

art 2.08.04 and 2.09.01

Fixing art::Ptrs and art::Assns

Kyle J. Knoepfel 24 October 2017

## $art 2.07 \rightarrow 2.08$

- The primary change going from *art* 2.08 to 2.08 was relaxing the restrictions required for processing input files.
- art 2.08 and beyond allows in the same job the processing of input files with arbitrarily different processing histories.
- Enabling this facility required changing the schema of the art::ProductID, which is the underlying construct used in providing art::Ptr and art::Assns support.
- Schema evolution rules were put in place to facilitate reading old (i.e. pre-2.08 files)
- For art 2.08.00, 2.08.01, 2.08.02, **2.08.03** and **2.09.00**, the schema evolution rules are incorrect.
  - In principle, all art::Ptrs and art::Assns read using these versions are affected.
  - See following slides for details.
- The schema evolution rules have been fixed for art 2.08.04 and 2.09.01.



# Two examples illustrating the error:

art::Ptrs may become null

```
---- ProductNotFound BEGIN
A request to resolve an art::Ptr to a product containing items of type: std::string with ProductID 0 cannot be satisfied because the product cannot be found.
The productGetter was not set -- are you trying to dereference a Ptr during mixing?
cet::exception going through module PtrmvAnalyzer/ptrmvReader run: 1 subRun: 0 event: 1
---- ProductNotFound END
```

 Using an art::FindMany(P) object may result in an empty association list for a given reference element (see redmine issue #17898):

```
auto const& tracks = e.getValidHandle<Tracks>(tag_);
art::FindMany<Hits> hitsForTrack{tracks, e, assnsTag_};

for (std::size_t i{}; i < hitsForTrack.size(); ++i) {
   hits = hitsForTrack.at(i);
   assert(!hits.empty()); // May fail when it used to succeed
}</pre>
```



## How do I know if I am affected?

- The following criteria must be met to expose the problem:
  - art 2.08 or newer must be set up
  - The input file must have been produced by a version of art older than 2.08
  - The input file has art::Ptrs or art::Assns in it.
  - In the processing history of the input file,
    - there must be at least one process in which no products were produced and no events were filtered; and
    - that process *must precede* another process in which products *were* produced or events were filtered
- Example of an affected process:
  - Process 1 produces products
  - Process 2 is a concatenation job (no new products produced, no filtering)
  - Process 3 produces more products



## How do I know if I am affected?

A workflow that's affected:

Processing step	art version	Notes
Generation	2.05	
Concatenation	2.05	no products produced
Simulation	2.06	writes sim.root
Reco 1	2.08.03	reads sim.root, writes reco_1.root
Reco 2	2.08.03	reads reco_1.root

• The incorrect translation was done in the Reco 1 step; that means that reco\_1.root is potentially unusable.



## How do I know if I am affected?

A workflow that's **not** affected:

Processing step	<i>art</i> version	Notes	
Generation	2.05		
Simulation	2.06	writes sim.root	
Reco 1	2.08.03	reads sim.root, writes reco_*.root	
Concatenation	2.08.03	reads reco_*.root writes, reco_combined.root	
Reco 2	2.08.03	reads reco_combined.root	

• The translation was done correctly in the Reco 1 step; that means that reco\_combined.root is well-formed.



# **Going forward**

- art 2.08.04 and 2.09.01 have corrected schema evolution rules.
- This was a difficult problem because it relates to backwards compatibility of files produced by art:
  - The files used to test the schema evolution rules for art 2.08 did not include a processing history that would lead to this issue.
- The *art* team is working toward improving its testing procedures to better catch these problems in the future.
- My apologies.

10/24/17

