Porting DDalphaAMG solver to K computerPorting DDalphaAMG solver to K computer

Issaku Kanamori and Ken-Ichi Ishikawa (Hiroshima Univ.)

Background: Algebraic Multigrid Solver

Linear Solver for the Dirac eq.: a bottleneck of the Lattice QCD Critical slowing down: as the quark becomes lighter, and the lattice becomes finer, it takes more and more time to solve multigird solver: very mild slowing down

application to QCD: R. Babich, J. Brannick, R.C.Brower et al. PRL 105 (2010) 201602 idea: solving the equation in low mode space (=coarser lattice)

made of:

efficient for low mode coarse lattice part (coarse grid solver) fine lattice part (smoother + outer solver) efficient for high mode

building a good coarse grid operator is important: adaptive method 1. initial random vectors $|i\rangle$ ($n \sim 20$)

- 2. initial coarce op. with $|i\rangle$
- $3.D^{-1}|i\rangle$: low mode rich, gives improved $|i\rangle$

K computer and details of the tuning

available since 2012 --- not new any more 3rd in the latest HPCG (1st till last November) SPARC64 VIIIfx processors, Tofu interconnect 128 GFlops/node



http://www.r-ccs.riken.ip/ip/k/about.htm

256 registers/core (128 bit), no single prec. arithmetics [1 register = 1 complex number, rich intrinsics for complex arithmetics]

hardware counter: easy to check the efficiency from the portal

Tuning: intrinsics + lots of register variables matrix mult on vectors (clover term, coarse grid op.), hop of Dirac op.

clover term: upper/lower half of the clover (6 real + 15 cmpl.)

+ input (6 cmpl.) + output (6 cmpl) and working variables on the register coarse grid operator: 4x4 blocking 4= chirality (2) x 2 from test vecor (# test vector must be even)

4. improve the coarse op. with the improved $|i\rangle$ 5. go back to 3.

pos: fast even with physical quark mass coarse grid solver can have a coarser gird : multigrid con: complicated, some parameters to tune

DDalphaAMG

DD-6AMC https://github.com/DDalphaAMG

A. Frommer, K. Kahl, S. Krieg, B. Leder and M. Rottmann, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 36 (2014) A1581

adaptive aggregation based domain decomposed algebraic multigrid method smoother: multiplicative Schwartz Alternative Procedure coarse grid solver: even odd preconditioned GMRES outer solver: FGMRES

test vectors (low mode rich) $|\lambda_i\rangle (i=1,...,n;n\sim 20)$

domain decomposition each domain (X) contains $\sim 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4$ lattice sites

basis for projection in domain X

$$|\lambda_i(X, s = +/-)\rangle \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} |\lambda_i\rangle & (x \in X) \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

Benchmark results

even with poor efficiency, throughput is better than the well tuned traditional solver (light quark) For strange quark, the traditional one is faster on K



Configuration: $n_f=2+1$ clover, 96⁴ lattice, $m_{pi} = 146$ MeV, 1/a=2.33 GeV [PACS]

2048 nodes, 2 level method

baseline: well tuned solver for K [efficiency: 22%]* mixed precision nested BiCGstab, where the single precision solver uses

make a coarse operator

 $D \Rightarrow D_{\text{coarse}}(s, i, X; t, j, Y) = \langle \lambda_i(s, X) | D | \lambda_j(t, Y) \rangle$

projection of the source to the coarse grid

 $|b\rangle \Rightarrow b_{\text{coarse}}(i, s, X) = \langle \lambda_i(s, X) | b \rangle$

prolongation of the solution to the fine grid





Domain decomposition (block size=12x12x12x12, NSAP=5). The solver inside the domain uses SSOR method with sub-blocking. cf. K.-I.Ishikawa et al [PACS collaboration]., PoS LATTICE2015(2016) 075

AMG(before tuning) [efficiency 3.0%]*

AMG: tuned [efficency 5.3%]* THIS WORK

cf. https://github.com/i-kanamori/DDalphaAMG/tree/K/ block size=4x4x4x4, NSAP=4, # testvector=16 local volume: $12 \times 12 \times 12 \times 24 \Rightarrow 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 6$ site d.o.f : $12 \Rightarrow 32$

*by hardware counter (contains contractions for meson spectroscopy + I/O); theoretical value is 10%-20% lower

OMP threading: 8 threads/node, different stragegy inside a domain (12x12x12x12 sites) vs. domains (3x3x3x3 domains) baseline DDalphaAMG

Convergence history vs. FLOP/site: DDalphaAMG is 8x better for 1 propagator





register _fjsp_v2r8 clov0, clov1, clov2; register _fjsp_v2r8 clov01, clov02, clov03, clov04, clov05, clov12, clov13, clov14, clov15, clov23, clov24, clov25, clov34, clov35, clov45; register _fjsp_v2r8 diag0,diag1,diag2,diag3,diag4,diag5;

// load input spinor register ssu3ferm2* in_ptr=(ssu3ferm2*)phi; load_ferm2(in, in_ptr);

// load clover term register ssu3clov2* clov_ptr=(ssu3clov2*)clover; load_clov2(clov, clov_ptr);

// extract diagnaols (=they are real) diag0 =_fjsp_unpacklo_v2r8(clov0,clov0); diag1 = fjsp_unpackhi_v2r8(clov0, clov0); diag2 =_fjsp_unpacklo_v2r8(clov1, clov1); ...

// 1st line rmult(out0,diag0,in0); accum_cmult(out0, clov01, in1); accum_cmult(out0, clov02, in2); accum_cmult(out0, clov03, in3); accum_cmult(out0, clov04, in4); accum_cmult(out0, clov05, in5);

// 2nd line

. . .

#define load_ferm2(d, s){ d ## 0 = _fjsp_stod_v2r8(s->y[0]); d ## 1 = _fjsp_stod_v2r8(s->y[1]); d ## 5 = _fjsp_stod_v2r8(s->y[5]);

#define store_ferm2(s, d){ s->y[0] = _fjsp_dtos_v2r4(d ## 0); \ s->y[1] = _fjsp_dtos_v2r4(d ## 1); \ s->y[5] = _fjsp_dtos_v2r4(d ## 5); \

// c=a*b as real #define rmult(c,a,b){ c= fjsp mul v2r8(a,b);

complex mult add with // c+=a*b only 2 intrinsics #define accum cmult(c,a,b){ c=_fjsp_madd_cp_v2r8(a,b,c); c=_fjsp_nmsub_cp_neg_sr12_v2r8(a,b,c);

42 MFLOP/site

(504 MFLOP/site for 12 solves)

setup 4.3 MFLOP/site solve 6.7 MFLOP/site (58.3 MFLOP/site for 1 setup + 12 solve)

Acknowledgements to... Priority Issue 9 to be Tackled by Using Post K Computer, JICFuS ◇ 計算基礎科学連携拠点 K computer (hp170229,hp180178) oint Institute for JICFuS Computational Fundamental Science