Nuclear structure and reactions in EFT

Gautam Rupak



FRIB-TA Bound States to Continuum workshop, June 19, 2018

Outline

- Brief introduction to EFT
- Capture reactions in halo EFT
 - lessons learned in ${}^{7}\text{Li}(n,\gamma){}^{8}\text{Li}$
 - lessons learned in ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$
- Capture reactions in lattice EFT
 - Adiabatic Projection Method
 - Coulomb
 - currents

EFT: the long and short of it

• Identify degrees of freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = c_0 O^{(0)} + c_1 O^{(1)} + c_2 O^{(2)} + \cdots$$
 expansion in

Hide UV ignorance- short distance IR explicit- long distance

- Determine c_n from data (elastic, inelastic)
- EFT : ERE + currents + relativistic corrections $\left(\frac{p}{m}\right)^{2n}$

Not just Ward-Takahashi identity

expand observables in ratio of scales

power counting

Pionless EFT — π EFT

nucleon-nucleon scattering

$$i\mathcal{A}(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{i}{p \cot \delta_0 - ip} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{i}{-1/a + \frac{r}{2}p^2 + \dots - ip}$$
$$\approx -\frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{i}{1/a + ip} \left[1 + \frac{rp^2/2}{1/a + ip} + \dots \right] \quad \text{, for } a \sim 1/p >> r$$

Example: neutron-proton scattering

$${}^{1}S_{0}: a = -23.8 \text{ fm}, \quad r = 2.73 \text{ fm},$$

 ${}^{3}S_{1}: a = +5.42 \text{ fm}, \quad r = 1.75 \text{ fm}.$

Construct 77 EFT

- Non-relativistic nucleons
- Short ranged interaction point-like interaction



$^{7}\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{Li}$ Example

- Isospin mirror to ${}^{7}\text{Li}(n,\gamma){}^{8}\text{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^{7}\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^{8}\text{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN



initial s-wave interaction

Two EFT operators for p-wave bound state at LO

Bertulani, Hammer, van Kolck (2002) Bedaque, Hammer, van Kolck (2003)

need binding momentum and effective range at LO



Rupak, Higa; PRL 106, 222501 (2011) Fernando, Rupak, Higa; 48, 24 (2012)

Initial state: s-wave $a_0 \sim 1/Q, r_0 \sim 1/\Lambda$ Final state: shallow p-wave (ground and excited); two operators

Lesson: Need accurate binding energy and effective range (phase shift) for p-wave



Many fine tunings:

Initial state: s-wave

•
$$a_0 \sim \Lambda^2/Q^3, r_0 \sim 1/\Lambda$$

- However $a_0(B + \mu J_0) \sim 1$, and nearly cancels set A
- Two-body current enhanced to LO by large a_0
- Final state: shallow p-wave, ground and excited states



Fit	$S_{34}(0)$ (keV b)
Small range LO	$0.582 \pm 0.011 \text{ (fit)} \pm 0.194 \text{ (EFT)}$
Large range LO	$0.561 \pm 0.007 \text{ (fit)} \pm 0.187 \text{ (EFT)}$
Small range NLO	$0.544 \pm 0.012 \text{ (fit)} \pm 0.054 \text{ (EFT)}$
Large range NLO	$0.558 \pm 0.008 \text{ (fit)} \pm 0.056 \text{ (EFT)}$

Higa, Rupak, Vaghani; EPJA 54, 89 (2018)



ground (excited) state poles when effective range about -47 MeV (-32 MeV) — near cancellations of set A and B, and two-body currents can be compensated with small change in effective range ρ_j

Bayesian analysis

posterior PDF:
$$P(\theta|D, I) = \frac{P(D|\theta, I)P(\theta|I)}{P(D|I)}$$

prior PDF: $P(\theta|I)$ EFT expectations/bias
likelihood PDF: $P(D|\theta, I) \propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2), \quad \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[D_i - \mu_i(\theta)]^2}{\sigma_i^2}$
evidence: $P(D|I) = \int [d\theta]P(D|\theta, I)P(\theta|I)$
— harder to calculate
— not needed for parameter estimation
— needed to compare models
Models: $\frac{P(M_1|D, I)}{P(M_2|D, I)} = \frac{P(M_1|I)}{P(M_2|I)} \times \frac{P(D|M_1, I)}{P(D|M_2, I)}$
the posterior PDF: $P(\theta|I) = \frac{P(M_1|I)}{P(M_2|I)} = \frac{P(M_1|I)}{P(M_2|I)} \times \frac{P(D|M_1, I)}{P(D|M_2, I)}$

Preliminary Results



Both models equally likely without phase shift input, model A much more likely with phase shift input.

 $\ln(Z_A) \approx -63 \pm 1$ $\ln(Z_B) \approx -67 \pm 1$

Evidence calculated using Nested Sampling

Skilling; Baysian Analysis 4, 833 (2006)



Elastic scattering of ${}^{3}\text{He} + \alpha$ with SONIK

~ 500 keV to 3 MeV

Spokespersons: Connolly, Davids, Greife



Reactions in lattice EFT

- Consider: $a(b, \gamma)c$; a(b, c)d
- Need effective "cluster" Hamiltonian acts in cluster coordinates, spins, etc.
- Reactions using cluster Hamiltonian traditional methods, continuum (halo/cluster) EFT, lattice EFT

Schematic of lattice Monte Carlo calculation

$$= M_{\rm LO} = M_{\rm approx} = O_{\rm observable}$$
$$= M_{\rm NLO} = M_{\rm NNLO}$$

Hybrid Monte Carlo sampling

$$Z_{n_t, \text{LO}} = \langle \psi_{\text{init}} | \boxed{(\psi_{\text{init}})} | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle$$

$$Z_{n_t, \text{LO}}^{\langle O \rangle} = \langle \psi_{\text{init}} | \boxed{(\psi_{\text{init}})} | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle$$

$$e^{-E_{0, \text{LO}}a_t} = \lim_{n_t \to \infty} Z_{n_t + 1, \text{LO}} / Z_{n_t, \text{LO}}$$

$$\langle O \rangle_{0, \text{LO}} = \lim_{n_t \to \infty} Z_{n_t, \text{LO}}^{\langle O \rangle} / Z_{n_t, \text{LO}}$$

Courtesy Dean Lee

Adiabatic Projection Method



Initial state $|\vec{R}\rangle$ Evolved state $|\vec{R}\rangle_{\tau} = e^{-\tau H} |\vec{R}\rangle$ $\tau \langle \vec{R'} | H | \vec{R} \rangle_{\tau}$ Energy measurements in cluster basis. Divide by norm matrix $[N_{\tau}]_{\vec{R},\vec{R'}} =_{\tau} \langle \vec{R} | \vec{R'} \rangle_{\tau}$

microscopic Hamiltonian: $L^{3(A-1)}$ cluster Hamiltonian: L^3

smaller in practice

Proof of concept: quartet neutrondeuteron

$$\mathcal{L}_I = -g(\psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}\psi_{\uparrow})(\psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}\psi_{\downarrow})$$



Rupak, Lee; PRL 111, 032502 (2013) Pine, Lee, Rupak; EPJA 49, 151 (2013)

$$T(p) = h(p) + \int dq K(p,q) T(q)$$
$$T(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip}$$



$p(n,\gamma)d$ in lattice EFT

Use retarded Green's function to evaluate $\langle \psi_B | O_{\rm EM} | \psi_i \rangle$



Coulomb interaction

• implement with spherical hard-wall



 $\psi_{\rm short}(r) \propto j_0(kr) \cot \delta_s - n_0(kr),$ $\psi_{\rm Coulomb}(r) \propto F_0(kr) \cot \delta_{sc} + G_0(kr)$

 — the lattice provides the momentum, which fixes the phase shift

We adjust wall size from free theory

$$j_0(k_0R_w) = 0$$

IR scale setting

Borasoy et al. 2007 Carlson et al. 1984

proton-proton fusion in lattice EFT



electro-weak operator similar to $np \rightarrow d\gamma$, get incoming Coulomb wave function correct



 $\Lambda_{EFT}(0) \approx 2.51$ Kong, Ravndal; NPA 656, 421 (1999) Lattice fit : $\Lambda(0) \approx 2.49 \pm 0.02$ Rupak, Ravi; PLB 741, 301 (2015)



alpha-alpha scattering



microscopic adiabatic Hamiltonian calculated to 16 fm, then extended to 120 fm box

Elhatisari, Lee, Rupak, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Luu, Meißner; Nature 528, 111 (2015)

pinhole algorithm

Calculates charge distribution in CM coordinates Importance sampling according to magnitude for $\rho_{i_1,j_1,\cdots,i_A,j_A}(\mathbf{n}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{n}_A) = : \rho_{i_1,j_1}(\mathbf{n}_1)\cdots\rho_{i_A,j_A}(\mathbf{n}_A) :$

$$\langle \Psi_f | M_*^{L'_t} M^{L_t/2} \rho_{i_1, j_1, \cdots, i_A, j_A}(\mathbf{n}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{n}_A) M^{L_t/2} M_*^{L'_t} | \Psi_i$$



Nuclear Lattice EFT Collaboration



Serdar Elhatisari (Bonn) Evgeny Epelbaum (Bochum) Hermann Krebs (Bochum) Timo Lähde (Jülich) Dean Lee (MSU) Ning Li (MSU) Bing-nan Lu (MSU) Thomas Luu (Jülich) Ulf-G. Meißner (Bonn)

Summary

- Halo/cluster EFT for nuclear astrophysics
- Adiabatic Projection Method for two cluster Hamiltonian
- Reactions with or without Coulomb in lattice EFT
- Pinhole algorithm
 - Magnetic moments
 - $^{12}\mathrm{C}(lpha,\gamma)^{16}\mathrm{O}$, etc.