



# Design and prototyping of the CERN Proton Synchrotron Internal Dump in the Framework of the LHC Injectors Upgrade Project

Summary 6-06-2018



François-Xavier Nuiry Giulia Romagnoli Jaakko Johannes Esala Edouard Grenier Boley Jose Briz Monago Marco Calviani Vasilis Vlachoudis Tobias Polzin Yannick Coutron Didier Steyaert





#### - Introduction

*Operation and PS dump description Requirements* 

- New Dump Core

Description

- Energy deposition studies
- Thermo-mechanical simulations
- Prototyping

Analysis









The PS Machine and LIU PS Upgrade



The LHC Injectors Upgrade should plan for delivering reliably to the LHC the beams required for reaching the goals of the HL-LHC.

Timeline: Mai 2020 (PS closing)

Google

#### **PS Machine:**

628 m circumference 100 Main dipoles Max proton momentum: 26 GeV/c







Reference: PS Ring Internal Dump Functional Specifications, EDMS 1582110 v.2.0.

#### **Machine Development**



BEAM	LHC 25 ns 2015	LHC25ns HL
Particles	Protons, for LHC	
Pulse Intensity:	8.7 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	$2.4 \times 10^{13}$
Continuous pulses to study	Minimum 4 pulses	Minimum 4 pulses
Beam revolution time:	2.1 μs	2.1 μs
Pulse Period (Basic Period):	3.6 s	3.6 s
am rms size (σ <sub>h</sub> x σ <sub>v</sub> ) odd section [mm × mm]	1.85 x 0.98	1.74 x 0.87
Max momentum	26 GeV/c	26 GeV/c
Intensity density*	76	252
Total shaving time	approx. 4 ms	approx. 4 ms
Total beam energy	35 kJ	96.3 kJ
Total energy on the dump	3.2 kJ	8.3 kJ
T <sub>max</sub> on dump	415°C	1154°C
I <sub>tot</sub>		

\*Intensity density

Be

 $I_{max} = \frac{I_{tot}}{2\pi \cdot \sqrt{\sigma_{horiz}^2 \cdot \sigma_{vert}^2}}$ 

HPTW18 - PS Internal Dump Summary



## **New PS Dump Description**









- Magnetic field keeps the dump arm close to the magnet
- Magnet current cut  $\rightarrow$  springs pushes the dump in the beam position
- Back springs push back the dump in the initial position
- If problem (magnet current) → safety motor pushes away the dump from the chamber







# **PS Dump Kinematics**





Courtesy: Yannick Coutron (CERN)



## **PS Dump Shaving Impact**









Nonlinear beam intensity drop over time:



 $\rightarrow$ On a very thin layer of the dump core surface (few tens of microns thick);

 $\rightarrow$ A 1 degree angle is set in order to control the position of the primary impact location.







### $\rightarrow$ PS Ring Internal Dump Functional Specifications, EDMS 1582110 v.2.0.

#### **Main Requirements**

- Dumping time shall be 300 ms
- Beam impact every 1.2 s or 2.4 s for several minutes
- 200 000 cycles / year / dump
- High vacuum (2 x 10<sup>-8</sup> mbar after 24 h of pumping)
- Geometrical constraints (max 955mm space in Z)
- Short and punctual maintenance (1 per year)
- Lifetime until 2035

#### **Engineering challenges**

- Minimized dump core mass, considering also proton leakage
- Thermal management
- Stress evaluation
- Cooling system inside the vacuum chamber
- Reliable mechanism
- Fatigue (mechanism, bellow...)
- Highly radioactive environment
- Precise mechanical dimensioning
- Efficient modular shielding
- Material ageing (Gas production and DPA)



# **Dump Core Design**











#### Some low density materials:

- Graphite R7550
- Silicon Carbide
- Glassy Carbon

High elastic modulus (~400 GPa)  $\rightarrow$  high stresses. R7550 better known. Low thermal conductivity ~7 W/(m K)



# **Energy Density Distribution (Fluka)**







# **Thermal Simulation**





Framework of the LHC Injectors Upgrade Project. EDMS No. 1845424 Rev. 0.1



# CuCrZr Structural Results, HIP design











 $F_{s} = \left[\frac{\sigma_{1}}{S_{tensile} \lim it} + \frac{\sigma_{3}}{S_{compressive} \lim it}\right]^{-1}$ of is maximum principal stress (tensile) of is minimum principal stress (compressive)

HPTW18 - PS Internal Dump Summary

Expected activation after 1 month of cool down



After 10 years of operation: close to 10 mSv/h on the dump, after 1 month of cool down

F٨

ERN









#### CuCrZr blocks + 316L tubes

Final precision machining





Alumina coating of all blocks made of CuCrZr to prevent diffusion bonding to the steel tubes and steel casing during HIP





HPTW18 - PS Internal Dump Summary

# ENDCore Prototyping:ENGINEERINGHIP Process, Thermal Treatment and Ageing



- Heating and cooling rate of 5 K/min;
- High temperature plateau for 3 h;
- High Argon pressure.



- Solutionizing (high temperature for 30 min in air);
- Water quenching at RT for 10 min (~90%);
- Ageing at 500°C for 6h in air.

Steel tubes inner surfaces under vacuum and sealed to limit oxidation during heat treatment

# AFTER thermal treatment, ageing and machining











- The CuCr1Zr groove was too large ( $\emptyset$ 7 mm, tube  $\rightarrow \ ^{\circ}\emptyset$ 6.3 mm)
- At the beginning of the HIP  $\rightarrow$  Pressure increase inside the tube (110 MPa)  $\rightarrow$  tube swelling
- CuCr1Zr housing too large  $\rightarrow$  Tube crack



#### Before HIP

#### After HIP + Heat treatment





#### Core Prototyping, Analysis Optical inspections





Courtesy: Josep Busom Descarrega



# Core Prototyping, Analysis



#### SEM observations and Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis







- Beam Intercepting Device with challenging requirements;
- The multi-turn shaving / damping process is a key parameter for the core design;
  →PS Machine beam circulation simulations output lead to same intensity drop
  →4 ms energy deposition time (HL-LHC beam)
  →>1000°C temperature gradient over few tens of µm of graphite
- Water cooling is needed as 2.3 kW can be applied for several minutes
- HIP design is challenging but would enhance PS operation from 2020 onwards
- Radiation ageing is not expected to be an issue
- Actuation system is a key sub-system for the equipment reliability







# **Thanks for your attention**