Putting it all together

Greg Rakness

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

EDIT symposium Fermilab 16 March 2018

http://conferences.fnal.gov/edit/

Focus of this talk

- Given limited time, I will "put it all together" for the experiments I have been working on most recently
 - Compact Muon Solenoid in Large Hadron Collider at CERN
 - Currently in operation
 - Mu2e at Muon Campus at FNAL
 - Currently under construction
- Apologies if your favorite experiment isn't represented
 - Fortunately, many of the concepts you have been exposed to over the past couple of weeks, including this talk, are applicable to almost all experiments

Outline

• Where to assemble your detectors

Particle accelerators

How to assemble your detectors
Install, integrate, commission, calibrate, ...

• Operate your detectors (run!)

— ... as a coherent unit in concert w/ the accelerator

Where to assemble your detectors

Particle accelerators

Large Hadron Collider (LHC) @ CERN

Multi-purpose hadron collider: p+p, Pb+Pb, p+Pb



Searches for new physics at four Interaction Points

CMS and ATLAS...

 General purpose detectors for precision Higgs studies and searches for physics beyond the Standard Model

LHCb...

- Detailed studies of b-quark ALICE...
- Focus = heavy ions



A complex chain of accelerators is required to get the particles to the right energy with the correct timing to perform a typical particle physics experiment

S.



LHC: ~2500 counter-rotating bunches of protons (~1x10¹¹ per bunch)

- 450 GeV → 6.5 TeV
- Steered into collision at four Interaction Points located around the ring

Watch first 1:15 of... https://www.youtube. com/watch?v=pQhbh pU9Wrg

A "typical" p+p crossing at LHC (CMS data)



http://cms.web.cern.ch/news/reconstructing-multitude-particle-tracks-within-cms

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Muon campus @ FNAL

Searches for rare and hidden phenomena with muons



http://mu2edocdb.fnal.gov/cgibin/RetrieveFile?d ocid=4005



A complex chain of accelerators is required to get the particles to the right energy with the correct timing to perform a typical particle physics experiment



about 25 meters end-to-end

Consists of 3 solenoid systems

Tuned from original by D. Glenzinski (FNAL) at http://www.lnf.infn.it/meetings/seminars.php



8 GeV protons interact with a tungsten target to produce μ^{\Box} (from π^{-} decay)

Tuned from original by D. Glenzinski (FNAL) at http://www.lnf.infn.it/meetings/seminars.php



Production and transport solenoid systems:

- capture backwards-going μ (and π -)
- momentum- and sign-selects beam
- transports to an aluminum target where the μ- is stopped (muonic aluminum)

Tuned from original by D. Glenzinski (FNAL) at http://www.lnf.infn.it/meetings/seminars.php



Detector solenoid system directs decay $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ (or, if we're lucky, directly converted $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$) towards detector and bends them in a circle so as to measure their momentum

Tuned from original by D. Glenzinski (FNAL) at http://www.lnf.infn.it/meetings/seminars.php

"Typical" beam pulse at Mu2e (simulation)



A couple of words on particle acceleration

Note: these words do not even begin to touch this topic... it is a full physics curriculum itself!

(You can get a PhD in accelerator physics and make a career out of it, if you are so inclined...)

RF acceleration

Animation tuned from original by G. Burt (Lancaster U.) https://www.cockcroft.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Lecture1_Cavities.ppt



By switching the charge on the plates in phase with the particle motion, the particles always see an acceleration

RF acceleration \rightarrow bunching (1/2)

https://www.lhc-closer.es/taking a closer look at lhc/0.buckets and bunches



- Proton timing w.r.t. RF determines acceleration
 - Later \rightarrow decelerate
 - Early \rightarrow accelerate
- Since magnet bend ∝ 1/p, higher energy protons travel farther
 around in a circular accelerator
 - Higher energy \rightarrow later
 - Lower energy \rightarrow earlier

RF acceleration \rightarrow bunching (2/2)

https://www.lhc-closer.es/taking a closer look at lhc/0.buckets and bunches



- At top energy, the ideally timed proton with exactly the right energy will see no acceleration
- Protons with slightly different energies, arriving earlier or later, will be accelerated or decelerated so that they stay close to the energy of the ideal particle
- This sorts the particle beam into discrete packets called "bunches"



The LHC collides bunches of protons every 25ns (25ns = bunch spacing = BX \rightarrow 40MHz)

Short aside: what is a "nanosecond"?

- Nanosecond [nan-uh-sek-uh-nd, ney-nuh-]
 - Noun: one billionth of a second
 - British: one thousand-millionth of a second
 - Informal: a very short time; a moment.
 - "he replied without a nanosecond's hesitation"
- Recall: speed of light = 30 cm/ns ~ 1 foot/ns
- Nanoseconds are the correct unit of time for...
 - … particles traveling at relativistic speed
 - in detectors whose size is measured in meters

Back to business...

- Chains of accelerators are used to reach high energies
- Chains of magnets within each accelerator are used to
 - Bend the particles in a circle (dipoles)
 - Focus the beams (quadrupoles)
 - Stabilize the beams from resonances (octupoles)
- They operate in ultra high vacuums
 - 10⁻⁹ Pa at interaction regions (mean free path ~10,000 km)
- Many of the magnets are superconducting
 - Liquid He cryogenics use ~1/3 of electrical power at LHC

What could possibly go wrong?

Part 1



https://en.wikipedia.org/ wiki/Beech marten

Large Hadron Collider [edit]

On 29 April and 21 November 2016, two beech martens shut down the Large Hadron Collider, the world's most powerful particle accelerator, by climbing on 18–66 kV electrical transformers located above ground near the LHC and ALICE experiments, respectively.^{[29][30][31]} The second marten was stuffed and put on display in the Rotterdam Natural History Museum.^[32]



Beech Marten





19 Sept 2008: "The LHC incident"

- From <u>http://press.cern/press-releases/2008/10/cern-releases-analysis-lhc-incident</u>
 - "During powering tests of the main dipole circuit in Sector 3-4 of the LHC, a fault occurred in the electrical bus connection in the region between a dipole and a quadrupole, resulting in mechanical damage and release of helium from the magnet cold mass into the tunnel.
 - "... The forces on the vacuum barriers attached to the quadrupoles at the subsector ends were such that the cryostats housing these quadrupoles broke their anchors in the concrete floor of the tunnel and were moved away from their original positions, with the electric and fluid connections pulling the dipole cold masses in the subsector from the cold internal supports inside their undisplaced cryostats..."
- Lessons learned for the experimental physicist: be challenging in concept and conservative in implementation, question yourself, talk to everyone, think of every possible failure mode, ALWAYS maintain safe practices, and... be ready for the unexpected...

Splices re-worked in 2013-2014 to allow magnets to power up to 6.5 TeV

M. Lamont (CERN) at 2015 Moriond (<u>https://indico.in2p</u> <u>3.fr/event/10819/</u>)



And when it all goes right: Inject, Ramp, Squeeze, Adjust, Stable Beams...



If they can, LHC will typically keep a fill until the luminosity drops to ~1/2 the initial value

EDIT - 16 Mar 2018

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Summary (so far)

- Many particle physics experiments look at particles coming from accelerated beams
- Accelerator physics is very hard and very fun

Be on the lookout for the unexpected!

How to assemble your detectors

Install, integrate, commission, calibrate, ...

1998-2005: excavation of CMS cavern

MAX 25



Particle identification by design

From A. Korytov (UF) at http://conferences.fnal.gov/edit/edit2018/plenary-lectures/

- Nine particles that matter:
 - out of hundreds different particles produced in high energy collisions, only the following few that can actually be directly observed via their interactions with a detector in general purpose experiments: γ , e, μ , π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , K_L , K_S , p, n
 - all others are too short lived and three neutrinos; there are inferred...
 - To detect, identify, and reconstruct particles produced in proton collisions, CMS was assembled with:
 - Inner tracker embedded within a magnetic field
 - Electromagnetic calorimeter
 - Hadronic calorimeter
 - Muon detector

Compact Muon Solenoid detector



EDIT - 16 Mar 2018

Quiz: what have you detected?

- Identify the particle you have most likely detected if you see the following signals...
 - Possible answers: electron, photon, muon, $\pi^{+/-}$, $K^{+/-}$, K^{0}_{L} , K^{0}_{S} , proton, neutron

Signal Most probable particle?	Inner tracker	Electromagnetic calorimeter	Hadronic calorimeter	Muon detector
electron	Yes	Yes	No	No
photon	No	Yes	No	No
charged hadron	Yes	Minimal	Yes	No
muon	Yes	Minimal	Minimal	Yes

Mu2e Detector



- Goal: detect e⁻ with p=105 MeV/c from target
- Tracker: measure radius of curvature
- Electromagnetic calorimeter: identification and timing

A couple of words on commissioning and calibration

Note: if you don't do these things, your detector will not work

If your detector doesn't work, you will not discover anything
Some general commissioning steps (1/2)

• Turn on and configure (robustly)

This is harder than it sounds

- Test interfaces
 - Both within system and with other systems...
 - This is a *lot* harder than it sounds
- Measure timing, efficiency, latency, ...

Iterative and usually needs other detectors

- Align and calibrate
 - Iterative and may need a lot of data

Some general commissioning steps (2/2)

- Test system robustness under known stresses
 Do not get caught by the same bug twice
- Take data at every new condition
 - Likely to learn every time something changes, no matter how small the change appears to be...
- Understand detector response
 - Histograms depend on trigger & conditions
- Take lots and lots of data...

— ... including under the most stressful conditions

Example 1/3: energy calibration (1/2)



An uncertainty in the photon energy (~x-axis) translates directly into an uncertainty in the production cross section (y-axis)

Example 1/3: energy calibration (2/2)



Continuous monitoring + calibration to get correct energy response

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Example 2/3: tracker alignment

Question: How well can we specify the transverse position of the collision vertex?



Answer:



2x10⁵ alignment parameters determined using collision and cosmic ray tracks JINST 9 (2014) P06009

EDIT - 16 Mar 2018

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Example: trigger synchronization

• It's fundamental

- Experiments often use an architecture of a synchronous trigger with a pipeline readout
- A mis-timed detector will make you miss the event
- It's hard
 - A typical subsystem has 1000's of knobs to turn
 - Requires a well-defined procedure to converge

What does "synchronous trigger architecture" mean?

Next page \rightarrow

proton + proton \rightarrow Higgs \rightarrow Z + Z



Data from subsystems are combined in stages to make more complicated objects



Data from pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$



CMS: height = 25ns, length = 35ns (from the IP \rightarrow out)



Mu2e: time structure



- Pulsed proton beam with a delayed live gate to suppress prompt backgrounds from pions ("flash")
 - 700 ns delay reduces pion background by $>10^{-9}$

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Asynchronous clocks



Since the protons incident on target are far removed from stopped muons that decay/convert into electrons, it was decided that the Mu2e experiment clock (40MHz) would not be locked to the accelerator clock (590kHz) → Must measure the relative phase for each proton pulse

What could possibly go wrong?

Part 2



Schematic of CMS cryo cold box



2015 LHC operation





= LHC collisions

These are the times when CMS needs to be fully operational

CMS operation during LHC operation







When cryogenic filters clog, liquid He production stops and the superconducting magnet is ramped down...

2015 was a tough year

System was cleaned at start of 2016 \rightarrow back to stable operations

In spite of magnet issues...





\rightarrow 2015 steady-state recording efficiency > 90% \leftarrow

Summary (so far)

- Many particle physics experiments look at particles coming from accelerated beams
 Accelerator physics is very hard and very fun
- Detectors are assembled to reconstruct and identify specific final states
 - Commissioning and calibration is fundamental to make the reconstruction and identification possible

Be on the lookout for the unexpected!

Run!



Snapshot of DAQ shifter station



Software architecture

From A. Oh (CERN) (https://indico.cern.ch/event/3580/contributions/1768398/attachments/712547/978188/aohchep07.pdf)













EDIT - 16 Mar 2018

Take a look at these slides and think about what it takes for each of these steps to be successful

The more you think about it, the more you will be amazed that it works at all

The trigger and data acquisition machine of a particle physics experiment is a marvel of technology

Summary

- Many experiments look at particles from accelerated beams
 - Accelerator physics is very hard and very fun
- Experiments assemble detectors to measure specific final states
 - Commissioning, calibration, timing, and alignment are fundamental to making this possible
- The simultaneous operation of an experiment in concert with an accelerator is an amazing phenomenon
 - Each step of this activity is worth to understand in detail

Be on the lookout for the unexpected! (You are likely to discover something...)

Last words...

Mu2e collaboration

http://mu2e.fnal.gov/collaboration.shtml



CMS collaboration

https://cms.cern/collaboration



http://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-32976838

Science & Environment

3 June 2015: first "Stable Beams" (collisions) at √s=13 TeV at CMS

Large Hadron Collider turns on 'data tap'

By Paul Rincon Science editor, BBC News website

O 3 June 2015 | Science & Environment



The CMS experiment team celebrated when the first collisions occurred



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2015-Jun-03 08:48:32.279552 GMT Run / Event / LS: 246908 / 77874559 / 86

e-environment-32976838

ns on 'data tap'

Appreciating the success of hard work



The CMS experiment team celebrated when the first collisions occurred

We are looking forward to seeing you at the experiment!



Backup slides

Drivers of US particle physics research

- Use the *Higgs Boson* as a new tool for discovery
- Pursue the physics associated with *neutrino mass*
- Identify the new physics of *dark matter*
- Understand cosmic acceleration: *dark energy* & *inflation*
- Explore the *unknown*: new particles, interactions, and physical principles

https://science.energy.gov/hep/research/

Frontiers of physics

- Experimental strategy organized along three interrelated frontiers
 - Energy frontier: accelerate particles to highest-energies ever made by humanity and collide them... Sophisticated detectors, some the size of apartment buildings, observe the newly produced particles
 - Intensity frontier: intense particle beams and highly sensitive detectors... study some of the rarest particle interactions
 - Cosmic frontier: highest-energy particles ever observed have come from cosmic sources... Ultra-sensitive detectors deep underground may glimpse the dark matter wind

https://science.energy.gov/hep/research/
LHC: Fill Cycle



HV state of CMS depends on LHC state

CMS DCS automatically responds to the LHC Accelerator:Beam mode...

http://cmsonline.cern.ch/portal/page/portal/CMS%20online%20system/DCS/Automation

Light blue = Go to Standby Green = Go to Physics White = Do nothing

					Injection			Ramp Sc			Jueeze Stable Beams				Beam dump	
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,	
		•	SETUP	ABORT	INJECTION PROBE BEAM	INJECTION SETUP BEAM	INJECTION PHYSICS BEAM	PREPARE RAMP	RAMP	FLAT TOP	SQUEEZE	ADJUST	STABLE BEAMS	UNSTABLE BEAMS	BEAM DUMP	RAMP DOWN
Silicon_	\int	PIXEL	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	GO TO PHY SIC S *	PROTECT STANDBY	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
Tracker		STRIPS	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	GO TO PHY SIC S *	PROTECT STANDBY	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
ECAL		ECAL EM	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		ECAL BM	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
	Į	ECAL BP	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		ECAL EP	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		ECAL ESM	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		ECAL ESP	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
HCAL-		HCAL	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
	$\left\{ \right.$	ZDC	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		CASTOR	GO TO PHY SIC S / mode=muonHV	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	GO TO PHY SIC S / mode=ppHV	GO TO PHY SIC S / mode=ppHV	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
Muons –		DT	DO NOTHING	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
	$\left\{ \right.$	RPC	DO NOTHING	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	PROTECT STANDBY	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
		CSC	DO NOTHING	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO STANDBY	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	GO TO PHY SIC S	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING	DO NOTHING
			SETUP	ABORT	INJECTION PROBE BEAM	INJECTION SETUP BEAM	INJECTION PHYSICS BEAM	PREPARE RAMP	RAMP	FLAT TOP	SQUEEZE	ADJUST	STABLE BEAMS	UNSTABLE BEAMS	BEAM DUMP	RAMP DOWN

G. Rakness (FNAL)

When LHC wants to inject...

- 1. LHC issues "Injection Warning"
 - Communicated over DIP (communication protocol between "very loosely coupled heterogeneous systems")
 - <u>https://wikis.web.cern.ch/wikis/d</u> <u>isplay/EN/DIP+and+DIM</u>
- DCS automatically puts the CMS detector to "Standby" (see previous)
 - Safe state to allow injection of beams into LHC
- 3. Shift leader pulls injection inhibit button to allow LHC to inject



Beam abort Do not push this button! Injection inhibit: Green light ON → injection allowed

Run-1: instantaneous lumi evolution

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/LumiPublicResults

CMS Peak Luminosity Per Day, pp





Run-1 peak performance numbers

- Max. inst. lumi = 7.7x10³³/cm²/s (design = 1x10³⁴)
- Number of bunches = 1380 (design ~ 2200)
- Bunch spacing = 50ns (design = 25ns)

From the point of view of peak instantaneous luminosity *per bunch*, LHC Run-1 **exceeded the specs by ~140%**

Higher luminosity = higher pileup

CMS Average Pileup, pp, 2016, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



The number of interactions per crossing is called **pileup**

The original design of CMS and ATLAS was for an average pileup of about 20 (!)

LHC changes from Run-1 \rightarrow Run-2

From M. Solfaroli (CERN) at LHCC 23 Sept 2015 (https://indico.cern.ch/event/443017/)

- 160% larger collision energy $\rightarrow \sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV
- 50% smaller bunch spacing \rightarrow 25ns
- 200% larger number of bunches \rightarrow 2800 bunches
- 200% larger pileup \rightarrow 40 interactions/crossing
- 66% smaller $\beta^* \rightarrow 40$ cm
- 170-220% larger peak lumi \rightarrow (13-17)x10³³cm⁻²s⁻¹

"Priority for 2015 is to prepare 2016 as a 'physics production run' at 25ns" – M. Solfaroli (CERN)

The pileup problem

Look at triggers of clustered hadronic energy > 200 GeV



CMS was designed to sort out the wheat from the chaff, as long as there isn't too much chaff

→ This is why increasing the bunch intensity to increase the luminosity doesn't increase the luminosity which is useful to CMS

CMS plan: upgrade the detector to match the LHC performance

- CMS "Phase-1" upgrade (2014-2016): handle increased pileup...
 - Add another layer of silicon tracking (vertices)
 - Add processing power to the Level-1 trigger (jets)
 - Refine granularity of the hadron calorimeter (jets)
 - But I'm not going to talk about this...
- LS1 (2013-2014): complete and maintain the detector, and lay the foundation for Phase-1...
 Next page →

CMS upgrades during LS1

- Data acquisition: new architecture
- Trigger Control and Distribution System: new
- Level-1 trigger: new calorimeter trigger
- Silicon pixels: new modules
- Silicon tracker: new temperature (-15°C)
- Electromagnetic calorimeter: new optical trigger links
- Hadronic calo: new SiPMs, front-, & back-end (uTCA)
- Drift Tube chambers: new trigger electronics
- Resistive Plate Chambers: new chambers
- Cathode Strip Chambers: new chambers & electronics

Not stretching the truth to say that CMS is a new detector!

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Tue Nov 11 01:58:25 2014 PDT Run/Event: 229685 / 291489 Lumi section: 50

Timing 101: place "scintillator" correctly

Since cosmic rays traverse CMS from top \rightarrow bottom, triggers coming from top muon chambers will have timing wrong by (2 x time-of-flight) \approx 2bx



To make cosmic rays work "for free" with collision timing, trigger on the bottom half of the detector

Timing 201: use one trigger at a time

Timing of Hadronic Calorimeter ~equal with different muon triggers



Timing 301: increase complexity

Enable muon sources pair-wise → look at relative timing of triggers at Global Muon Trigger



Each muon path confirmed to be ~synchronous with the others

G. Rakness (FNAL)

Cosmic rays with "bottom-only" triggers = OK



Signal to noise for on-track clusters (TOB)

CMS timing with LHC "Beam Splash" events

Beam splash = ~2 x 10⁹ protons at 450 GeV/c incident on collimators ~150m upstream of CMS



BEAM SPLASH in CMS

Reconstructed segments in Cathode Strip Chambers...

Energy in Hadronic Calorimeter:



Energy in Electromagnetic Calorimeter:



Different subsystems triggering on LHC Beam Splash



→ Detector synchronization **much** easier with synchronous beam

CMS response to LHC beam circulating without colliding: "Beam Halo"



"Beam Halo" Muon Traversing CMS

"Beam halo" muon ~parallel to the beampipe passes through Cathode Strip Chambers on both endcaps

Beam halo muons with collision timing



Beam halo "sees"...

- 1. Upstream detectors
- 2. Interaction Point
- 3. Downstream detectors

Thus, similarly to cosmic rays, upstream chambers are early by...

(2 x time-of-flight)

... while those in the downstream chambers are ~in-time with collisions

CMS timing with collisions



http://www.fnal.gov/pub/today/images/images10/CMS1stEvent.jpg

Fine tuning trigger timing

Plot average CSC anode time per chamber



What happens when we get it all to work?

Combine all timing measurements from all layers used to reconstruct the track → 4 chambers * 6 layers * (anode + cathode) ~ 48 measurements per event

- Calculate $\beta^{-1} = 1 + c^*t/d$ per measurement
- Combine β⁻¹ to create one value per track
 - Weight each measurement by square of the distance from the IP to minimize RMS
 - Timing quality cuts done for preselection



Measure the speed of light with 6% accuracy using the CSCs...

Creation of "doublets"



Create "long" bunches in the PS and inject them into the SPS



The RF in the SPS captures the long bunches into two bunches separated by 5ns (spaced every 25ns)