

#### Northern Illinois University

#### Gas Sheet Beam Profile Monitor for IOTA

Sebastian Szustkowski 05/09/2018

Research supported by DOE GARD (NIU: Swapan Chattopadhyay, PI and Bela Erdelyi, Co-PI)

### **Gas Jet Monitor Motivation**

- Turn-by-turn, two-dimensional transverse beam profile monitor to study time dependent collective instabilities and halo formation of a proton beam.
- Traditional profile monitors such as multiwires and scintillator screens are too destructive or measure one-dimensional such as residual gas monitors.

S. Szustkowski - Gas Sheet Beam Profile Monitor for IOTA

### Concept

- Gas sheet formed transverse to beam direction
- Proton beam will ionize the gas
- Ions will be collected into a detector system, measuring 2D transverse profile.
- Previous groups have built Gas Jet Monitors





### **Injection/Sheet Formation**

- Capillary or Nozzle to induce expansion of the gas so that the core of the flow can be selected
- Slit or Skimmer to form sheet



S. Szustkowski - Gas Sheet Beam Profile Monitor for IOTA

- $N_a$  Avogadro's Number
- T Temperature

L. Valyi, Atoms and Ion Sources, p.86 (1977)

Gas flow

## Injection – Cylindrical tubes

The number of molecules leaving ٠ per unit time per solid angle is defined:

$$\frac{dN}{d\omega} = p_i d^2 C_o \cos \theta \sqrt{\frac{N_a}{32\pi k_B M T}}$$

- $p_i$  partial pressure of the species
- d diameter of tube
- $C_o$  Correction factor, ranges from 0 to 1
- $k_B$  Boltzman Constant

- M species molecular weight



l = 0



20°

30°

400

50°

60°

70°

80°

Cylindrical Tube

10°

10

04

Gas reservoir

# Distributions for various parameters after orifice



# The angle at which the distribution falls to half the maximum intensity:

$$\theta_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.84 \frac{d}{l}$$

J.A. Giordmaine and T.C. Wang, "Molecular Beam Formation by Long Parallel Tubes", *J. Appl. Phys.*, **31**, pp. 463-471 (1960).



#### **MolFlow+ (UHV Simulation)**

- Monte Carlo simulation developed at CERN
  - Calculate steady-state pressure in system
  - Record gas distribution at various planes
- Simulated and studied gas sheet system in the RCS at J-PARC



### **MolFlow+ (UHV Simulation)**

- Gas Reservoir Volume 7.5 cm^3
- Rectangular Nozzle Dimensions (50x0.1x100 mm) (w\*l\*h)
- Rectangular Skimmer (60x0.3x0.5 mm)
- Virtual Detector planes located 0.1, 10, 50, 100 mm away from skimmer





#### **Nozzle Skimmer Distance Varied**

• Distance between nozzle skimmer varied by 5, 15, and 25 mm



FWHM with various nozzle to skimmer distances.

#### 5/9/18

S. Szustkowski - Gas Sheet Beam Profile Monitor for IOTA

## **Skimmer Offset**

• Offset varied by 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 mm





#### **Beam-Gas Interaction**

- Number of electron-ion pair produce defined as:

$$\dot{N} = \frac{dE}{dx} \frac{I_b}{q} \frac{\rho_g l}{W_i}$$

- $\frac{dE}{dx}$ : Stopping Power of protons
- ho : Mass density of the gas
- W: Average energy required to ionize a gas
- $I_b$  : Beam current
- q : proton charge
  - : gas sheet thickness

For example with nitrogen gas: dE/dx = 118 MeV cm^2/g Mass Density (at 1.2\*10^-7 torr)= 1.98\*10^13 g/ccm W = 36 eV I = 8 mA At a sheet thickness of 0.2mm, 1.14 \*10^3 pairs will be produced per turn

#### **Beam Lifetime**



(Calculations by Ben Freemire)

Proton Beam lifetime in IOTA due to Coulomb scattering off nitrogen gas over a 1 meter long segment. Residual gas pressure assumed 1\*10^-10 torr.

- Lifetime with only residual gas is ~30min
- Operating at 1\*10^-8 torr in interaction chamber lifetime is ~6min

#### **Detector System**

- lons are accelerated by array of electrodes
- Followed by a stack of Microchannel plates and phosphor screen, followed by a CCD
- Time resolution limited by phosphor screen material, CCD capabilities
  - P43 Screen (Decay 90% to 10%-> 1ms)
  - CCD (25 us exposure, triggering 2 us)
- Spatial resolution limited by MCP orifice size.
  - MCP (10um channel Diameter)
  - CCD (3.45x3.45 um Pixel Size)





### **Cockcroft Institute Signal**

• At Cockcroft Institute, used a 5keV electron gun, with a 1024x768, 8bit CCD camera (10um Pixel)



N2 Gas Sheet Density = 2.5 \* 10^10 cm^-3 Thickness = 0.4mm Width = 4mm

We are targeting a density of 4\*10^11 cm^-3 to compensate shorter integration time

NIU

y [cm]

-1

-2

-2

-1

#### **WARP Simulation**

- Simulate IOTA proton beam interacting with nitrogen gas.
  - Gaussian Beam distribution
     σ=3.5 mm
  - Four annular electrodes
    - Biased at +500, -500, -1000, -1000 V at -2.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 cm, respectively
  - Look at particle/molecule distribution



0



15



#### **WARP Results**





### **Vacuum Consideration**

- Maintain UHV in rest of the ring
  - Optimize Gas density and sheet divergence
  - Turbo-pumps and titanium sublimation pump
  - For IOTA want to achieve a background pressure no more than 10<sup>^</sup>-8 torr in monitor region in the one meter length.

Cockcroft institute was able to achieve vacuum: Outer Jet Chamber: 2.43 \* 10^-8 torr Experimental Chamber: 3.15 \* 10^-8 torr Dump chamber: 1.63 \* 10^-9 torr 12%- 29% Pressure rise with gas injection (V. Tzoganis, Vacuum **109** (2014) 417-424)

#### **Proposed Location in IOTA**



#### **Proposed Location in IOTA**



#### **Interaction Chamber**





#### Image courtesy of Tara Campese



#### **Interaction Chamber**





#### Image courtesy of Tara Campese



S. Szustkowski - Gas Sheet Beam Profile Monitor for IOTA

## **Test Stand**

- Characterize Gas Sheet density and shape
- Investigate various skimmer and Nozzle configurations
- Design of interaction chamber in progress
- Will be testing at NML





#### 5/9/18

#### Summary

- Want to monitor the evolution of the transverse profile in IOTA
- Improve design to minimize the number of pumps, compact design to meet IOTA design
- Optimize gas density in order to have a decent resolution and beam life time
- Investigating faster acquisition and higher resolution in detector system
- Further studies to optimize the strength of extraction electrodes and quantify the effect of space-charge
- Test stand is being set up to finalize gas injection design

#### Acknowledgments



Northern Illinois University

B. Freemire S. Chattopadhyay

Fermilab

D. Crawford S. Valishev J. Eldred E. Stern T. Anderson J. Santucci T. Hamerla

- Radiabeam
- G. Andonian T. Campese

University of Liverpool C. Welsh

Fermilab is operated by the Fermi Research Alliance, LLC, under Contract No. DE-AC0207CH11359 with the US Department of Energy. This work is supported by the Office of High Energy Physics General Accelerator Research and Development (GARD) Program.





• Back up slides

#### **Backup - Correction Factor**



• Let  $p = \frac{l}{d} \tan \theta$ , where l is the tube length and d is its diameter

 $C_0(p \le 1) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}(1 - \alpha)(\arcsin(p) + p\sqrt{1 - p^2}) + \frac{4}{3\pi p}(1 - 2\alpha)[1 - (1 - p^2)^{3/2}]$ 

1

$$C_0(p \ge 1) = \alpha + \frac{4(1-2\alpha)}{3\pi p}$$

The general expression of  $\alpha$  for a cylindrical tube:

$$\alpha = \frac{u\sqrt{u^2 + 1} - v\sqrt{v^2 + 1} + v^2 - u^2}{\frac{u(2v^2 + 1) - v}{\sqrt{v^2 + 1}} - \frac{v(2u^2 + 1) - u}{\sqrt{u^2 + 1}}} \qquad \qquad u = \frac{l}{d} - v$$

$$v = \frac{l\sqrt{7}}{3l + d\sqrt{7}}$$

B.B.Dayton, Trans. 3rd Nat. Vac. Symp., pp. 5-11 (1956).