

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

LHC Collimator Impedance Studies 06/30/2017

Vladimir SHILTSEV APC Seminar 03 August 2017 Participants: D.Amorim, S.Antipov*, S.Arsenyev, G.Azzopardi, N.Biancacci, R.Bruce, L.Carver, M.Fitterer, I.L.Garcia, F.Giordano, G.Mazzacano, A.Mereghetti, A.Pesah, S.Redealli, B.Salvant, V.Shiltsev, D.Valuch

* Many thanks to Sergey for the data



Planning

Motivation:

For the HL-LHC project, the LHC collimation system will undergo a major upgrade - it is foreseen to replace all secondary collimators in IR7 (TCSG) with low-impedance ones (TCSPM), to reduce their contribution to machine impedance.

Goal: to demonstrate with beam the reduction in impedance seen by the circulating beam, characterising also the effect of each material (layer).



	Pro	epared by:	Checked by:	Approved by:
Number of MD's	1	araabatti	S Antinov	1 Wenninger
Time required per MD [h]	8	eregnetti	L. R. Carver	R.Schmidt
Beams required [1, 2, 1&2]	2]	S. Redaelli	M.Zerlauth
Beam energy [GeV]	6.5 TeV			
Optics (injection, squeezed, special)	Injection optics			
Bunch intensity [#p, #ions]	Nominal bunch (1.2E11)]		
Number of bunches	2 bunches of different intensities			
Transv. emittance [m rad]	Not relevant, but not too large			
Bunch length [ns @ 4s]	Not relevant			
Optics change [yes/no]	No		Distribution list:	
Orbit change [yes/no]	No	ingineers in charge	e. LHC operators	
Collimation change [yes/no]	Yes: the TCSPM.D4R7.B2 will be move repeatedly in			
	and out to perform the measurements; the same will			
	be done with the TCSG.D4R7.B2 (installed			
	immediately upstream). For cleaner signals, all other			
	TCSGs and TCLAs will be moved out. TCP collimators			
	could be further closed, to measure smaller gaps of			J
	the TCSPM.	-		
RF system change [yes/no]	NO			

Motivation

The HL-LHC transverse impedance is largely dominated by the collimators contribution.



ab

TCSPM: "Three Stripes" Collimator Jaw



Expectations

$$k_{\perp} = \frac{cL}{\pi^2 b^3} \sqrt{\frac{2Z_0 \rho}{\sigma_z}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)$$

carbon fiber-reinforced composite (CFC) : rhoDC=7e-6 Ohm.m

MoGr bulk rhoDC = 1e-6 Ohm.m

TiN coating rhoDC = 4e-7 Ohm.m

Mo coating rhoDC = 5.35e-8 Ohm.m



MD Studies - Notes

- Very smooth MD, carried out in ideal machine conditions and constructive team spirit
- Spent ~2h to properly set up beam to be injected (0.8 & 1.2 10¹¹ p/b, norm emittances ~2μm), ADT kicks (B2V, 10-30μm amplitude, no losses on TCPs) and gain, chroma Q'~8units and octupole current (282A);
- Careful set-up paid off Managed to:
 - reach tune measurements sensitivity of $\sim 10^{-5}$
 - measure all the TCSPM stripes, and compare against TCSG.D4R7;
- Lots of interesting data

Complications: Tune drifts ~6e-4





Summary in One Slide

4.5*σ*-20*σ*



₩Fermilab

Tune Changes : 4.5 sigma to 20 sigma \rightarrow rms ~(1-2)e-5



Collimator	Tune at 4.5 σ	Tune at 20 σ	dQ
TCSG	$0.293618 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	0.293730 ± 1.1x10 ⁻⁵	-11.2 x10 ⁻⁵
TCSPM: MoGr	$0.293619 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	0.293673 ± 1.7x10 ⁻⁵	-5.4 x10 ⁻⁵
TCSPM: TiN	0.293663 ± 1.2x10 ⁻⁵	0.293717 ± 1.4x10 ⁻⁵	-5.4 x10 ⁻⁵
TCSPM: Mo	0.293657 ± 1.3x10 ⁻⁵	0.293692 ± 1.2x10 ⁻⁵	-3.5 x10 ⁻⁵

Fermilab

4.5 Sigma Data vs Model

- Significant decrease of tune shift for Mo coating with respect to CFC
- No major difference between MoGr and TiN



More on Data vs Model (3.5, 4, 6 sigma)

- The model includes both RW and geometric contribution
- Model tune shifts (line) computed using the actual collimator setting, used during the MD

Conclusions

- Individual tunes measured at the level of ~10⁻⁵
 - Allowing to measure impedance of individual collimators with good accuracy.
- The tune shifts are measured for three materials and ref the reference (CFC) and "more-or-less" agree with the predictions of the impedance model
- A clear reduction of the tune shifts can be seen with Mo, MoGr, and TiN
 - TiN and MoGr are similar within the experimental uncertainties
- The smallest tune shifts were observed with Mo, indicating factor of ~3 reduction of the "effective" transverse impedance compared to "standard" CFC secondary collimator
 - That offers an effective impedance reduction opportunity for HL-LHC
 Characteristic and the second sec

Thanks for your attention !

