



East Lansing, MI, USA

July 27. 2018

## Where does the proton spin come from?

1980s

#### Only 30% of the proton spin comes from the quark spin, based on the experiments



now







East Lansing, MI, USA

glue spin

Quark spin/helicity (**u**,**d**,**s**...): the **integration** of the quark polarized parton distribution function (PDF)

$$\Delta q \; = \; \int_0^1 dx \Delta q(x)$$

Glue helicity (**g**): that of the gluon polarized PDF  $\Delta G = \int_0^1 dx \Delta g(x)$ 

### Proton spin Quark model prediction vs. experiment

- The quark model (agrees with the lattice simulation at heavy quark limit):  $\Delta u \rightarrow 4/3$ ,  $\Delta d \rightarrow -1/3$ ,  $\Delta s \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta g \rightarrow 0$ ;
- The polarized neutron decay:  $\Delta u$ - $\Delta d \approx 1.2723(23);$

PDG, CPC40, 100001 (2016)

• The phenomenology fit of quark distribution based on Exp.:  $\Delta u \sim 0.8$ ,  $\Delta d \sim -0.4$ ,  $\Delta s \sim -0.1$ ,  $\Delta g \sim 0.4$ (?); EPJA52, 268 (2016), 1212.1701

D. Florian, PRL 113, 012001 (2014), 1404.4293

• The experiments are quite different from the naive theoretical understanding!

### Proton spin



# Glue spin

#### Large momentum effective theory (LaMET)

$$O_{\Delta_G} = \left[\vec{E}^a(0) \times (\vec{A}^a(0) - \frac{1}{\nabla^+}(\vec{\nabla}A^{+,b})\mathcal{L}^{ba}(\xi^-,0))\right]^z = \vec{E}_{LC} \times \vec{A}_{LC}, \ A_{LC}^+ = 0$$

#### When nucleon is boosted:

- The Coulomb and Temporal gauge conditions become the light-cone one.
- Glue spin below becomes glue helicity, the integration of the glue polarized PDF, at tree level.

$$O_{S^c_G}=ec{E^c} imesec{A^c},\,\,\partial_iA^c_i=0$$

or  $O_{S^t_G}=ec{E}^t imesec{A}^t,\ A^t_0=0$ 

Temporal gauge

X. Ji, J. Zhang, and Y. Zhao, PRL111 112002 (2013), 1304.6708





YBY, R. Sufian, et al., χQCD collaboration, PRL118, 042001(2017), 1609.05937 ViewPoint and Editor's suggestion

#### Results



the glue spin at the large momentum limit for the renormalized value at  $\mu^2=10$ GeV<sup>2</sup> will be

S<sub>G</sub>=0.251(47)(16).

Neglect the matching and apply an empirical form to fit the data,

 $\int_{0.001}^{0.05} \mathrm{d}x \Delta g(x) + \int_{0.05}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \Delta g(x) \simeq S_g$ 



### One of eight



YBY, R. Sufian, et. al., χQCD collaboration, PRL118, 042001(2017), 1609.05937 ViewPoint and Editor's suggestion

#### APS Highlights of 2017

https://physics.aps.org/articles/v10/137

#### **Gluons Provide Half of the Proton's Spin**

The gluons that bind quarks together in nucleons provide a considerable chunk of the proton's total spin. That was the conclusion reached by Yi-Bo Yang from the University of Kentucky, Lexington, and colleagues (see Viewpoint: **Spinning Gluons in the Proton**). By running state-of-the-art computer simulations of quark-gluon dynamics on a so-called spacetime lattice, the researchers found that 50% of the proton's spin comes from its gluons. The result is in agreement with recent experiments and shows how such lattice simulations can now accurately predict an increasing number of particle properties. The simulations also indicate that, despite being substantial, the gluon spin contribution is too small to play a major part in "screening" the quark spin contribution—which according to experiments is only 30%—through a quantum effect called the axial anomaly. The remaining 20% of the proton spin is thought to come from the orbital angular momentum of quarks and gluons.

### Quark spin

#### Normalization

0

1

Z<sub>A</sub>, t<sub>seq</sub>= 8

ф

3

IΦ

2

The normalization is 1.125 the ratio between the **local** current and conserved/ chiral current;



#### With chiral fermion, all the cases provide the same normalization $Z_V=Z_A$ .

Can also be obtained with the (anomalous) Ward Identity.



### Quark spin

#### Renormalization

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta u^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \\ \Delta d^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \\ \Delta s^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_A + Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \\ Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A + Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \\ Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) & Z_A + Z_A^{\mathrm{D},\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta d \\ \Delta d \\ \Delta s \end{pmatrix}$$



J. Liang, YBY, et al., **χ**QCD collaboration, 1806.08366

- An accurate renormalization of the singlet axial vector current requires the **RI/MOM** calculation of the **quark loop** and also **the 2-loop matching**.
- The only complete renormalization calculation with 2-loop finite piece so far.

### Quark spin

#### Rating system

	$\star$	Ο
Discretization	<i>O</i> (a²) action with three <b>a</b> , two <b>a</b> <0.1fm, <b>(a<sub>max</sub>/a<sub>min</sub>)²</b> ≥2	<i>O</i> (a²) action with two <b>a</b> , one <b>a</b> <0.1fm, <b>(a<sub>max</sub>/a<sub>min</sub>)²</b> ≥1.4, or <i>O</i> (a) action
pion mass	One physical $m_{\pi}$ or one $m_{\pi}$ <200 MeV and two $m_{\pi}$ <250 MeV	Three $\mathbf{m}_{\pi}$ with two of them <300 MeV
Finite volume	One ensemble with <b>m<sub>π,min</sub> L</b> ≥4, or three ensembles with <b>L</b> ≥2.5 fm	One ensemble with <b>m<sub>π,min</sub> L</b> ≥3.4, or two ensembles with L≥2.5 fm
Excited states	<b>Three</b> source-sink separations or variation method with <b>3x3</b> matrix	<b>Two</b> source-sink separations or variation method with <b>2x2</b> matrix
Renormalization	Non-perturbative renormalization	Perturbative renormalization

for uncertainties that have not met the criteria.

### Quark spin Summary of the present results



ETMC 18: in preparation



#### a story behind $\Delta c$

$$\begin{split} \left\langle ps \left| \vec{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{s} \right| ps \right\rangle &= \lim_{p' - p \to 0} \frac{i |\vec{s}|}{(\vec{p'} - \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{s}} \langle p', s | 2m_f \mathcal{P} - 2i \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} F \tilde{F} | p, s \rangle \\ &= 2m_f \langle p, s | \int d^3x \ \vec{x} \cdot \vec{s} \ \mathcal{P}(x) | p, s \rangle - 2i \langle p, s | \int d^3x \ \vec{x} \cdot \vec{s} \ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} F(x) \tilde{F}(x) | p, s \rangle \end{split}$$





East Lansing, MI, USA

### Proton spin Connections between decompositions

X. Ji, PRL78, 610 (1997)



### Proton spin

M. Engelhardt, et. al., in preparation Jul. 25, 3:40PM, 106

#### Direct calculation on OAM

The Ji quark orbital angular momentum,  $\int d^3x \psi^{\dagger} \{\vec{x} \times (i\vec{D})\} \psi$ 

can be calculated with the quark bilinear operator with wilson links:





Similarly, Jaffe-Manohar quark OAM,

 $\int d^3x \psi^\dagger \left\{ ec{x} imes (i ec{
abla}) 
ight\} \psi$ 

can be calculated and the ratio over Ji OAM seems to deviate from one.

### Proton spin

#### Lattice result of Ji AM







EMTC 17: PRL119(2017), 1706.02973









All of them are based on the perturbative renormalization;

Non-perturbative renormalization should be applied on them to get the state-of-the-art result.



YBY, et. al., xQCD collaboration, 1805.00531



Z-1







- The lattice regularization effects are **fully cancelled** within the statistical uncertainties;
- The non-perturbative renormalized quark/glue AM results will come out soon.

YBY, et. al., xQCD collaboration, 1805.00531







- We are very close to a state-of-the-art theoretical picture of the proton spin.
- Increasing computation resources and technique breakthroughs open the gates on the direct calculation of the glue spin and OAM.
- Fully non-perturbative renormalization becomes possible now.

At the right time, which will be soon, I myself will explain—and it will be an explanation that you'll think is reasonable—about everything that's occurred. Until then, be cheerful and think of each thing well.

Shakespeare: The Tempest: Act 5, Scene 1, 299-303



#### a story behind $\Delta c$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle ps \left| \vec{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{s} \right| ps \right\rangle &= \lim_{p' - p \to 0} \frac{i|\vec{s}|}{(\vec{p'} - \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{s}} \left\langle p', s| 2m_f \mathcal{P} - 2i \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} F \tilde{F} \left| p, s \right\rangle \\ &= 2m_f \left\langle p, s \right| \int d^3x \ \vec{x} \cdot \vec{s} \ \mathcal{P}(x) |p, s\rangle - 2i \left\langle p, s \right| \int d^3x \ \vec{x} \cdot \vec{s} \ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} F(x) \tilde{F}(x) |p, s\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- The quark spin can be further decomposed into the contributions from 2mP and triangle anomaly;
- Require the calculation with p≠p', and then extrapolate the result to the forward limit — likes the other GPD moments calculation;
- If the triangle anomaly contribution is small, then such a decomposition is trivial.

M. Gong, **YBY**, et. al., **χ**QCD collaboration, PRD95, 114509 (2017), 1511.03671

**YBY**, et. al.,  $\chi$ QCD collaboration, in preparation

### Angular momenta

#### as the second moment of GPDs



# Lattice regularization dependence of $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_g^{\text{bare}}$

The bare glue momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_g^{bare}$  can be obtained by,

$$\bar{R}(t_f) \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 < t < t_f \\ = \langle x \rangle_g^{\text{bare}}}} R(t_f, t) - \sum_{\substack{0 < t < t_f - 1 \\ = \langle x \rangle_g^{\text{bare}}}} R(t_f - 1, t)$$



$$R(t_f, t) = \frac{4\langle 0|\Gamma^e \int d^3y \,\chi(\vec{y}, t_f)\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{g,44}(t)\bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0)|0\rangle}{3M_N\langle 0|\Gamma^e \int d^3y \,\chi(\vec{y}, t_f)\bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0)|0\rangle},$$

- HYP smearing can be used to improve the signal to noise ratio of <x><sup>bare</sup>, as in the quark case.
- But the central values will also be changed dramatically after the smearing is applied.
- The non-perturbative renormalization is imperative in the state-of-the-art glue matrix element calculation.