Long Baseline-Calibration Planning What is the physics impact of calibration?

Sowjanya, Kendall

Joint session with LBL-Calibration DUNE Physics Week November 15 - 17, 2017 Fermilab

What physics do we want to propagate to LBL?

What are the critical physics effects we are worried about?

•

• LBL perspective: Existing studies point to the importance of (and level of) E scale, has there been more learned about physics requirements?

What physics do we want to propagate to LBL?

TPC response model Argon ionization energy Electron drift velocity t_0 offsets Electron lifetime **Recombination parameters** Electric field Longitudinal and transverse electron diffusion Wire positions/geometry Wire field response Channel gain Overall electronics analog transfer function Electronic crosstalk Electronics noise, including correlated noise ADC linearity (differential and integral).

Photon detector response model: <similar list here>

(See Backup for more)

High level quantities Position reconstruction biases Direction reconstruction biases Energy scale Energy resolution Particle ID efficiencies Noise removal efficiencies

Particle response Charged hadron propagation Neutron response

- Is this list complete?
- Position/time dependance?
- Needed precision?

. . .

. . .

• How to constrain? How much can you relay on external measurements?

Also, are effects propagated to next level? E.g. E-field distortions propagated to recombination?

What physics do we want to propagate to LBL?

- Are there general categories of calibration effects which we can use to make categories of uncertainties?
 - Example: E field distortions from: space charge or similar effects, resistor failure, etc. Re-use space charge machinery for (different problem) E field map?
 - Related: This lets us test the (general, ill defined) concern about E field importance in physics
 - Example: Electron lifetime (multiple systems may measure, can compare via one parameter)
- Can we sufficiently approximate a given physics effect into existing uncertainties?
 - Example: Radioactive source, determine effect on E scale (independent from LBL simulation), then re-use E scale parameter.

Discuss: Other effects? General categories?

TPC response model Argon ionization energy Electron drift velocity t_0 offsets Electron lifetime **Recombination parameters** Electric field Longitudinal and transverse electron diffusion Wire positions/geometry Wire field response Channel gain Overall electronics analog transfer function Electronic crosstalk Electronics noise, including correlated noise ADC linearity (differential and integral).

Photon detector response model: <similar list here>

(See Backup for more)

<u>High level quantities</u> Position reconstruction biases Direction reconstruction biases Energy scale Energy resolution Particle ID efficiencies Noise removal efficiencies

Particle response Charged hadron propagation Neutron response

• Is this list complete?

. . .

- Position/time dependance?
- Needed precision?

. . .

. . .

• How to constrain? How much can you relay on external measurements?

Also, are effects propagated to next level? E.g. E-field distortions propagated to recombination?

Interface with other efforts

- Some calibration insight, quantities to be determined externally
 - ProtoDUNE, MicroBooNE, ICARUS, ArgoNEUT, etc
 - ND during experiment
- Discuss with relevant groups what additional physics we should consider (may be lacking in existing models, or current challenge to physics output)
 - Coordinate master physics effect list with these groups
 - Still try to propagate calibration physics based on a given effect category (e.g. E field distortion)

How do we want to propagate it?

- Suggest two channels to test calibration impact on physics: dedicated uncertainty (fcl) dial, and/or pseudo data - Agreement on this?
 - What machinery exists for dials? What needs development?
 - What machinery exists for pseudo data? Does anything need development/unification
- Some shared effort with cross section systematic uncertainties
 - Discussion of DUNE weighting package (based on T2K tools), parameterized dials?
 - But, for calib, we don't need ND (directly) see previous page

Additional (logistical) considerations

What are our expectations for the workflow?

•

•

- Proceed from a template (test case) or better to have an initial set?
 Do we need time to iterate?
- How much lead time is needed to turn a single physics study around with LBL? For the TDR timescale, when are inputs needed?
- We understand this is a collaborative effort
 - What help does LBL need from Calibration TF?

Calib TF to do list

- Build a master physics effect list which calibration constrains
 - What is factorizable? What can be absorbed into existing (or easy to implement) parameters
 - What tools exist on current experiments? How have we previously parameterized detector systematic uncertainties?
 - How can we categorize groups of systematic uncertainties, and are there any physics effects known to be small // not to be considered?
- Take a test case and do a feasibility study of propagating the effect
 - Implementation, interface checks

Other thoughts/Comments from the group?

Backups

Latest knowledge from LBL is?

- Previously: flat E scale, E scale variation w
 - What machinery exists for dials? What needs development?
 - What machinery exists for pseudo data? Does anything need development/unification
- What are our expectations for the workflow?
 - Proceed from a template (test case) or better to have an initial set? Do we need time to iterate?
 - Do we see collisions in manpower/time?
 - We understand this is a collaborative effort

•

• What help does LBL need from Calibration TF?

Many Calibration Quantities: not a complete list

. . .

. . .

TPC response modelArgon ionization energyElectron drift velocity t_0 offsetsElectron lifetimeRecombination parametersElectric fieldLongitudinal and transverse electron diffusionWire positions/geometryWire field responseChannel gainOverall electronics analog transfer functionElectronic crosstalkElectronics noise, including correlated noiseADC linearity (differential and integral).

Photon detector response model: <similar list here>

(See Backup for more)

Also, are effects propagated to next level? E.g. E-field distortions propagated to recombination? <u>High level quantities</u> Position reconstruction biases Direction reconstruction biases Energy scale Energy resolution Particle ID efficiencies Noise removal efficiencies

Particle response Charged hadron propagation Neutron response On the Simulation side (Big Picture Needs)

Want to know what model and what parameter values went into simulation? E.g. drift velocity? Lifetime? E-field? Diffusion values? Recombination models & parameters?

Also, do we have knobs (fcl dials) to adjust these parameters?

Goal: generate samples with varying parameters and assess impact on physics

Calibration Sources: not a complete list

- Purity Monitors
- Temperature monitors
- Survey
- Current monitors
- υ_{μ} CC events
- Michel electrons
- Stopping muons
- Stopping protons
- Muon Crossers, APA/CPA piercers
- Ar^{39}
- Laser system
- CRT tagger
- Other radioactivity

- Michel electrons
- υ_{μ} CC events
- π^0 mass peak
- Other decays (K⁰s...)
- Tagged events

On the Reconstruction side (Big Picture Needs)

Ideally would want to be able to reconstruct all calibration sources starting from Cosmic muons

High priority reconstruction topics (for now):

- Cosmic muon reconstruction
- Cosmic T0-tagging
- PDS T0 reconstruction
- Stopped muon filter
- Michel electron filter
- Calorimetric reconstruction to assess impact of various effects (dQ/dx to dE/dx)