

Recent lattice results on heavy flavor probes of QGP

Peter Petreczky



QCD at high temperature (introduction): EoS and susceptibilities

Heavy quark interactions at high temperatures: color screening

TUMQCD, arXiv:1802.xxxx

Charm fluctuations and correlations: open charm hadrons above T_c ?

Mukherjee, PP, Sharma, PRD 93 (2016) 014502

Spatial meson correlation functions: open charm mesons and quarkonia

Bazavov, Karsch, Maezawa, Mukherjee, PP, PRD91 (2015) 054503

S. Sharma and PP, work in progress


Quarkonium correlators and spectral functions from NRQCD

S. Kim, PP, A. Rothkopf, PRD91 (2015) 054511 ,work in progress

Equation of state at high temperatures

2+1 flavor: Bazavov, PP, Weber, arXiv:1710.05024

2+1+1 flavor (with charm quark): Borsányi et al (BW Coll.), Nature 539 (2016) 69



Lattice results
are extrapolated
to continuum ($a=0$)

Charm quark contribution to QCD pressure is significant for $T > 400$ MeV
The pressure is well described by weak coupling calculations for $T > 1000$ MeV

QCD thermodynamics at non-zero chemical potential

Taylor expansion :

$$\frac{p(T, \mu_B, \mu_Q, \mu_S, \mu_C)}{T^4} = \sum_{ijkl} \frac{1}{i!j!k!l!} \chi_{ijkl}^{BQSC} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^i \left(\frac{\mu_Q}{T}\right)^j \left(\frac{\mu_S}{T}\right)^k \left(\frac{\mu_C}{T}\right)^l \quad \text{hadronic}$$

$$\frac{p(T, \mu_u, \mu_d, \mu_s, \mu_c)}{T^4} = \sum_{ijkl} \frac{1}{i!j!k!l!} \chi_{ijkl}^{uds} \left(\frac{\mu_u}{T}\right)^i \left(\frac{\mu_d}{T}\right)^j \left(\frac{\mu_s}{T}\right)^k \left(\frac{\mu_c}{T}\right)^l \quad \text{quark}$$

$$\chi_{ijkl}^{abcd} = T^{i+j+k+l} \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \mu_b^i} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \mu_b^j} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu_c^k} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \mu_d^l} \ln Z(T, V, \mu_a, \mu_b, \mu_c, \mu_d) \Big|_{\mu_a=\mu_b=\mu_c=\mu_d=0}$$

Taylor expansion coefficients give the susceptibilities, i.e. the fluctuations and correlations of conserved charges, e.g.

$$\chi_2^X = \chi_X = \frac{1}{VT^3} (\langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2)$$

$$\chi_{11}^{XY} = \frac{1}{VT^3} (\langle XY \rangle - \langle X \rangle \langle Y \rangle)$$



information about carriers of the conserved charges (hadrons or quarks)




probes of deconfinement

Quark number fluctuations at high T


Continuum extrapolated lattice results:

quark number fluctuations



Good agreement between lattice and the weak coupling approach for 2nd and 4th order quark number fluctuations

quark number correlations




Correlations are large for $T < 200$ MeV but agree with the weak coupling expectations for $T > 300$ MeV

Fluctuation and correlations and deconfinement of charm

$$\chi_{nml}^{XYC} = T^{m+n+l} \frac{\partial^{n+m+l} p(T, \mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_C) / T^4}{\partial \mu_X^n \partial \mu_Y^m \partial \mu_C^l}$$


Bazavov et al, PLB 737 (2014) 210



$m_c \gg T$ only $|C|=1$ sector contributes

In the hadronic phase all BC -correlations are the same !

Hadronic description breaks down just above T_c
 \Rightarrow open charm deconfines above T_c



Correlations are large for $T < 200$ MeV
but agree with the weak coupling
expectations for $T > 300$ MeV, e.g.

$$\chi_{22}^{\text{uc}} \gg \chi_{13}^{\text{uc}} \sim \chi_{31}^{\text{uc}} \sim \chi_{11}^{\text{uc}}$$


Quasi-particle model for charm degrees of freedom

Charm dof are good quasi-particles at all T because $M_c \gg T$ and Boltzmann approximation holds

$$p^C(T, \mu_B, \mu_c) = p_q^C(T) \cosh(\hat{\mu}_C + \hat{\mu}_B/3) + p_B^C(T) \cosh(\hat{\mu}_C + \hat{\mu}_B) + p_M^C(T) \cosh(\hat{\mu}_C)$$

$$\chi_2^C, \chi_{13}^{BC}, \chi_{22}^{BC} \Rightarrow p_q^C(T), p_M^C(T), p_B^C(T) \quad \hat{\mu}_X = \mu_X/T$$


Partial meson and baryon pressures described by HRG at T_c and dominate the charm pressure then drop gradually, charm quark only dominant dof at $T > 200$ MeV or $\varepsilon > 6$ GeV/fm³




Free energy of static quark anti-quark and screening

Continuum extrapolated lattice results:

singlet $Q\bar{Q}$ free energy, $F_S(r, T)$



effective coupling $\alpha_{Q\bar{Q}} = r^2 \frac{\partial F_S(r, T)}{\partial r}$



TUMQCD, arXiv:1802.xxxx


- At short distances, $r < 0.4/T$ the $Q\bar{Q}$ interaction is like at $T = 0$
- $\alpha_{Q\bar{Q}}(r, T)$ has the maximum at $r \simeq 0.4/T$: $\alpha_{Q\bar{Q}}(r \simeq 0.4/T) = \alpha_{Q\bar{Q}}^{max}$
- $\alpha_{Q\bar{Q}}^{max} > 0.5 \Rightarrow$ QGP is strongly coupled for $T < 300$ MeV

Meson correlators and spectral functions

In-medium properties and/or dissolution of mesons are encoded in the spectral functions:

$$\rho(\omega, p, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \int d^3x e^{ipx} \langle [J(x, t), J(0, 0)] \rangle_T$$

Melting is seen as progressive broadening and disappearance of the bound state peaks



Due to analytic continuation spectral functions are related to Euclidean time quarkonium correlators that can be calculated on the lattice

$$D(\tau, p, T) = \int d^3x e^{ipx} \langle J(x, -i\tau) J(0, 0) \rangle_T$$

$$D(\tau, p, T) = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \rho(\omega, p, T) \frac{\cosh(\omega \cdot (\tau - 1/(2T)))}{\sinh(\omega/(2T))}$$

MEM

$\rho(\omega, p, T)$

1S charmonium survives to
1.6 T_c ??

Temperature dependence of temporal charmonium correlators

temperature dependence of $D(\tau, T)$


$$D(\tau, T) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \rho(\omega, T) \frac{\cosh(\omega \cdot (\tau - 1/(2T)))}{\sinh(\omega/(2T))}$$

$$D_{rec}(\tau, T) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \rho(\omega, T=0) \frac{\cosh(\omega \cdot (\tau - 1/(2T)))}{\sinh(\omega/(2T))}$$


If there is no T -dependence in the spectral function, $D(\tau, T)/D_{rec}(\tau, T)=1$

Datta, Karsch, P.P., Wetzerke,
PRD 69 (2004) 094507

Pseudo-scalar $\Leftrightarrow 1S$



Scalar $\Leftrightarrow 1P$



Limited sensitivity to medium effects

Temporal vs spatial meson correlators

Spatial correlation functions can be calculated for arbitrarily large separations $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$G(z, T) = \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \int dx dy \langle J(\mathbf{x}, -i\tau) J(\mathbf{0}, 0) \rangle_T, \quad G(z \rightarrow \infty, T) = A e^{-m_{scr}(T)z}$$

but related to the same spectral functions $G(z, T) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{ipz} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\rho(\omega, p, T)}{\omega}$

Low T limit :

$$\sigma(\omega, p, T) \simeq A_{mes} \delta(\omega^2 - p^2 - M_{mes}^2)$$

$$A_{mes} \sim |\psi(0)|^2 \rightarrow m_{scr}(T) = M_{mes}$$

$$G(z, T) \simeq |\psi(0)|^2 e^{-M_{mes}(T)z}$$

High T limit :



$$m_{scr}(T) \simeq 2 \sqrt{m_q^2 + (\pi T)^2}$$

Temporal meson correlator only available for $\tau T < \frac{1}{2}$ and thus may not be very sensitive to In-medium modifications of the spectral functions; also require large N_τ (difficult in full QCD)

Spatial correlators can be studied for arbitrarily large separations and thus are more sensitive to the changes in the meson spectral functions; do not require large N_τ (easy in full QCD).




Lattice calculations: spatial meson correlators in 2+1 flavor QCD for ssbar, scbar and ccbar sectors using $48^3 \times 12$ lattices and highly improved staggered quark (HISQ) action ([HotQCD](#)) , physical m_s and $m_\pi = 161$ MeV.

Temperature dependence of spatial meson correlators



Medium modifications of meson correlators increase with T , but decrease with heavy quark content; larger for $1P$ charmonium state than for $1S$ charmonium state

Temperature dependence of meson screening masses






Qualitatively similar behavior of the screening masses for $s\bar{s}$, $s\bar{c}$ and $c\bar{c}$ sectors

Screening Masses of opposite parity mesons become degenerate at high T
(restoration of chiral and axial symmetry)


Screening masses are close to the free limit
 $2 (m_q^2 + (\pi T)^2)^{1/2}$ at $T > 200$ MeV, $T > 250$ MeV,
 $T > 300$ MeV for $s\bar{s}$, $s\bar{c}$ and $c\bar{c}$ sectors, respectively.

Temperature dependence of meson screening masses (cont'd)



- At low T changes in the meson screening Masses $\Delta M=M_{scr}(T)-M|_{T=0}$ are indicative of the changes in meson binding energies
- ΔM is significant already below T_c
- Above the transition temperature the changes in ΔM are comparable to the meson binding energy and except for $1S$ charmonium (sequential melting)

Bottomonium screening masses



S. Sharma, PP,
work in progress

- For $T < 400$ MeV $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\eta_b(1S)$ screening masses are close to their vacuum value, $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ mass shows large shift
- For $T > 500$ MeV all the screening masses qualitatively follow the free theory expectation \Rightarrow all bottomonia are melted

Why NRQCD ?

Quarkonia to a fairly good approximation are non-relativistic bound state

$$p_Q \sim M_Q v \ll M_Q$$

EFT approach: integrate the physics at scale of the heavy quark mass

NRQCD is the EFT at scale $\ll M_Q$

Heavy quark fields are non-relativistic Pauli spinors:

$$L_{NRQCD} = \psi^\dagger \left(D_\tau - \frac{D_i^2}{2M_Q} \right) \psi + \chi^\dagger \left(D_\tau + \frac{D_i^2}{2M_Q} \right) \chi + \dots + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{q} \gamma_\mu D_\mu q$$

Advantages:

- the large quark is not a problem for lattice calculations, lattice study of bottomonium is feasible (usually $a M_Q \ll 1$, which is challenging)
- The structure of the spectral function is simpler => more sensitivity to the bound state properties
- Quarkonium correlators are not periodic and can be studied at larger time extent ($=1/T$) => more sensitivity to bound state properties

NRQCD on the Lattice

Inverse lattice spacing provides a natural UV cutoff
for NRQCD provided $a^{-1} \leq 2M_Q$ (lattices cannot be too fine)

Quark propagators are obtained as initial value problem:

$$S_Q(x, \tau + a) = U_4^\dagger(1 - \frac{p^2}{2M_Q}\Delta\tau)S_Q(x, \tau), \quad \Delta\tau = a/n$$

well behaved if $naM_Q < 3$
Davies, Thacker, PRD 45 (1992) 915

$$D(\tau) = \sum_x \langle O(x, \tau) S_Q(x, \tau) O^\dagger(0, 0) S_Q^\dagger(x, \tau) \rangle_T, \quad O(^3S_1; x, \tau) = \sigma_i, \quad O(^3P_1; x, \tau) = \Delta_i \sigma_j - \Delta_j \sigma_i$$

Thacker, Lepage, PRD43 (1991) 196

The energy levels in NRQCD are related to meson masses by a constant lattice spacing dependent shift, e.g.

$$M_{\Upsilon(1S)} = E_{\Upsilon(1S)} + C_{\text{shift}}(a)$$

Light d.o.f (gluons, u,d,s quarks) are represented by gauge configurations from HotQCD, $m_s = m_s^{phys}$, $m_{u,d} = m_s/20 \leftrightarrow m_\pi = 161$ MeV
 $T > 0$: $48^3 \times 12$ lattices, $T_c = 159$ MeV, the temperature is varied by varying $a \leftrightarrow \beta = 10/g^2$ Bazavov et al, PRD85 (2012) 054503

$$\Rightarrow 140 \text{ MeV} \leq T \leq 407 \text{ MeV} \quad 2.759 \geq aM_b \geq 0.954 \text{ (ok if } n = 2, 4)$$

$$0.757 \geq aM_c \geq 0.427 \text{ (ok if } n \geq 8)$$

Bayesian Reconstruction of spectral functions

$$D(\tau) = D(p=0, \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} D(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \int_{-2M_q}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-\omega\tau} \rho(\omega)$$

Discretize the integral $D_i^\rho = \sum_{l=1}^{N_\omega} \exp[-\omega_l \tau_i] \rho_l \Delta\omega_l$ and find ρ_l

using Bayesian approach, i.e. maximizing

$$P[\rho|D, I] \propto P[D|\rho, I]P[\rho|I]$$

Likelihood:

$$P[D|\rho I] = \exp(-L)$$

$$L[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (D_i - D_i^\rho) C_{ij} (D_j - D_j^\rho)$$

Prior probability:

$$P[\rho|I] = \exp[S]$$



$$S[\rho] = \alpha \sum_l \left(1 - \frac{\rho_l}{m_l} + \log \left[\frac{\rho_l}{m_l} \right] \right) \Delta\omega_l.$$

no restriction on the search space
no flat directions

Different from MEM !

Burnier Rothkopf, PRL 111 (2013) 182003

Bottomonium spectral functions at T=0



Well resolved Υ ground state peak

Acceptable resolution for χ_b state


But excited states, Υ' , Υ'' , χ'_b cannot be resolved well

Define the NRQCD energy shift $C_{\text{shift}}(a)$ by fixing the Υ peak to PDG

$$E_\Upsilon + C_{\text{shift}}(a) = 9.46030 \text{ GeV}$$


\Rightarrow prediction for mass of other states: η_b , χ_{b0} , χ_{b1} , h_b

Charmonium spectral functions at T=0



Well resolved J/ψ peak

Excited states cannot be determined, artifacts at $\Delta\omega > 3$ GeV





Only the position of the χ_{c1} state can be reliably determined

Define the NRQCD energy shift $C_{\text{shift}}(a)$ by fixing the J/ψ peak to PDG

$$E_{J/\psi} + C_{\text{shift}}(a) = 3.097 \text{ GeV}$$

\Rightarrow prediction for mass of other states: $\eta_c, \chi_{c0}, \chi_{c1}, h_c$

Temperature dependence of the bottomonium correlators




change in Υ correlator $< 2\%$

change in χ_{b1} correlator $< 7\%$

⇒ hints for sequential melting pattern: stronger medium modification of χ_{b1} spectral function than for Υ spectral function


Temperature dependence of the charmonium correlators



change in J/ψ correlator $< 5\%$

⇒ hints for sequential melting pattern:

changes in the J/ψ correlator are about the same as in the χ_b correlator (same size); changes in the χ_c correlators are factor of two larger




change in χ_{c1} correlator $< 12\%$

Reconstructing Spectral Functions at $T > 0$

Two main problems:

- 1) $\tau < 1/T \Rightarrow$ limited temporal extent at high T
- 2) relatively small number of time slices ($N_\tau = 12$ in our study)



Study these effects at $T = 0$ by using only the first 12 data points:



Decreasing $\tau_{max} = 1/T$ leads to broadening of the bound state peak
(to be taken into account in comparison $T = 0$ and $T > 0$ spectral functions)

Bottomonium Spectral Functions at $T>0$



Compare $T = 0$, $T > 0$ and free spectral functions reconstructed using the same systematics ($\tau_{max} = 1/T$ and $N_{data} = 12$)



Both Υ and χ_b survive up to temperature $T > 249$ MeV

Onia masses at T>0

Onia masses from the peak positions:



Shifts in the peak location is smaller at $T>0$ than in the vacuum for the same temporal extent → the actual onia masses decrease with increasing temperature


Summary

- Charm correlations and fluctuations carry information about charm hadrons:
 - 1) For $T < T_c$ fluctuations and correlations are described by hadron resonance gas
 - 2) For $T > 1.3 T_c$ fluctuations and correlations are described by charm quark gas
 - 3) In-medium open heavy flavor bound states may exist for $T_c < T < 1.3 T_c$
⇒ sQGP, confirmed by study of static quark anti-quark free energy
- Spatial meson correlators and NRQCD correlators are sensitive to the temperature to the changes in the spectral functions and are consistent with sequential melting picture: where mesons more heavy quarks dissolve at higher temperatures and $1S$ onia survive till higher temperature than $1P$ onia


$$T_d(J/\psi) \simeq 250\text{MeV}, \quad T_d(\chi_b) \simeq 270\text{MeV}, \quad T_d(\Upsilon) > 407\text{MeV}$$

- Need a link between spatial meson propagators and charm fluctuations to establish the existence and nature of open charm hadrons above T_c

Back-up:



Strange – charm hadrons:



High T ($T > 250$ MeV) :

$$\chi_{22}^{uc} \gg \chi_{13}^{uc} \sim \chi_{31}^{uc} \sim \chi_{11}^{uc}$$

Low T: correlations are large
(bound states ?)

Does the quasi-particle model makes sense ?

4 non-trivial constraints on the model provided by : $\chi_{31}^{BC}, \chi_{31}^{SC}, \chi_{121}^{BSC}, \chi_{211}^{BSC}$

$$c_1 \equiv \chi_{13}^{BC} - 4\chi_{22}^{BC} + 3\chi_{31}^{BC} = 0,$$


$$c_2 \equiv 2\chi_{121}^{BSC} + 4\chi_{112}^{BSC} + \chi_{22}^{SC} + 2\chi_{13}^{SC} - \chi_{31}^{SC} = 0$$

$$c_3 \equiv 6\chi_{112}^{BSC} + 6\chi_{121}^{BSC} + \chi_{13}^{SC} - \chi_{31}^{SC},$$

$$c_4 \equiv \chi_{211}^{BSC} - \chi_{112}^{BSC}.$$



Diquark pressure is zero !




Models with charm quark only:
correlations from an effective mass

$$m_c = m_c(T, \mu_C, \mu_S, \mu_B)$$


Taylor expand the effective mass
in chemical potential

c_n
⇒ Un-natural fine tuning of
the expansion coefficients

How Well NQRCD Works for Bottomonium ?




NRQCD can reproduce the hyperfine splitting in bottomonium with accuracy $< 20\text{-}40 \text{ MeV}$ depending on the lattice spacing




NRQCD can reproduce the $1P\text{-}1S$ splitting in bottomonium with accuracy $< 15 \text{ MeV}$

How Well NQRCD Works for Charmonium ?



NRQCD can reproduce the hyperfine splitting in charmonium with an accuracy < 40 MeV



NRQCD can reproduce the $1P-1S$ splitting in charmonium well for lattice spacing $a > 0.08$ fm