

ArgonCube

pixelated modular LArTPC for DUNE ND

Fermilab, 5.03.18



Igor Kreslo AEC/LHEP University of Bern on behalf of ARGONCUBE collaboration





UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS



ARGONCUBE R&D program and collaboration

2013: First idea — LHEP LAGUNA-LBNO Glacier TPC

2017: Lol — CERN SPSC http://cds.cern.ch/record/2268439 Two principal concepts formulated

2017: Collaboration established R&D — full steam ahead

2018: ArgonCube → DUNE ND R&D steered by DUNE requirements

Several dedicated grants Switzerland, USA, Russia Letter of Intent

ArgonCube: a Modular Approach for Liquid Argon TPC Neutrino Detectors for Near Detector Environments

C. Azevedo, A. L. Silva, J. Veloso I3N, Physics Department, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

T. Gamble, N. McConkey, N. J. C. Spooner, M. Thiesse, M. H. Wright University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

J. Bremer, U. Kose, D. Mladenov, M. Nessi, F. Noto European Organization for Particle Physics (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

M. Auger, Y. Chen, A. Ereditato^a, D. Göldi, R. Hänni, I. Kreslo^b, D. Lorca, M. Lüthi, P. Lutz, J. R. Sinclair⁶, M. Weber Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics (AEC) - Laboratory for High Energy Physics

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics (AEC) - Laboratory for High Energy Physics (LHEP), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

D. Bleiner, A. Borgschulte Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials and Technology (EMPA), CH-8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

> M. Zeyrek Middle East Technical University (METU), TR-06800, Ankara, Turkey

F. Bay TUBITAK Space Technologies Research Institute (TUBITAK UZAY), METU Campus, TR-06800, Ankara, Turkey

N. Anfimov, A. Olshevskiy, A. Selyunin, S. Sokolov, A. Sotnikov Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia

D. A. Dwyer, D. Gnani, C. Grace, S. Kohn, M. Kramer, A. Krieger, K. B. Luk, P. Madigan, C. Marshall University of California and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

M. Convery, Y-T. Tsai, T. Usher SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Rd, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

> M. Mooney Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

J. Asaadi, H. Sullivan University of Texas at Arlington, 701 S Nedderman Dr, Arlington, TX 76019, USA

K. Cankocak, J. Nachtman, Y. Onel, A. Penzo University of Iowa High Energy Physics Group, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

A. Marchionni, O. Palamara, J. L. Raaf, G. P. Zeller Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL), Batavia, IL 60510 USA

> M. Soderberg Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

M. Bishai, H. Chen, M. Diwan, F. Lanni, Y. Li, D. Lissauer, X. Qian, V. Radeka, B. Yu Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

B. Fleming, S. Tufanli Yale University, Wright Laboratory, New Haven, CT 06520 USA

> R. Guenette Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

C. Kuruppu, S. R. Mishra, R. Petti University of South Carolina, 712 Main Street, Columbia, SC 29208 USA 20

CERN-SPSC-2017-025 / SPSC-1-246 13/06/2017



ARGONCUBE Design motivations





First approach to pixels



Number of DAQ channels: nROI + nPixel Number of physical pixels: nROI * nPixel

Can use ROIs induction signal to wake up ASIC to save power.

For ND module: 2planes x 1m x 3.5m, ~5 tons of LAr per module 3x3 mm pixels \rightarrow ~800000 pixels/module If we reach 50 µW/pixel we are at 40 W/module and 8 W/ton — safe!

Need to keep heat low at very high number of channels



- SNR of >10 for MIP (signal is ~15000 electrons for 3x3 pixel)
- Noise ENC<1600 electrons
- Heat dissipation < 50 μ W/pixel
- ≥16 channels/ASIC
- ≥10 bits ADC
- Time slice \leq 1 us
- Smart zero suppression
- Multiplexing at the data output lines



Concept for pixel R/O ASIC

(proposed by I.K. in 2014, AC meeting at BNL)





First approach to pixels: LHEP 2016-2017

Compromise: multiplexed R/O

6x6 ROI with induction grid

BNL LARASIC4 as cold preamp

60 cm drift test LArTPC

2 runs: 2016 & 2017

28 ROIs, each 6×6 pixels \Rightarrow **1008** pixels total @ 2.48 mm pitch

28 + 36 = **64** R/O channels

Improved ARGONTUBE R/O electronics



First approach to pixels: LHEP 2016-2017





First approach to pixels: LHEP 2016-2017

Reconstruction: simple «enable» by Induction signal

~15







First approach to pixels: LHEP 2016-2017 Reconstruction: Kalman filter on top of simple «enable»

1. Noise filter Subtract common mode noise

2. Hit finder Performs threshold comparison

3. Hit matcher Combine pixel and ROI hits into 3D hits

4. Principal Component Analysis Solve multiplexing ambiguities Remove outliers

5. Kalman fitter

Fit µ hypothesis to 3D spacepoints arXiv: 0911.1008, 1410.3698 GENFIT



Output: tracks with PID likelihood and reconstructed momenta !

Test of our Pixel plane in LArIAT: PixLAr TPC Run: end of 2017 — beginning of 2018

Test of our Pixel plane in LArIAT: PixLAr TPC Run: end of 2017 — beginning of 2018

Test of our Pixel plane in LArIAT: PixLAr TPC Run: end of 2017 — beginning of 2018

- 11 Dec 2017 1 Feb 2018
- 426 runs are taken
- Several hundred thousands events
- Simple reco \rightarrow 3D event display
- Analysis is in progress...
- May expect:
- Pion reco efficiency
- dE/dx uncertainty (vs angle)
- EM shower reco, energy uncertainty
- Pileup limit, two event separation efficiency

Pixels are good! Precious data in hands!

Bespoke ASIC: LArPix, see talk by Dan Dwyer !

ARGONCUBE 2x2 proto in Bern:

4 modules, 0.67 x 0.67 x 1.8 m each

Run-1 small area demo

Run-2 full area anode

No more compromises... Unambiguous pixelized 3D readout.

ARGONCUBE Module-0 progress

PixLAr Event Gallery

Backup Slides

Advance of induction signal \rightarrow wakeup time

Induction, Run 9136 Event 150. Trigger pattern: I1 I2 T

Module: an independent TPC

- LAr purification: recirculation through Oxygen-traps
- Temperature: individual cryo-cooler unit (removes heat input from electronics and heat leaks)
- Cathode bias (-100 kV) supplied via HV feed-through
- Resistive divider for field shaper
- Relatively low voltage => breakdown-free setup
- Electrically transparent container => low dead volume
- PCB-technology for R/O plane manufacturing
- Pad arrays for charge readout, 3x3 mm² pads
- 4x8 pads ROI served by one R/O ASIC at the PCB back
- Mechanically robust production technology
- Low failure cost
- Light collection via WLS light guides
- Light readout with SiPMs in coincidence

Reliable/repairable self-contained unit