### mu2e → mu2e-II and wedge emittance exchange

**David Neuffer** 

December 2017





- Introduction
- > Over view of mu2e
- PIP-II and mu2e-II
- > mu2e-II challenges
  - Workshop on Dec. 8
  - Letter of Intent → Proposal
- Final "Cooling" Emittance Exchange
  - wedge absorbers
  - g-2 and mu2e-II





90%-CL bound



#### Lepton flavor violation history

- $\mu N \rightarrow eN < 7*10^{-13}$
- μ → eγ < 5.7\*10<sup>-13</sup>

### $ightarrow \mu N \rightarrow eN$ Goal

- µN → eN < 10<sup>-16</sup>
  - mu2e Fermilab
- $\mu N \rightarrow eN < 10^{-18}$ 
  - Stage 2 mu2e







### mu2e Beam Scenario

Main Injector Ramp

Inject

RR



#### Parameters of $\mu \rightarrow e$

- Proton beam 8GeV (~5ma)
  - 8.10<sup>12</sup>/ 1.33s
    - 2 Booster batches/cycle
  - 6 ·10<sup>19</sup>/ 10<sup>7</sup> s
- 6 years (10<sup>7</sup> s) → 3.6 ·10<sup>20</sup> p

#### Form 4 bunches in Recycler

- extract one by one into Delivery Ring
- Slow extract into mu2e line
- 0.0016 stopped µ/p
  - 5 ·10<sup>17</sup> μ / experiment Muon Campus Beam Lines





Figure 1.1. The Mu2e Detector. The cosmic ray veto that surrounds the Detector Solenoid is not shown.



### mu2e scenario



#### Signal is monoenergetic

- 105 MeV electron
- background is µ decay in orbit
  - π capture, μ, π decay in flight, p-bar, e<sup>-</sup>, cosmic rays ...
  - 0.4 Background Events







Figure 2.7 Momentum distribution of muons delivered to the stopping target as well as the distribution of muons that stop in the target.



#### Reconstructed e Momentum





- > 800 MeV-2ma cw-capable H<sup>-</sup> linac
- On trajectory toward construction completion in 2026
  - CD-0  $\rightarrow$  CD-1 (CD-1 review next week)
  - the major accelerator project for Fermilab

#### Purpose of PIP-II

- upgraded intensity of injector for LBNF/DUNE
  - uses ~8–15 kW to produce > 1MW at 60–120 GeV
- Platform for future high-intensity program
  - upgradeable to ~1.6MW
- Provides up to 100 kW beam for mu2e-II
  - starting in 2026
- with "PIP-III" (8 GeV RCS?) can provide >2MW at 60—120 GeV

#### PIP-II motivation relies on mu2e-II

only identified user of cw linac





### **PIP-II Performance Goals**



Performance Parameter	PIP	PIP-II	
Linac Beam Energy	400	800	MeV
Linac Beam Current	25	2	mA
Linac Beam Pulse Length	0.03	0.54	msec
Linac Pulse Repetition Rate	15	20	Hz
Linac Beam Power to Booster	4	17	kW
Linac Upgrade potential	N/A	CW	
Mu2e Upgrade Potential (800 MeV)	N/A	>100	kW
Booster Protons per Pulse	4.3×10 <sup>12</sup>	6.5×10 <sup>12</sup>	
Booster Pulse Repetition Rate	15	20	Hz
Booster Beam Power @ 8 GeV	80	166	kW
Beam Power to 8 GeV Program (max)	32	83	kW
Main Injector Protons per Pulse	4.9×10 <sup>13</sup>	7.5×10 <sup>13</sup>	
Main Injector Cycle Time @ 60-120 GeV	1.33*	0.7-1.2	sec
LBNF Beam Power @ 60-120 GeV	0.7*	1.0-1.2	MW
LBNF Upgrade Potential @ 60-120 GeV	NA	>2.0-2.4	MW

\*NOvA operations at 120 GeV







- India is planning to provide a cryoplant with sufficient capacity to support CW operations
- PIP-II front end can produce arbitrary bunch structures with:
  - Peak current ≤10 mA
  - Average current ≤2 mA
  - This provides an opportunity for delivering 800-MeV protons directly from the SCL to a second generation Mu2e

### ≻ Mu2e-II

- Use PIP-II (800 MeV) as beam source
- Reuse as much as possible of the baseline Mu2e experiment
  - Follow similar experimental scenario
  - Improve if possible
- Need strong Letter of Intent to support PIP-II
  - LOI will support R&D on second generation changes and improvements







9/12/16

#### Possible beam structure:

- 162.5 MHz chopped beam
- Beam pulse length: ~20 nsec (4 bunches)
- Pulse repetition period: 1.6 µsec
- Beam power: >100 kW
- Three-year run achieves single event sensitivity of 2×10<sup>-18</sup>









### mu2e→mu2e-ll



#### ≻ mu2e →mu2e-ll

- shorter pulses
- timing comparison
  - K. Knoepfel



-

#### How the backgrounds change

		Events		
Category	Source	Current	PX (Al)	
Intrinsic	$\mu$ decay in orbit	0.22	2.14	
	Radiative $\mu$ capture	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Late-arriving	Radiative $\pi$ capture	0.03	0.04	
	Beam electrons	< 0.01	< 0.01	
	$\mu$ decay in flight	0.01	0.01	
	$\pi$ decay in flight	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Miscellaneous	Antiproton	0.10		
	Cosmic ray	0.05	0.16	
	Pat. Recognition Errors	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Total Background		0.41	2.36	

Dominant hackaround by far is DIO for PX (Al)







### New beamline to mu2e experiment



11

### > 800 MeV beam line from linac

- directly to production target
- no recycler, DR, slow extraction, no extinction
- initial beam width shorter
  - 400ns FW  $\rightarrow$  20ns
- 0.3 mm-mrad emittance
- pulse to pulse chopping
  - switchyard kicker to (Booster/mu2e/dump)









#### $\succ$ Linac is H<sup>-</sup>

beam enters ~4T field  $13^{\circ} \rightarrow 0.9$  T along direction H<sub>-</sub> stripping time

$$\tau = \frac{3.07 \cdot 10^{-14}}{3.2PB} \exp\left(\frac{44.14}{3.2PB}\right) s$$

**c**τ = ~8cm

- Beam should be stripped upstream
- orbit bump onto stripper foil could add to extinction (Roberts ....)
- should give much improved extinction









#### > 800 MeV beam line from linac

- initial beam width shorter
  - 200ns FW → 20ns
- 0.3 mm-mrad emittance
  - smaller than 8 GeV beam
- But 800 MeV p will not follow 8
  GeV path
  - would hit HRS shielding after target
    - modify magnet/shielding ?
  - more power deposited in target, shielding
    - new target and shielding needed
  - Will we need new Production solenoid?









- new injection beam line
  - from linac
- Production target
  - 8kW→100kW; 8GeV→0.8
- Production solenoid
  - internal shielding
- Transport solenoid
  - collimation ...
  - >
- Detector solenoid
  - stopping target
- ≻ LOI → Proposal R&D

- mu/p estimates
  - (Matsuzawa 2015)

Kinetic Energy	Stops / POT	
8 GeV	$1.690 \times 10^{-3}$	,
800 MeV	$1.035 \times 10^{-4}$	4

- ➢ 3 yr-100 kW ⇒
  - 1.5 ×10<sup>19</sup> µ after TS
  - 5×10<sup>18</sup> stopped μ



Figure 1.1. The Mu2e Detector. The cosmic ray veto that surrounds the Detector Solenoid is not shown.

#### **Stopped Muons**



- Only small fraction of μ's are stopped
  - P<sub>μ</sub> < ~40 MeV/c (or E<sub>μ</sub> < 7 MeV)</p>
- Can we reduce muon momenta to < ~40MeV/c?</p>
- If only there were a way to sort the muons by momentum and give higher momentum muons more energy loss ...





(Matsuzawa, Roberts, Prebys 2615)



Wedge absorbers and emittance exchange

- Wedge absorbers can exchange transverse and longitudinal emittances
  - With dispersion η, can reduce energy spread
    - decreases  $\varepsilon_L$ , increases  $\varepsilon_x$
  - with zero or opposite sign  $\eta$ , can increase  $\delta E$ 
    - increases ε<sub>L</sub>, decreases ε<sub>x</sub>

#### > Thick wedge exchange formalism:

Dispersion + wedge is product of two matrices (in x - dp/p)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\delta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\delta' & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{M}_{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \eta_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- wedge is  $\delta' = dp/ds 2 \tan[\theta/2]/p$
- > transport through wedge is transport of [x,  $\delta$ ] phase space ellipse,

$$g_0 x^2 + b_0 \delta^2 = \sigma_0 \delta_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_1 x^2 + 2a_1 x \delta + b_1 \delta^2 = \sigma_0 \delta_0$$

#### can get large emittance exchange

D. Neuffer



# Mu2e e

# **Results of wedge transform**



mucool note-003(1996)/FN-1046(2017)

> new  $\delta p/p$  width  $(\epsilon_{L,1} = \epsilon_{L,0} (\delta_1/\delta_0))$ 

$$\delta_1 = \sqrt{g_1 \sigma_0 \delta_0} = \delta_0 \left[ (1 - \eta_0 \delta')^2 + \frac{{\delta'}^2 {\sigma_0}^2}{{\delta_0}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

> new transverse emittance  $(\epsilon_{x,1} = \epsilon_{x,0} (\delta_0 / \delta_1))$ 

$$\varepsilon_{x,1} = \sqrt{g_1 \sigma_0 \delta_0} = \varepsilon_{x,0} \left[ (1 - \eta_0 \delta')^2 + \frac{{\delta'}^2 {\sigma_0}^2}{{\delta_0}^2} \right]^{-1/2}$$

new η, β<sub>x</sub>,

$$\eta_{1} = -\frac{a_{1}}{g_{1}} = \frac{\eta_{0}(1 - \eta_{0}\delta') - \delta'\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{\delta_{0}^{2}}}{(1 - \eta_{0}\delta')^{2} + \delta'^{2}\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{\delta_{0}^{2}}} \qquad \qquad \beta_{1} = \beta_{0} \left[ (1 - \eta_{0}\delta')^{2} + \frac{\delta'^{2}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{\delta_{0}^{2}} \right]^{-1/2}$$

By choosing  $\eta_0$ ,  $\delta'$  (and  $\delta_0$ ,  $\sigma_0$ ) Can decrease  $\varepsilon_x$  and increase  $\varepsilon_L$  (or vice versa)



 $\beta_{1} = m_{11}^{2} \beta_{0} + 2m_{11}m_{12}\alpha_{0} + m_{12}^{2} \gamma_{0}$   $\alpha_{1} = -m_{11}m_{21}\beta_{0} + (m_{11}m_{22} + m_{12}m_{21})\alpha_{0} - m_{12}m_{22}\gamma_{0}$  $\gamma_{1} = m_{21}^{2} \beta_{0} + 2m_{21}m_{22}\alpha_{0} + m_{22}^{2} \gamma_{0}$ 





- > TeV Collider wants small  $\varepsilon_t$ 
  - ε → 25 μm
- "Mice" 6-D Cooling gets
  - ε<sub>t</sub> → 200 μm
- Much further is difficult
  - • • •

Mostly emittance exchange



Can do most of this with wedge absorbers ...











- Wedge parameters
  - Diamond, w=1.75mm, θ = 100°(4.17mm thick at center)



- reduces  $\varepsilon_x$  by factor of 4.3,  $\varepsilon_L$  increases by factor of 7.0
  - first half of wedge more efficient than second half ...

#### Second wedge

- if matched to same optics ( $P_z \rightarrow 100 \text{ MeV/c}, \sigma_E \rightarrow 0.46 \text{ MeV}$ )
  - ε<sub>x</sub>: 23 →27μ; ε<sub>y</sub>:97 → 23 μ









- > mu2e accepts only low-E  $\mu$ 
  - < 40 MeV/c (7.3 MeV)</p>
  - nearly stopped µ
    - non linear dE/dx
- Transport accepts up to >100 MeV/c (40 MeV)
- Goal is not smaller momentum spread but more nearly stopped μ's Production Solenoid









- > Transport solenoid
  - bent solenoid introduces vertical dispersion

position proportional to  $P_{\mu}$ 

$$y(s) = P_{\mu} \frac{s}{0.3B_0\rho_0} + \dots$$

At P-bar window y = ~0.21 P/100

- (s=4.5m, ρ<sub>0</sub>=3m, B<sub>0</sub>=2.5T)
- dispersion is used to collimate muon beam
  - Could also be used to shape momentum spread









- > wedge is designed to place
  - $40 < P_{\mu} < 100 \text{ MeV/c}$
  - at ~40 MeV/c
- > Example: Polyethylene wedge
  - (~C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)
  - thickness ~0 at y< ~8.5cm</p>
  - increases ~parabolically to aperture
    - w(y) = ~ 0.133(y-8.5) + 0.0296(y-8.5)<sup>2</sup> cm
  - at y=20cm , thickness ~6cm
- > want low-Z material



$$y(p_2) = \sim 0.213 (p_2 (MeV/c)/100) m$$

$$range(40, p_2) = \int_{40}^{p_2} \frac{1}{\frac{dp}{ds}(p)} dp$$







- > Choose Be wedge:
  - (one sided rather than symmetric)
  - need only ~5cm thick

matched to  $P_{\mu}$  < 100 MeV/c

- poly wedge only reaches to 88 MeV/c
  - could try poly in initial studies



poly absorber





# **location at ~AP insert ??**





Antiproton wedge



Antiproton window





- Momentum distribution of "nearly stopped" µ's exiting the wedge is the same as that stopped in Al target
  - ~25% lost in wedge









### **Potential Gain**



- baseline mu2e stops only ~3% of muons produced
   – ~ all with P<sub>µ</sub> < ~40MeV/c</li>
- Tailored wedge could place ~50% of produced μ at Pµ < ~ 40 MeV/c</li>
  - How many of these can stop for mu2e (II)?
  - reoptimize downstream
    fields and collimation and
    stopping target ...



Figure 2.7. Momentum distribution of muons delivered to the stopping target as well as the distribution of muons that stop in the target.







- > Ring accepts 3.1 GeV/c  $\mu$ 
  - δp/p = 0.1 %
- Beam source and transport to Ring accepts ±1%
  - Increase beam within 0.1 %
  - with wedge absorber at dispersion (0.5—1.0 m)
  - Polyethelene absorber
    - `dp/ds = 2.56 MeV/c/cm









 $rac{\delta_1}{\delta_0}$ 

# Wedge for g-2 (w. Stratakis et al.)











- > For  $\eta = 0.6m$ ,  $\delta_0 = 0.01$ ,  $P_0 = 3.1 \text{GeV/c}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{x,q}$ = 16 mm-mrad,  $\beta_x$ = 2m :
  - θ<sub>opt</sub>/2 =79°

$$F_{gain} = \left(\frac{(\eta\delta_0)^2 + {\sigma_x}^2}{{\sigma_x}^2}\right) = \left(\frac{(\eta\delta_0)^2 + \varepsilon_x \beta_x}{\varepsilon_x \beta_x}\right)$$

- $\delta_1 / \delta_0 = 0.69 \rightarrow 46\%$  more  $\mu$ 's
- estimate does not include multiple scattering, energy straggling
- $\succ$  exchange is limited by  $\eta \delta / \sigma_x$ 
  - At  $\eta = 1.2m$ ,  $\delta_1 / \delta_0 = 0.43$ 
    - 2.3 x more µ's





# Simulation/optimization results



No Wedge
 Lithium Hydride Wedge

3175

3150

#### > Simulation results w Bradley, Stratakis



Material	Position 2 Offset /mm	Position 2 Half Angle	Position 3 Offset /mm	Position 3 Half Angle	Number in P <sub>0</sub> ±0.1%
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3889
Lithium Hydride (Single 21" Wedge)	N/A	N/A	10.0	81.5	5060 (+30%)
Vanadium (Single 21" Wedge)	N/A	N/A	1.0	57.0	4082 (+5%)
Polyethylene (Single 21" Wedge)	N/A	N/A	9.0	78.5	4911 ( <b>+26</b> %)
Polyethylene (2 x 6" thick Wedges)	6.0	77.0	8.0	73.0	4967 (+28%)



### **MICE experiment (RAL)**

- Wedge absorber designed and built to be put into MICE experiment
  - D. Summers, P. Snopok, T. Mohayai, ..
- Polyethelyne 45°, radius ~20cm
  - to be inserted between focus coils of MICE expt.
  - Scheduled to run December hardware
    17-20











### Simulations (T. Mohayai)



# Longitudinal cooling exchange settings:

Parameters	Values	
Starting sample size	20k	
Cooling channel setting	2017-02-7	
Cooling channel field [T]	3 US, 2 DS	
Wedge angle [°]	45	
Wedge on-axis length [mm]	52	
$\epsilon_{\perp}$ [mm]	6	
$\beta_{\perp}$ [mm]	400	
$\alpha_{\perp}$ [mm]	0	
Dy [mm]	300	
$p_{ref}[MeV/c]$	140	

#### Wedge Simulation – Longitudinal Phase-space

- $\epsilon_{\perp}$ : 6 mm;  $p_{ref}$ : 140 MeV/c; M2D: 195A; transmission: 96%
- Longitudinal coordinates:  $\Delta t$ ,  $\Delta E$
- Measured the KDE-based density and volume of the 24<sup>th</sup> percentile contour (~one sigma of the distribution in 4D)
- Density ↑, volume ↓: unambiguous sign of longitudinal cooling and exchange in the case of full channel simulation



• Density  $\downarrow$ , volume  $\uparrow$ : slight heating in transverse direction in case of full channel









- Beam at 200 MeV/c
  - ε<sub>t</sub> =0.003m, δp/p=1%
  - η<sub>in</sub> = 0; β<sub>t</sub> → 0.75m



- Wedge reduces transverse mode by factor of ~3;
- increases longitudinal by factor of ~3





 effect should be measurable in MICE











#### Thanks for your Attention !

