Investigating Efficiencies in the Search for Compressed Supersymmetry with a Soft Tau Lepton and a Highly Energetic ISR Jet

Savanna Starko¹, Alfredo Gurrola¹, Andrew Melo¹, Klaas Padeken¹, Paul Sheldon¹, Andrés Flórez², Alejandro Segura², Teruki Kamon³

November 3, 2017

Vanderbilt University¹ Universidad de los Andes² Texas A&M University³



1/11

Particle Physics, Cosmology, and Dark Matter

- Supersymmetry provides a dark matter (DM) candidate particle in the form of the lightest neutralino (x⁰₁).
- If the DM particle is mostly Bino (Z-like), this gives an overabundance of DM in the universe; annihilation cross section is small.
- Introducing coannihilation into the model brings the DM relic density down and closer to the value measured by astronomers.
- The DM relic density is extremely sensitive to the mass difference between the stau (*τ̃*) and the *x̃*⁰₁ → motivates a search for compressed spectra.



http://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.5842v1.pdf

Probing the Stau-Neutralino Coannihilation Region at the LHC with a soft tau lepton and an ISR jet

Andrés Flórez¹, Luis Bravo¹, Alfredo Gurrola², Carlos Ávila¹, Manuel Segura¹, Paul Sheldon² and Will Johns² ¹ Physics Department, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37235, USA

(Dated: December 19, 2016)

*DOI:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.073007



- This search targets compressed-mass spectra, where the mass difference between τ and χ₁⁰ is small.
- Since the mass difference is small, we introduce an ISR jet to boost the system, provide large E_T^{miss}, and aid in the acceptance of a "soft" τ.

$$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- j \to \tilde{\tau}^+ \tilde{\tau}^- \nu \nu j \to \tau^+ \tau^- \nu \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 j$$

Search strategy:

- p^{ISR}_T(j) > 100 GeV
- $\blacksquare E_{T}^{miss} > 230 \text{ GeV}$
- 20 < p_T(*τ_h*) < 40 GeV
- Veto other leptons and b-jets.

Defining the $Z \rightarrow (\mu^+ \mu^-)$ +ISR Control Region

$$N_{\rm SR} = \sigma \cdot L_{\rm int} \cdot \epsilon_{\tau_h} \cdot \epsilon_{E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm ISR}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Cuts for the } \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-) + \mathrm{ISR} \ \textit{CR} \\ \hline \textit{N}(\mu) = 2 \\ \mathrm{Isolated muon } p_\mathrm{T} > 30 \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ |\eta(\mu)| < 2.1 \\ Q_{\mu_1} * Q_{\mu_2} < 0 \ [\mathrm{OS}] \\ 80 \ \mathrm{GeV} < m(\mu, \mu) < 100 \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ |\eta(j)| < 2.4 \\ \textit{N}(j) \geq 1 \\ \textit{Leading jet } p_\mathrm{T} \geq 100 \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ \mathrm{Trigger: Single isolated } \mu \ \mathrm{p_T} \geq 24 \ \mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$



Get a handle on $\epsilon_{\rm ISR}$.

- Identification of muons is clean.
- There is no real E_T^{miss}.
- We removed jet overlap with muons.

くロト くぼト くほと くほと

These two measures guarded against jets faking as leptons.

Defining the Boson Boost Weights

- Add *vectorially* the \vec{p}_T of the muons; this is the Z boost (\vec{Z}_T) by conservation of momentum.
- Z_T is mismodeled in MC; we derive weights to correct the distributions in simulation.



Bin	Boost Wgt
0-50 GeV	1.1192
50-100 GeV	1.1034
100-150 GeV	1.0675
150-200 GeV	1.0637
200-300 GeV	1.0242
300-400 GeV	0.9453
400-600 GeV	0.8579
600-1000 GeV	0.7822



The distributions nicely model the data after correcting simulation with the boost weights.

SRS

Defining the $W \rightarrow (\mu \nu) + ISR$ Control Region

$$N_{\rm SR} = \sigma \cdot L_{\rm int} \cdot \epsilon_{\tau_h} \cdot \underbrace{\epsilon_{E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}}}_{\rm T} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm ISR} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm ISR}$$

$$\frac{Cuts \text{ for the } W \rightarrow (\mu\nu) + {\rm ISR } CR}{N(\mu)=1}$$

$$\mu: \text{ tight ID}$$

$$|\text{ lsolated muon } p_{\rm T} > 30 \text{ GeV}$$

$$|\eta(\mu)| < 2.1$$

$$E_{T}^{\rm miss} > 50 \text{ GeV}$$

$$60 \text{ GeV} < m_{\rm T}(\mu, E_{T}^{\rm miss}) < 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$|\eta(j)| < 2.5$$

$$N(b - jets) = 0$$
First leading jet $p_{\rm T} \ge 50 \text{ GeV}$

$$Trigger: Single isolated $\mu p_{\rm T} \ge 24 \text{ GeV}$

$$We removed jet overlap with muons.$$

$$We vetoed electrons and taus.$$$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 回 ト < 回 ト - 三 三



The distributions nicely model the data after correcting simulation with the boost weights. The $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ is also well-modeled.

Defining the $Z \rightarrow (\tau^+ \tau^-) + ISR$ Control Region

$$N_{\rm SR} = \sigma \cdot L_{\rm int} \cdot \boxed{\epsilon_{\tau_h}} \cdot \epsilon_{E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm ISR}$$

Cuts for the $Z \rightarrow (\tau^+ \tau^-) + ISR \ CR$

 $N(\tau) \geq 2$

```
Isolated tau p_{\rm T} > 60 \; \text{GeV}
```

 $|\eta(\tau)| < 2.1$

```
Loose against electron MVA veto
```

```
ID(e): Loose, ID(µ): Tight
```

```
Tight muon veto
```

```
50 GeV < m(\tau, \tau) < 100 GeV
```

```
N(j) \geq 1
```

```
Leading jet p_{\rm T} \ge 100 \text{ GeV}
```

N(b - jets) = 0



Get a handle on $\epsilon_{\tau ID}$.

- <u>Tau Discriminator</u>: Tight MVA
- Trigger: Double isolated $\tau p_T \ge 35 \text{ GeV}$
- QCD: SS ditau events scaled by R_{OS/SS} = 1.2 (measured in region with m(τ, τ) > 100 GeV).
- We apply the recommended 5% correction to $\epsilon_{\tau \mathrm{ID}}$.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



Agreement is observed, thus validating the modeling of tauID efficiency in simulation.

æ

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト

Summary and Conclusions

- Compressed spectra SUSY, such as the τ̃-χ̃⁰₁ coannihilation region, is important to DM and cosmology. Compressed regions are difficult to probe at the LHC.
- The ISR + soft- $\tau_h + E_T^{miss}$ search channel allows to probe **previously** inaccessible phase space.
- Understanding the modeling of initial state radiation, and its correlation with the lepton and E_T^{miss}, is a key aspect of this search.
- Studies on $Z \rightarrow (\mu^+ \mu^-) + ISR$ resulted in the boson boost weights.
 - The efficiency ϵ_{ISR} is well-understood.
 - After further study into jet resolution, ϵ_{MET} is also well-understood.
- Those boson weights (and modeling of the $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$) were validated on a region of $W \rightarrow (\mu \nu) + {\rm ISR}$.
- Studies on $Z \rightarrow (\tau^+ \tau^-) + ISR$ showed that $\epsilon_{\tau ID}$ is well-understood.
- We understand the efficiencies necessary to complete this analysis, and a publication is right around the corner.