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## Motivation: $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$

- Direct comparison of low energy QCD - experiment vs. lattice
- $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ - an important decay to understand CP violation
- Lattice calculation difficult, Gparity calculation already done, but needs a check
- See talks tomorrow for more information (Weak decay session, T. Wang, C. Kelly)


## G-parity vs. Periodic Boundary Conditions

We compute using spatially periodic boundary conditions (antiperiodic in time)

- G-parity - charge conjugation +180 degree isospin rotation
- G-parity eliminates (kinematically) unphysical stationary $\pi \pi$ state
- G-parity and Periodic boundary conditions have different finite volume errors, useful check
- Periodic lattices ready for use, evolution cost amortized
- Good exercise for $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ (same physics is present, strong phase common to both)
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## Lüscher Method

Lüscher gives a quantization condition which maps lattice spectra onto infinite volume scattering phase shifts.
Lüscher's formula[1]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(p) & =-\phi(k)+\pi n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\tan \phi(k) & =\frac{\pi^{3 / 2} \kappa}{Z_{00}\left(1 ; \kappa^{2}\right)} \\
\kappa & =\frac{p L}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Extracting Spectra from Correlation Functions

We can define a generalized eigenvalue problem (GEVP) from an $N_{x} N$ matrix of correlators we compute on the lattice

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i j} \equiv\langle 0| \hat{O}_{i}(t) \hat{O}(0)_{j}^{\dagger}|0\rangle & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{-E_{n} t}+e^{-E_{n}\left(L_{t}-\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)}\right) \psi_{n i} \psi_{n j}^{*} \\
\psi_{n i}^{*} & =\langle 0| \hat{O}_{i}|n\rangle \\
\Rightarrow C(t) v_{n}\left(t, t_{0}\right) & =\lambda_{n}\left(t, t_{0}\right) C\left(t_{0}\right) v_{n}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \\
\lambda_{n}\left(t, t_{0}\right) & =e^{-E_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+e^{-E_{n}\left(L_{t}-\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Important points:

- Systematic error in nth energy state: $\epsilon_{n} \sim e^{-\left(E_{N+1}-E_{n}\right) t}$ if $t_{0} \geq t / 2[2]$


## Extracting Spectra (cont'd)

- Operator basis is composed of single particle operators $\bar{q} q, \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$ and two particle operator $\bar{q} \gamma_{5} q$, with various momentum combinations and non-zero $\vec{p}_{C M}$ up to $\pm(1,1,1)$.
- Operators are projected onto $A_{1}$ irrep and definite isospin $(0,1,2)$.
- $t_{0}$ is arbitrary, we fix it to be either $\left\lceil\frac{t}{2}\right\rceil$ or $t-1$ (if later times aren't very noisy)
- GEVP also exists for matrix elements [3]. We plan to use this for $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$.
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## Lattice Details

- $V=24^{3}$ ID lattice, 1.015 GeV lattice spacing
- $L_{t}=64$
- $2+1$ flavor Mobius Domain Wall Fermions (generated by RBC/UKQCD)
- ~ 5 fm box
- Physical quark mass, unquenched (no chiral extrapolation needed)


## Timing Summary

For our $24^{3}$ run, we compute on 32 KNL nodes ( 64 cores, 192 GB memory) for 20 hours (current wall time). Timing breakdown (of expensive steps)

- Lanczos - low mode eigenvectors (amortized, not in an individual config run) - 6 hours
- 400 iterations of Zmobius Split CG $(1 s=12) \quad 1.3$ hours (split-MADWF $=6$ hours)
- $\pi$ meson field computation (all-to-all propagators[4]) 1 hour
- $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ contractions 10 hours
- $\sigma$ meson field 1 hour
- $\rho$ meson field 3 hours (3 polarizations, projected)
- $\sigma$ (scalar) contractions 1 hour
- $\rho$ (vector) contractions 2 hour

Our time is dominated not by inversions, but contractions. We are still improving this number.
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## Momentum Combinations

Compute pions with $p_{\text {lat }, \max }= \pm(1,1,1)$ (also permutations) in units of $\frac{2 \pi}{L}$.

- 27 possible individual pion momenta.
- Cube this if you want to get a rough estimate of the combinations allowed
- Using momenta for irreps we care about (e.g. $A_{1}$ ) and crossing symmetry, we get 13890 separate $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ correlators.
- $\bar{q} q, \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$ correlators are not included in this number.
- Initial run did not include enough of the correlators (recent bug found in crossing symmetry code) $\rightarrow$ spin contamination, but this is now fixed.


## Auxiliary Diagrams

We have large number of momentum possibilities we could compute, but are all of them necessary? It turns out we can reduce this number by exactly a factor of 2 via a labeling symmetry between source and sink. If we swap these, we will get the exact same correlation function (with time valued quantities taken modulo the box size in time) up to an overall phase. Stated again, we have

## Rule of Thumb:

Two lattice correlation functions are bit-by-bit identical (up to time reordering, phase) if they are related via a swap of source and sink labels.

We refer to the diagram derived (at the analysis stage) via this auxiliary symmetry as the auxiliary diagram ${ }^{1}$. In practice, our data set is not fully symmetric under auxiliary symmetry, so our (projected) gain is only $3 / 2$.

[^0]
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## Caveat Emptor

- We must still calculate the correction from Zmobius $\rightarrow$ Mobius (these corrections are expected to be small).
- We go beyond $4 \pi$ threshold, but experimental amplitude is small, so we are probably safe to neglect until higher energies (Lüscher formula does not exist for inelastic processes)
- Unmeasured systematic error due to having only one lattice spacing/size.

Now, results:

## $I=2, \vec{p}_{C M}=0$

$4 \times 4$ GEVP, I2, $\pi \pi, \vec{p}_{C M}=000$ (zmobius) matdt3 37 configs


## $I=2, \quad \vec{p}_{C M}=001$

$3 \times 3$ GEVP, $\mathrm{I} 2, \pi \pi, \vec{p}_{C M}=100$ (zmobius) matdt3 37 configs


## $I=2, \vec{p}_{C M}=011$

$4 \times 4$ GEVP, $\mathrm{I} 2, \pi \pi, \vec{p}_{C M}=011$ (zmobius) matdt3 37 configs


## $I=2, \vec{p}_{C M}=111$



## $I=2$ phase shifts



## $I=0,3 \times 3$, Spin Contaminated

Ignore the tiny error bar green points past $t=8$ and yellow past $t=12$
$3 \times 3$ GEVP, $\pi \pi, \sigma$, momtotal000 exact matdt2 cumulative
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## Summary and Outlook

We have run on 170 gauge configurations and generated promising partial results. This is the first calculation of pipi scattering spectra from the lattice at physical pion mass.

- We have also run on $30+$ configs of new, complete data and generated improved preliminary results, ( $I=1$ soon).
- $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ periodic code ready to be tested statistically
- We will run next (fiscal) year on $32^{3}$ lattice $(1,1.4 \mathrm{GeV}$ lattice spacing) to test continuum limit
- Distillation study proposed as well
- Much of the analysis code and production code for these present and future runs is finished (but under-tested).
- $O(200)+$ configs likely needed to resolve $I=0$, more a2a noise samples may be needed for $\sigma$.
Pipi data is available on request in standard binary format hdf5. My analysis code is also publicly available on github:
github.com/goracle/lattice-fitter
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## All-to-All Propagators

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
D_{A 2 A}^{-1} & \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{N_{l}-1}\left|\phi_{l}\right\rangle \frac{1}{\lambda_{l}}\left\langle\phi_{l}\right|+\sum_{h=0}^{N_{h}-1} \underbrace{\left(D^{-1}-\sum_{l=0}^{N_{l}-1}\left|\phi_{l}\right\rangle \frac{1}{\lambda_{l}}\left\langle\phi_{l}\right|\right)}_{D_{\text {Defl }}^{-1}})
\end{array} \eta_{h}\right\rangle\left\langle\eta_{h}\right|
$$

- Deflate with 2000 low modes $\left|\phi_{l}\right\rangle$, Zmobius eigenvectors
- In practice, set $N_{h}=1$ (more hits improve excited state noise. Gauge noise dominates lower energy states.)
- $12 * L_{t} * N_{h}$ high modes (spin, color, time diluted) $\rightarrow 768$ high modes.
- We obtain the exact point-to-point propagator in this stochastic limit.[4]


## Operator Construction: Isospin Projection

On our lattices $(2+1)$, isospin is a good symmetry. We want to know the spectra of the different isospin channels $I=0,1,2$ Examples below. Note the disconnected diagram in $I=0$ which is very noisy, but important (needs large statistics).
$\langle I=0 \mid I=0\rangle$ :

$\langle I=2 \mid I=2\rangle:$


## Isospin (cont'd)

$$
\langle I=1 \mid I=1\rangle:
$$



## Operator Construction:Spin Irrep Projection

- We would like to project our operator set onto the lowest spin in each isospin channel ( $K$ is spin 0 ).
- Continuum spin states have known correspondence to irreps of the group of allowed lattice rotations $O$ (we can project continuum representations to lattice irreps)
- Each irrep in general corresponds to a tower of spin states
- We project onto irreps with the lowest spins $\rightarrow$ easier to resolve
- Bose symmetry $\Rightarrow I=1$ needs p-wave irrep: $T_{1}$
- $I=0,2$ needs s-wave irrep: $A_{1}$


## Motivation: $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$

- Likely explanation for matter/antimatter asymmetry in Universe, baryogenesis, requires violation of CP.
- Amount of CPV in Standard Model appears too low to describe measured $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{AM}$ asymmetry: tantalizing hint of new physics.
- Direct CPV first observed in late 90s at CERN (NA31/NA48) and Fermilab ( KTeV ) in $K^{0} \rightarrow \pi \pi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{00} & =\frac{A\left(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)}{A\left(K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)} \eta_{ \pm}=\frac{A\left(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{A\left(K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)} \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{6}\left(1-\left|\frac{\eta_{00}}{\eta_{ \pm}}\right|^{2}\right)=1.66(23) \times 10^{-3}(\text { Experiment })
\end{aligned}
$$

## $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ (cont'd.)

In terms of isospin states,
$\Delta I=3 / 2$ decays to $I=2$ final states, amplitude $A_{2}$
$\Delta I=1 / 2$ decays to $I=0$ final states, amplitude $A_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(K^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} A_{0} e^{i \delta_{0}}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} A_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}} \\
A\left(K^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right) & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} A_{0} e^{i \delta_{0}}-2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} A_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}} \\
\Rightarrow \epsilon^{\prime} & =\frac{i \omega e^{i\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{0}\right)}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} A_{2}}{\operatorname{Re} A_{2}}-\frac{\operatorname{Im} A_{0}}{\operatorname{Re} A_{0}}\right) \omega=\frac{\operatorname{Re} A_{2}}{\operatorname{Re} A_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Small size of $\epsilon^{\prime}$ makes it particularly sensitive to new direct-CPV introduced by most BSM models.

## Infinite Volume Scattering Phase Shifts

We can then compare to experiment via phenomenological data on phase shift vs. energy.


Figure: Phenomenology* v. Luscher, predictions for $I=0$ on $24 \mathrm{c}, a^{-1}=1.015 \mathrm{GeV}$. The ultimate goal is to obtain enough phase shift points to fit to a Breit-Wigner form and extract the mass and resonance width.
(*=phenomology data is outdated, useful for illustrative purposes only)
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