi$ (nb)	308.0±41.5	E	-44.2±6.1
$\gamma\gamma \to \overline{K}K$ (nb)	23.7±7.5	18.1±4.9	-
SUM (nb)	-166.0±46.4	-37.8±28.4	-44.2±6.1

- 4π channel's contribution is roughly of 150–200 nb in the I = 0 mode and 50 nb in the I = 2 mode.
- We have no decomposition information about the amplitudes of multi-particles' channel.

 $\mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2; \text{channel}) = \frac{\Delta(s_1, s_2, Z = 1; \text{channel})}{\Sigma(s_1, s_2, Z_{\text{exp}}; \text{channel})}$

contribution to PV sumrule

total cross section

Channel	Publication	E_1 (GeV)	E_2 (GeV)	Σ (nb)	$\mathcal{R}(Born)$
$\pi^+\pi^- (Z=0.6)$	[16]	2.4	4.1	0.44 ± 0.01	1.61
$K^+K^- (Z = 0.6)$	[16]	2.4	4.1	0.39 ± 0.01	1.29
$\pi^0 \pi^0 (Z = 0.8)$	[17]	1.44	3.3	8.8 ± 0.2	1.18
$\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	[18]	1.525	2.425	5.8 ± 0.8	1.55
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (non-res.)	[19]	0.8	2.1	23.0 ± 1.3	1.39
$K_s K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	[20]	1.4	4.2	9.7 ± 1.6	
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	[21]	1.1	2.5	$215\pm11\pm21$	1.49
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	[22]	1.0	3.2	$153\pm5\pm39$	1.48
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	[23]	0.8	3.4	$103\pm4\pm14$	1.42

Pascalutsa-Vanderhaeghen light-by-light sumrule

- 4π is likely the largest contribution to be added below 2.5 GeV to make the PV sumrule for both I=0,2 zero.
- Experiments on 4π production would be rather helpful, for example ρ⁺ρ⁻, ρ⁰ρ⁰ production from two untagged photon.

BESIII(BEPCII)? Belle(KEKB)?

Polarizabilities

Polarizabilities may play important role on LbL sumrule

K.T.Engel et.al. PRD86 (2012)	Polarizabilities $\lambda = 0$	Model I	Model II	Model III	Model IV	Model V	ChPT + Resonance Model
037502	$(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)_{\pi^+}$	$4.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.4$	0.0	11.6	4.0	4.0	5.7±1.0
fixed by Adler zero and $(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)_{\pi +} =$ 4.0	$(lpha_2-eta_2)_{\pi^+}$	15.7±1.1	13.0±1.1	20.9±1.1	13.2±3.4	18.1±2.5	16.2[21.6]
	$(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)_{\pi^0}$	-0.9±0.2	-0.8±0.1	-1.1±0.2	-0.8±0.2	-1.0±0.2	-1.9±0.2
	$(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)_{\pi^0}$	20.6±0.8	17.8±0.8	26.0±0.8	18.6±2.4	22.4±1.8	37.6±3.3
	$\lambda = 2$						
easiest one to be measured by experiment	$(lpha_1+eta_1)_{\pi^+}$	0.26±0.07	0.26±0.07	0.26±0.07	0.17±0.51	0.42±0.22	0.16[0.16]
	$(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)_{\pi^+}$	-1.4±0.5	-1.4±0.5	-1.4±0.5	-0.9±3.5	-2.4±1.5	-0.001
	$(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)_{\pi^0}$	0.60±0.06	0.60 ± 0.06	0.60 <mark>±0.0</mark> 6	-0.04±0.52	0.90 <mark>±0.1</mark> 7	1.1±3.3
	$(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)_{\pi^0}$	-3.7±0.4	-3.7±0.4	-3.7±0.4	0.4±3.4	-5.5±1.1	0.04

6. Summary

Amplitudes

Including all new datasets and analyticity, unitarity, crossing symmetry, we perfom an amplitude analysis on photon photon collision.

LbL constraint

Our individual amplitudes are the boundary of LbL amplitudes when virtual photons are changed into real photons.

PV sumrule

We test PV sum rules for real photon case. 4π is likely the largest contribution to be added below 2.5 GeV.

polarizability

We predict pion polarizabilities. They may also play an important role in LbL scattering.