

### THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



### **SUSY** Strong production

Search for gluino-mediated stop and bottom pair production in events with *b*-jets and large missing transverse momentum

Giordon Stark USLUA 2017 giordonstark.com







Event: 684427250 2016-09-08 04:49:33 CEST SR: Gbb B, Gtt 0-lepton B gluino-mediated stop/sbottom pair production Motivation



 Supersymmetry (SUSY) at the LHC: high gluino cross section @ 13 TeV

 Stops and sbottoms decay to corresponding quark + LSP (neutralino)

 Typical signature for 3rd generation, R-parity conserving, Supersymmetry (3G RPC SUSY) models

- Iarge number of b-jets
- high missing transverse energy (MET)
- Lorentz-boosted W bosons and top quarks in certain regions of parameter space
- Prior analyses done: <u>Run 1, 2015 paper</u>, <u>ATLAS-</u> <u>CONF-2016-052</u>, and <u>ATLAS-CONF-2017-021</u>

### Parameterizing the model

 $m_{ ilde{g}} \sim 2 imes m_{ ilde{\chi_0}}$ more jets, less energy per jet

looked for SUSY here and did not find it

mass of neutralino

 $m_{\tilde{g}} \gg m_{\tilde{\chi_0}}$ fewer jets, more energy per jet merged decays

mass of gluino

### Run I results



Sensitive up to 1.4 TeV

### Objects of Interest

- Signal: 4 top quarks
- Small energetic jets
- Large reclustered jets
- Leptons: electrons and muons
- High missing transverse energy
- MET trigger



Background: 2 top quarks

### Data/Simulation Comparison



# $t \xrightarrow{b} t$

▲ <u>ttbar-enhanced</u>
MET > 200 GeV
≥4 signal jets
≥2 b-jets
0 leptons

### Selections optimized for maximal <u>SUSY sensitivity</u> Multi-bin Strategy



Define orthogonal signal regions using jet multiplicity and effective mass

- allow for model-dependent interpretations (e.g. low jet multiplicity probes Gbb-like models)
- Then define orthogonal regions dominated by ttbar: control
  - Likelihood fit using MC
  - Derive normalization factors by fitting to data
- Lastly, define orthogonal regions: validation
  - Verify that our control region derives normalization correctly
  - Check variable extrapolations between signal and control

pen the box (unblind)!

simultaneously fit multiple parts of phase space together



[ATLAS-CONF-2017-021]

### High-jet-multiplicity regions

5-					multiplicity
Criteria com	non to all hi	$igh-N_{jet}$ region	ns: $N_{b\text{-jets}} \ge 3$		
	Variable	SR-0L	SR-1L	CR	<ul> <li>Control regions flip the transverse mass cut</li> </ul>
Criteria common to all regions of the same type	$N_{ m lepton}$	0	$\geq 1$	$\geq 1$	to be orthogonal to 1-lepton SRs
	$\Delta \phi_{ m min}^{ m 4j}$	> 0.4	_	_	$ > 9_{+} + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + $
	$m_{ m T}$	_	> 150	< 150	$5$ $8$ $1$ $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV, 36.1 fb <sup>-1</sup> $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}=13}$ Total background
$\begin{array}{c} \text{High-}m_{\text{eff}} \\ (\text{HH}) \\ (\text{Large }\Delta\text{m}) \end{array}$	$N_{ m jet}$	$\geq 7$	$\geq 6$	$\geq 6$	0     7     0     0     1
	$m_{ m eff}$	> 2500	> 2300	> 2100	$6^{-}_{E}$ $2^{+iets}$
	$m_{\mathrm{T,min}}^{b\mathrm{-jets}}$	> 100	> 120	> 60	
	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	> 400	> 500	> 300	Diboson
Intermediate- $m_{\rm eff}$ (HI) (Intermediate $\Delta$ m)	$N_{ m jet}$	$\geq 9$	$\geq 8$	$\geq 8$	$- Gtt: m(\tilde{g}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 1900, 1$
	$m_{ m eff}$	[1800, 2500]	[1800, 2300]	[1700, 2100]	
	$m_{\mathrm{T,min}}^{b ext{-jets}}$	> 140	> 140	> 60	
	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	> 300	> 300	> 200	
$egin{array}{c} { m Low-}m_{ m eff}\ ({ m HL})\ ({ m Small}\;\Delta{ m m}) \end{array}$	$N_{ m jet}$	$\geq 9$	$\geq 8$	$\geq 8$	200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
	$m_{ m eff}$	[900, 1800]	[900, 1800]	[900, 1700]	E <sup>miss</sup> [GeV]
	$m_{\mathrm{T,min}}^{b ext{-jets}}$	> 140	> 140	> 130	
	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	> 300	> 300	> 250	Apply all selections for a signal region, except for MET
			ГАТ	AS-CONF-	2017-0211

Signal regions are orthogonal using lepton

### Systematic Uncertainties

- Systematics on objects
  - For example, the measurement of a jet's momentum
- Statistical uncertainties
  - For example, statistical uncertainty on the normalization of ttbar in the control regions
- Theory uncertainties: systematic comparisons with alternatively-produced samples
  - radiation (two-sided), parton shower, generator
  - combine in quadrature for each region
- Total background systematics are between 30-50% for all regions
- Dominant uncertainties:
  - normalization due to our data/MC fit in the control region for ttbar normalization
  - theory systematics sensitive to radiation effects and simulation chosen
  - jet energy scale/resolution (JES/JER) due to corrections in energy/momentum of jets measured in the calorimeter [JES = 13-25%, JER=6-16%]
  - statistical

### Results

#### multi-bin

### Validating our work



#### [ATLAS-CONF-2017-021]

no significant mismodeling between observation and theory 11

#### multi-bin

### Signal Regions Unblinded



#### [ATLAS-CONF-2017-021]

no large difference between observation and theory

## Set strong limits given no large difference The limits



sensitive up to ~1.95 TeV

### Conclusion

- A search for supersymmetry at the ATLAS detector was performed and no excess was observed above the predicted background
  - A cut-and-count analysis was optimized for discovery, a multi-bin analysis was optimized for maximal sensitivity
  - No excess was observed, so the multi-bin analysis was used to set stronger limits
- Stronger limits were set on gluino masses (1.4 TeV to 1.95 TeV) and more sensitive in simplified models involving the pair production of gluinos that decay via top (bottom) squark

### Backup

#### <u>Objects</u>

#### Jets

#### **Baseline small-R**

R=0.4, pT > 20 GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.8$ Calibrated: EM+JES+GSC JVT > 0.59 & pT < 60 GeV &  $|\eta| < 2.4$ 

**Signal** OR'ed pT > 30 GeV **b-jets** MV2c10, 77% OP |ŋ| < 2.5

#### **Baseline large-R**

#### Signal

reclustered from signal small-R jets Anti-Kt, R=0.8,  $f_{cut} = 10\%$ \* pT > 100 GeV

\*remove subjets with pT < 10% of total jet pT

#### Leptons

#### **Baseline Electrons**

ID: LooseLHBLayer pT > 20 GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.47$ 

#### Signal

Overlap Removal, ID: MediumLLH LooseTrackOnly isolation  $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.5 \ mm$ ,  $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}| < 5$ 

#### **Baseline Muons**

ID: Medium Track pT > 20 GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$ 

#### Signal

Overlap Removal LooseTrackOnly isolation  $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 0.5 \ mm, \ |d_0/\sigma_{d_0}| < 3$ 

#### **Trigger and MET**

MET reconstructed using Track Soft Terms 2015 trigger: HLT\_xe70 2016 trigger: HLT\_xe(100|110)\_mht\_L1XE50<sup>16</sup>

Variables of Interest  $\Delta \phi_{\min}^{4j} = \min(|\phi_1 - \phi_{E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}}|, \dots, |\phi_4 - \phi_{E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}}|) \quad \text{QCD suppression}$ minimum  $\Delta \Phi$  between leading 4 jets and MET  $m_{eff}^{incl} = \sum_{i \le n} p_T^{j_i} + \sum_{j \le m} p_T^{\ell_j} + E_T^{miss}$  Only signal objects used Inclusive effective mass  $m_{T,\min}^{b-jets} = \min_{i \le 3} \sqrt{\left(E_T^{miss} + p_T^{j_i}\right)^2 - \left(E_T^{miss} + p_x^{j_i}\right)^2 - \left(E_T^{miss} + p_y^{j_i}\right)^2}$ Transverse mass of MET and *b*-jets (leading 3 b-jets)  $m_{\rm T} = \sqrt{2p_{\rm T}E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}\left(1 - \cos\Delta\phi\left(E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, {\rm lepton}\right)\right)}$  Regions with  $\geq 1$  lepton Transverse mass leptonic W  $M_J^{\Sigma,4} = \sum_{i < 4} m_{J,i}$  Sum of 4 leading reclustered jets Total jet mass

### Likelihood fits

 inputs to likelihood fits in control regions of cutand-count and multi-bin analysis



### jet multiplicity



### b-jet multiplicity



### missing transverse momentum



### total jet mass



OL



f L

22

### transverse mass



23

700

m<sub>T</sub> [GeV]

800