

**Gleb Lukicov** 

# 

# Straw Tracker Alignment





g-2



- One of the primary aims of the tracker is to reconstruct the beam profile as a function of time.
- The tracking and reconstructed beam distribution are affected by any misalignment in the modules.





## **Survey Alignment**

# **UCL**

#### • The *survey alignment* of the trackers was undertaken.



Putting these offsets into the geometry results in a shift in the mean radial position of

- 350 µm for station 12
- 330 µm for station 18
- The track-based alignment
  - Improve upon the accuracy
  - Allow for movements of individual straws



Two tracker station in the Ring Simulation.



S18: Inside the vacuum chamber.



- Alignment is implemented using Millepede II, a least square minimiser.
- Inputs are:
  - residual between the track and the hit
  - the rate of change of residual with module position
  - the rate of change of residual with track parameters
- Alignment will be done to predict:
  - translations: radial (x) and vertical (y)
  - rotations: pitch and roll.



#### • Total of **8,192 alignment parameters** (individual straws)



#### μ g-2

#### **Toy Model**

- A standalone simulation was developed to understand the detector geometry.
- Straight tracks (no magnetic field), and no scattering effects (no detector material).



#### **Gleb Lukicov**

#### **Toy Model**

Misalignment X





X<sup>2</sup>: circle-fit



#### Before Alignment:

**g-2** 

from g-2

 $\chi^2$  and p-value distributions are indicative of poor detector alignment.

**Gleb Lukicov** 







#### After Alignment:

The predicted offsets from Millepede were added to the assumed geometry of the tracker, and re-fitting of the tracks was done.

#### **Gleb Lukicov**

g-2



## **Uniform Magnetic Field**

- Simulation with curved tracks in a uniform magnetic field.
- Using the official tracking chain.
- Using an iterative process (align, re-track, align again)





Selection of tracks going through 4 modules.

Truth misalignment (**red**) and Millipede II prediction (**purple**): for iteration 1 (+) and iteration 2 ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ).

V



## **Ring Simulation**



Moving to the full simulation incorporates the varying magnetic field in the tracker region (and other more realistic effects)

The following cuts on the selected tracks are used for the alignment to yield the best results:

- Track p-value < 0.005
- Tracks with number of hits < 11
- Tracks with any hit with Pz/P < 0.93</li>
- Hit DCA < 500 um





Pz/P plot for individual layers in Station 18.

Station 18 in the ring simulation.



• The magnetic field has a large gradient across the tracking detectors.



Expected field map vertically in the middle of a station. There are two regions: (1) near uniform field (*purple*) and (2) non-uniform region (*green*).

The mean value of the residuals for the two groups as a function of vertical position.



# **Ring Simulation**



Testing alignment framework with no input misalignment.



The mean of residuals per layer is consistent with zero with no misalignment.

*Truth misalignment (red) and Millipede II prediction (purple). Millipede correctly handles the case of no misalignment.* 



## **Ring Simulation**



In this scenario, the truth misalignment of Module 5 was +150  $\mu$ m radially (X).

Testing alignment framework with single module misaligned.



Truth misalignment (**red**) and Millipede II prediction (**purple**). Whether the difference ( $30 \mu m$ ) between truth and predicted misalignment can be improved is under investigation.

#### **Gleb Lukicov**





- Tracker alignment has been successfully demonstrated in MC with a uniform magnetic field with an accuracy better than 30um.
- The tests performed so far in the main ring simulation have performed well.
- Currently looking at moving all modules in multiple directions.
- The alignment parameters will be stored in the database and checked on a regular basis.
- The alignment will improve the beam profile and track reconstruction.