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## What Am I Talking About?

Background

Naive and Staggered Fermions on an $A_{4}$ lattice

Naive and Staggere Fermions on an $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice

Final Remarks and Sales Pitch

## The isotropic lattices in every dimension

The notation comes from the book by Conway and Sloane.

- $Z^{n}$; The hypercubic lattices. Automorphism group has $2^{n} n$ ! elements ( $=384$ in 4-d).
- $A_{n}$; Also called "simplicial." Group order $=2 \cdot n!(=240$ in 4-d). In 2-d, triangular lattice. FCC in 3-d. Pure gauge models were simulated on an $A_{4}$ lattice.
- $A_{n}^{*}$; The lattice dual to $A_{n}$. In 3-d $A_{3}^{*}$ is the BCC lattice.
- $D_{n}$; Also known as the "checkerboard" lattice. $D_{3}=A_{3}$ is FCC. $D_{4}=F_{4}$ is self-dual. Automorphism group of $D_{4}$ has 1152 elements. $D_{3}, D_{4}$, and $D_{5}$ are the densest possible lattice packings in 3, 4 and 5 dimensions.
- Hyperdiamond lattice is not a Bravais lattice. Union of $2 A_{n}$ lattices.


## Extremely Abridged History

Noticed a long time ago [Celmaster and Krausz, (1983)] that fermions on non-cubic lattices are problematic:

$$
\sum \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \gamma\left(\psi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_{i}}-\psi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_{i}}\right)
$$

Equations for doublers break rotational symmetry. There must be a symmetry connecting doublers to have rotational invariance and a reduction to staggered fermions.
Could add Wilson term. On $D_{4}$ you have rotational symmetry broken only at $O\left(a^{4}\right)$.

In 4-d, staggered fermions have only been satisfactorily formulated on hypercubic lattices.

Drouffe and Moriarty (1983) did simulations of pure SU(2) and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ gauge theories on the $A_{4}$ lattice.

## A Lattice Fermion Popularity Contest

Counting papers on hep-lat since 2017 using lattice fermions:

- 155 Wilson/clover,
- 86 domain wall
- 62 staggered
- 57 overlap
- 0 on non-cubic lattices


## The $A_{4}$ lattice

Coordinate vector of $A_{d}$ lattice:
$\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{d+1}\right)$ where $\sum n_{i}=0 \quad$ Surface in $Z_{d+1}$ lattice.
Nearest neighbor vectors:
$\epsilon_{12}=(1,-1,0,0,0), \epsilon_{13}=(1,0,-1,0,0), \ldots, \epsilon_{45}=(0,0,0,1,-1)$
and negatives of these.
So 20 neighbors in 4-d, compared to 8 for hc.
Take primitive lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mu 5}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}=(1,0,0,0,-1), \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{4}=(0,0,0,1,-1)
$$

Reciprocal lattice vectors, $\mathbf{b}_{\mu}$, defined by $\mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}=2 \pi \delta_{\mu \nu}$ are

$$
\mathbf{b}_{1}=\kappa(4,-1,-1,-1,-1), \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{4}=\kappa(-1,-1,-1,4,-1)
$$

with $\kappa=2 \pi / 5$, generate the lattice $A_{4}^{*}$.

Also need a set of orthonormal vectors on $A_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,-1,0,0,0) / \sqrt{2}, \mathbf{e}_{2}=(1,1,-2,0,0) / \sqrt{6} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{3}=(1,1,1,-3,0) / \sqrt{12}, \mathbf{e}_{4}=(1,1,1,1,-4) / \sqrt{20}
\end{aligned}
$$

The action:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{A}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} i \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{j>i}^{5} \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}\left(\psi_{\mathbf{n}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}}-\psi_{\mathbf{n}-\epsilon_{i j}}\right) \\
\left\{\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right\}=2 \delta_{\mu \nu}
\end{gathered}
$$

The inverse free propagator in momentum space:

$$
D(k) \propto \sum_{j>i}^{5} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \sin \left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}\right)
$$

which leads to the propagator

$$
S(k) \propto \sum_{j>i} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \sin \left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}\right) / \sum_{j>i} \sin ^{2}\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}\right)
$$

## The modes

Poles at $\mathbf{k}=0$ and at

$$
\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{b}_{\mu} / 2
$$

and sums of 2,3 and all 4 of these, 16 in total.
5 modes at $|\mathbf{k}|=\sqrt{\frac{4}{5}} \pi \Leftrightarrow \frac{\pi}{5}(-4,1,1,1,1), \ldots \frac{\pi}{5}(1,1,1,1,-4)$
10 modes at $|\mathbf{k}|=\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \pi \Leftrightarrow \frac{\pi}{5}(3,3,-2,-2,-2), \ldots$

## Symmetries connecting modes

The action is invariant under

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow T(n) \psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} T(n)
$$

where

$$
T(n)=(-1)^{n_{\mu}} \gamma_{\mu}
$$

and products of these.
Since all modes are equivalent need only examine the one at $\mathbf{k} \approx 0$

For $k \approx 0$

$$
D(k) \approx-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{j>i} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j} \equiv i \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \Gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mu}
$$

Solving for $\Gamma_{\mu}$ :

$$
\Gamma_{\mu}=i \sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{\mu}^{i} \gamma_{i} A
$$

where

$$
A=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \gamma^{i}
$$

The $\Gamma_{\mu}$ comprise a set of Euclidean Dirac matrices:

$$
\left\{\Gamma_{\mu}, \Gamma_{\nu}\right\}=2 \delta_{\mu \nu}
$$

Thus the action describes 16 Dirac fermions. We also have

$$
\Gamma_{5}=A=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \gamma^{i}
$$

## Short paws



## Symmetry group of the $A_{4}$ lattice

Permuations of ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}$ ), the "symmetric" group $S_{5}$.
Negation of all the coordinates is also a symmetry.
So $2 \times 5!=240$ elements.
$S_{5}$ is generated by single exchanges: e.g. (21345)
The action is invariant provided

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right) \psi_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}} \\
& \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Representations of some lattice objects

$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}, \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}, U_{i j}=e^{i A_{i j}}$ transform as $10-\mathrm{d}$ rep. of $S_{5}$.
Orthogonality of characters $\rightarrow \mathbf{1 0}=\mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{6}$

$$
i \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \epsilon_{i j}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu}+i \sum_{\nu>\mu}\left(e_{\mu}^{i} e_{\nu}^{j}-e_{\mu}^{j} e_{\nu}^{i}\right) \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu}
$$

showing reduction to vector and antisymmetric tensor.

Likewise:

$$
A_{i j}=\epsilon_{i j}^{\mu} B_{\mu}+\sum_{\nu>\mu}\left(e_{\mu}^{i} e_{\nu}^{j}-e_{\mu}^{j} e_{\nu}^{i}\right) Y_{\mu \nu}
$$

the naive continuum limit:

$$
\int d^{4} x \bar{\psi}\left\{\Gamma_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}-i g B_{\mu}\right)+g \sigma_{\mu \nu} Y_{\mu \nu}\right\} \psi+m \bar{\psi} \psi
$$

$Y_{\mu \nu}$ is short range $\rightarrow$ four-fermion interaction with coupling of order $a^{2} g^{2}$.

The Action for the Link Variables


## Absence of additive mass renormalization

Additive mass renormalization is forbidden, even though there is no exact axial symmetry. The action

$$
S_{A}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} i \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{j>i}^{5} \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} U_{\mathbf{n}, i j} \psi_{\mathbf{n}+\epsilon_{i j}}+\text { h.c. }
$$

is invariant under negation of all the coordinates provided

$$
U_{i j} \rightarrow U_{i j}^{\dagger} ; \quad \psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \psi_{-\mathbf{n}} ; \quad \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow-\bar{\psi}_{-\mathbf{n}}
$$

This implies for the full propagator:

$$
S(-p)=-S(p)
$$

which forbids a mass term.
Mass or Wilson terms are not invariant.

No exact chiral symmetry $\rightarrow$ fermion determinant is not real (except for free fermions).

- In a simulation, the pseudo-fermion action

$$
\phi\left(D^{\dagger} D+m^{2}\right)^{-1} \phi
$$

is real and $\approx \operatorname{det}(D+m)$.

- Or to get to reality you can double the fermions $\psi \rightarrow\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ with a mass term $m \psi \sigma_{3} \psi$.
- Or go to a hyperdiamond lattice $\left(A_{4} \cup A_{4}\right)$ with $\psi_{1}$ on one $A_{4}$ with mass $m$ and $\psi_{2}$ on the other with mass $-m$. The coupling $\rightarrow$ axial-vector interaction mixing 1 and 2 .


## Axial Vector Interaction

Using

$$
\gamma_{i}=-i \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu}^{i} \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{5}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \Gamma_{5}
$$

a rotationally invariant, axial vector interaction is

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{i}^{5}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{i} \psi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{r}_{i}}+\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{r}_{i}} \gamma_{i} \psi_{\mathbf{n}}\right) Z_{i}(\mathbf{n})
$$

the same for all doublers, where

$$
\mathbf{r}_{1}=(4,-1,-1,-1,-1), \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{5}=(-1,-1,-1,-1,4)
$$

generate an $A_{4}^{*}$ sublattice. So axial currents live on a dual sublattice.
Naive continuum limit $\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{5} \psi A_{\mu}^{5}+\bar{\psi} \Gamma_{5} \psi \phi$

## Reduction to Staggered Fermions

Naive action is diagonalized by:

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \gamma_{1}^{n_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{n_{2}} \gamma_{3}^{n_{3}} \gamma_{4}^{n_{4}} \gamma_{5}^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}\right)} \psi_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

leading to the staggered fermion action

$$
S_{s t}=\sum \bar{\chi}_{\mathbf{n}} \eta_{i}(n) \eta_{j}(n)\left(\chi_{\mathbf{n}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}}-\chi_{\mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i j}}\right)+m \bar{\chi}_{\mathbf{n}} \chi_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

where $\chi_{n}$ is a single anticommuting variable and the phases are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1}=1, \eta_{2}=(-1)^{n_{1}}, \eta_{3}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}}, \eta_{4}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}} \\
& \quad \eta_{5}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Can make blocks of 16 points as on hypercubic lattice.
Degrees of freedom in a block couple to degrees of freedom in 20 neighboring blocks.

All the symmetries of the naive fermions carry through to the staggered case. There is no additive mass renormalization.

## Staggered Blocks on Triangular Lattice



## Fermions on an $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice

The action:

$$
S=\frac{5}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{j}^{5} \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{i}\left(\psi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{f}_{j}}-\psi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{f}_{j}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{f}_{1}=\kappa(4,-1,-1,-1,-1), \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{5}=\kappa(-1,-1,-1,-1,4)
$$

with $\kappa=1 / \sqrt{20}$.
Take the first 4 to be primitive vectors. The doubling symmetry is then

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow(-1)^{n_{\mu}} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

The propagator

$$
S(k) \propto \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \sin \left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}\right) / \sum_{i} \sin ^{2}\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}\right)
$$

has a mode at $\mathbf{k}=0$, and 10 modes at

$$
\alpha(1,-1,0,0,0), \ldots, \alpha(0,0,0,1,-1) ; \quad \alpha=2 \pi / \sqrt{5}
$$

and 5 modes at

$$
\alpha(0,1,1,-1,-1), \ldots, \alpha(1,1,-1,-1,0)
$$

For $\mathbf{k} \approx 0$ the inverse propagator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i} \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \Gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \\
\Rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathbf{f}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \gamma_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

which obey

$$
\left\{\Gamma_{\mu}, \Gamma_{\nu}\right\}=2 \delta_{\mu \nu}
$$

and as for $A_{4}$

$$
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \gamma^{i}
$$

The naive continuum limit is

$$
\int d^{4} x \bar{\psi}\left\{\Gamma_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}-i g B_{\mu}\right)+g \Gamma_{5} \phi\right\} \psi+m \bar{\psi} \psi
$$

Absence of additive mass renormalization works the same.
The staggered action is

$$
S_{s t}=\sum \bar{\chi}_{\mathbf{n}} \eta_{i}(n)\left(\chi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{f}_{i}}-\chi_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{f}_{i}}\right)+m \bar{\chi}_{\mathbf{n}} \chi_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1}=1, \quad \eta_{2}=(-1)^{n_{1}}, \quad \eta_{3}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}}, \quad \eta_{4}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}, \\
& \quad \eta_{5}=(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Axial Interactions on the $A_{4}^{*}$ lattice

An axial interaction with the same charge for all the doublers is

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{j>i}^{5}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \psi_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{f}_{i}-\mathbf{f}_{j}}+\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{f}_{i}-\mathbf{f}_{j}} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \psi_{\mathbf{n}}\right) A_{i j}
$$

The vectors $\mathbf{f}_{i}-\mathbf{f}_{j}$ generate an $A_{4}$ sublattice.
So, again, axial interactions live on a dual sublattice.

## The Last Slide

Fermions on $A_{4}$ and $A_{4}^{*}$ lattices are interesting (at least to one person), and might be useful in simulations. Drouffe and Moriarty claimed that (quenched) simulations on $A_{4}$ are faster than on hypercubic.

Mean field calculations, including $1 / d$ corrections, are better. The corrections are smaller because you're really expanding in $1 /($ kissing number).

The duality between vector and axial vector currents paralleling the duality between $A_{4}$ and $A_{4}^{*}$ lattices is interesting.

Would be interesting to find a fermion formulation on $D_{n}$ ( $D_{4}=F_{4}$ ) lattices, as they have more rotational symmetry (broken at $O\left(a^{4}\right)$ ). At least someone could try Wilson fermions.


Odd numbers of exchanges, e.g. (23145) or (21435) are rotations. Subgroup of $S_{5}$ called $A_{5}$, the alternating group.

In even dimensions, negation of all the coordinates has det $=1$, a 180 deg rotation.
$S_{5}$ has representations of dimensions $1,1,4,4,5,5$ and 6 .

## Chiral Symmetry

Recall

$$
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \gamma^{i}
$$

Can't do:

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow e^{i \phi \Gamma_{5}} \psi_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

No doubling symmetry.
Chiral transformation same for all modes:

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \psi_{\mathbf{n}}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} \phi \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} \sum_{\sigma_{j}} \psi_{\mathbf{n}+\sigma_{j}}
$$

e.g.

$$
\sigma_{1}=(0,1,1,-1,-1),(0,1,-1,1,-1), \ldots(0,-1,-1,1,1)
$$

The Anomaly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta S \Rightarrow i \varphi \underbrace{\psi_{n}}_{F+\Delta y} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j} \\
&\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{j}\right. \\
&\left.\psi_{n+\epsilon_{i j}+\sigma_{j}} \gamma_{i} \psi_{n+\epsilon_{j}+\sigma_{j}}-\ldots\right\rangle=c \tilde{F} \\
& \Rightarrow \delta S=c \varphi F \tilde{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hexagonal Lattice



## Short Sales Pitch

More nearest-neighbors $\Rightarrow$

- longer correlation length for given bare coupling constant.
- Faster thermalization times $\Rightarrow$ Shorter auto-correlation times? At least in a disordered phase.
- More rotational symmetry.

The Bad: more nearest-neighbors $\Rightarrow$

- More computation per simulation step.
- More link degrees of freedom per site.

