

Measurement of ω_a with g-2 experiment Stefano Di Falco, INFN Pisa for the ω_a european analysis group Muse collaboration meeting October 22, 2018

The muon anomaly and ω_a

- Muon anomaly is defined as: $a_{\mu} = \frac{g}{2} 1$ where $\mu = g \frac{e}{2m} S$,
- If a_µ≠ 0, the spin (s) of a muon travelling in a magnetic (B) and electric (E) field rotates with respect to its velocity (β). The spin projection on velocity vector varies according to:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\hat{\beta}\cdot\vec{s}) = -\frac{e}{mc}\cdot\left[\left(\frac{g}{2}-1\right)\hat{\beta}\times\vec{B} + \left(\frac{g\beta}{2}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\vec{E}\right]$$

• neglecting beam size and oscillations, assuming that all muons have a "magic" momentum $p_{magic}=3.01GeV/c$ perpendicular to B, the rotation frequency is given by:

$$\omega_a = \omega_\mu - \omega_c = a_\mu \frac{e}{m} B$$

• If the magnetic field is known ω_a measures the muon anomaly. In fact B is also obtained by measuring the rotation frequency of the momentum (ω_p) in the magnetic field.

The measurement of ω_a

- Positive (or negative) muons are stored in a ring in presence of a magnetic field where they decay to positrons (or electrons), neutrinos and antineutrinos. Positrons, e⁺ (or electrons, e⁻) can be detected by a set of calorimeters and trackers located around the ring.
- When e⁺ spin is parallel to μ⁺ spin, v's directions must be parallel and e⁺ energy in MRF is maximum.

When e^+ spin is antiparallel to μ^+ spin, vs are emitted back-to-back and e^+ energy in MRF is lower.

- Since it's more probable to have e⁺ with spin parallel to their velocity (h=+1) than the contrary, higher e⁺ energies are more probable when the e⁺ velocity is parallel to μ⁺ spin (θ=0).
- The directions of the e⁺ detected by a calorimeter are fixed, but μ^+ spin rotates: e⁺ spectrum changes with ω_a !





The wiggle plot



Methods to obtain ω_{a}

- **T-method (time)**: look at number of positrons above threshold
 - **Reconstruct single positron** events
 - Can use different event weights to enhance statistical significance



- **Q-method (charge)**: integrate all the charge, possibly with no (or minimal) threshold
 - No need to reconstruct single positron, avoid pileup and clustering
- **R-method (ratio)**: randomly split the dataset in 4 subsets. Shift the first by π/ω_a , the second by $-\pi/\omega_a$ and leave the other two unchanged. Call U(t) the sum of the first two and V(t) the some of the last two. $R(t) = \frac{V(t) - U(t)}{V(t) + U(t)} \quad \text{Is a sinusoide with frequency } \omega_{a}$ $Independent on \tau_{\mu}$

T method energy weighted to obtain ω_a

• T-method (time): look at number of positrons above threshold





- Each energy bin has its different phase, Asymmetry and sensitivity to ω_a
- Fitting each slice separately allows to use positrons down to 0.5 GeV

Systematics on ω_a

• The goal of the Fermilab experiment is to reduce the systematic error on ω_a from 180 to 70 ppb

Category	E821	E989 Improvement Plans	Goal	
	[ppb]		[ppb]	<u>Key element</u> :
Gain changes	120	Better laser calibration		a
		low-energy threshold	20	Laser
Pileup	80	Low-energy samples recorded		
		calorimeter segmentation	40	Calo + Laser
Lost muons	90	Better collimation in ring	20	Calo + Laser
CBO	70	Higher n value (frequency)		
		Better match of beamline to ring	< 30	Inflector + Beam
E and pitch	50	Improved tracker		
		Precise storage ring simulations	30	Tracker
Total	180	Quadrature sum	70	-

- Systematics effects can be reduced by:
 - Correcting the positron energy before fitting (gain, pileup)
 - Introducing additional terms in the fit function

Analysis groups

• 7 independent analysis groups using different *Reconstruction algorythms* and different *Fit methods*

Team	Reconstruction	Analysis
UKy	Q	Q
CU	East	T,E
Miss/UIUC	East	Т
Europa	West/Europa	T,E
UW	West	T,E
SJTU	West	Т
BU	West	R

• An european analysis, composed by italian and english researchers supported by Muse program, is exploiting its specific competences on *calorimeter laser calibration* (gain) and *tracker reconstruction* (muon beam profile) to reach the required control of systematic effects on ω_a .

Analysis is blind!

Two clocks blinded (40+ ε , 30+ δ) MHz Bias values in closed envelopes No one knows the correspondence between time ticks and ns In addition, also the ω_a fit parameter is differently blinded for each analysis group!





Locked Clock Panel



Gain stability correction

- SiPM gain shows a dependence from the time distance from the start of the spill, mainly due to saturation
- Analysis performed by laser group (all Muse members) has brought to the gain correction functions now inserted in official production

Gain

not negligible!



Energy vs Time

3000

2500

2000

1500

1000

Energy [MeV]

with gain reduction

no gain reduction

Long term gain stability correction

 Laser data are used to correct SiPM response for environmental instabilities: mostly temperature variations (but also pressure, humidity, ...)



Pile up correction

- Two clusters within ~ 4 ns in the same calorimeter can be merged
- Unphysical tail above positron end point
- Pile up probability is higher in the first part of the fill, then muons decay out



Pileup Correction

- Look at ~5ns window after each pulse
- If another pulse is present,
 E1&E2 into singles histogram,
 E1+E2 into doubles histogram
- N'(E, t) = N(E, t) D(E, t) + S(E, t)
 Triples not accounted for currently

Effect of pile up correction

- The number of unphysical events with
 E > 3.1 GeV decreases
 when pileup is
 accounted for
- Still some work to be done to remove them completely, but 1st pass is in and working
- Correction only applied from 30µs onwards determines our earliest start time



The 60h dataset: 5-parameter fit



 First analysis "challenge": 2.5 days of data acquired in stable conditions between April 24 and 26 (~10⁹ positrons, ~10% of Run1)



Fit starts at 30 μ s

Wiggle plot WITHOUT pileup correction: 5 parameters fit



5 hou

Wiggle plot WITH pileup correction: 5 parameters fit



No difference can be seen by eye...

Wiggle plot 5 parameters fit residuals: FFT



- You can see that the low frequency residual has been removed by the pileup correction
- Now let's remove the frequencies associated with the beam...

Beam oscillations

 The beam "oscillates" both radially and vertically, mostly due to the effect of the electrostatic quadrupoles



Coherent Betatron Oscillation (CBO)

- Each detector is only at one point around the ring so we sample the radial CBO at the cyclotron frequency (f_c)



- Beating effect: the frequency measured by any one detector is $f_{CBO} = f_{C} f_{x}$ (much smaller than both individual freqs)
- Similar effect in vertical direction

Wiggle plot 9 parameters fit residuals: FFT





Use the results from 5 parameter fit as initial guesses for 9 par fit

The peak at f_{CBO}~0.4 MHz has disappeared!

Wiggle plot 9 parameters+vCBO fit residuals: FFT



$$N(t) = N(t)_{9 \text{ par}} [1 - (\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{vCBO}}\right) A_{vCBO} \cos(\omega_{vCBO} t + \phi_{vCBO}))] \quad \tau_{vCBO} = \tau_{CBO} \frac{\omega_{CBO}}{\omega_{vCBO}}$$



Lifetime of vertical oscillation is fixed so that ratio of lifetime with radial oscillation lifetime is equal to the inverse of frequency ratio

Also the peak at $fV_{_{CBO}}$ ~2.3 MHz has disappeared!

Distorting muon life time: lost muons

• Decay is not the only mechanism by which muons are removed from the orbit



 Muons with r > 45mm wrt magic radius hit the collimators and bend (tipically) inward



• A correction to "wiggle function", $\Lambda(t)$, is needed to take into account these additional muon losses:

$$N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau} \cdot \Lambda(t) \cdot (1 + A \cos(\omega_a t + \varphi))$$

$$\therefore \quad \Lambda(t) = 1 - \frac{C}{N_0} \int_{t_0}^t L(t') e^{\frac{t'-t_0}{\tau} dt'} \quad \text{and } L$$

where:

and L(t) is the number of lost muons

Lost muons probability



- Look for double and triple coincident calorimeter events:
 - Double: $5 < \Delta t_{2,1} < 7.5$ and $\Delta E_{2,1} < 40$ MeV & 1 xtal hit in 1st calo
 - Triple: Double + 10 < $\Delta t_{3,1}$ < 15ns + $\Delta E_{3,1}$ < 40MeV + 1 xtal hit in 1st calo
- Below shows the L(t), L(t)exp(t/64.4) and the Integral
- The muon losss probability for double (L₂(t)) and triple (L₃(t)) coincidences have a different shape



Time Spectrum (Data) - coinc level 2



Time Spectrum (Data) - coinc level 3

Lost muons (LM) probability using tracker



- An independent way extracting L(t) is to use energy-momentum matching between trackers and calos as a function of time in fill
 - Tracker: 2.3 < p_{TRACKER} < 3.0 GeV + 130 < E_{CALO} < 220 MeV</p>
- Good agreement between shape of tracker and triples



Wiggle plot 12 parameters+LM fit residuals: FFT





Low frequency component reduced, χ^2 also improves

Varying CBO



The average radial position measured by the tracker changes with time: can be parametrized with 11 additional parameters



No additional improvement observed up to now

Results Summary



blinded on

					Diffued ω_a		
			V			V	
Fitting function	no pileup correction			wit	h pileup	correction	
	χ²/NDF	prob	R(ppm)	χ²/NDF	prob	R(ppm)	
5 par	2.24	~0	-43.5 +/- 1.41	1.97	~0	-44.4 +/- 1.40	
9 par	1.33	~0	-42.3 +/- 1.43	1.04	0.04	-43.1 +/- 1.41	
9 par + vertical CBO	1.27	~0	-42.3 +/- 1.41	0.99	0.69	-43.2 +/- 1.40	
9 par + vCBO + LM	1.13	~0	-42.5 +/- 1.41	0.99	0.70	-43.3 +/- 1.40	
varying CBO	1.13	~0	-43.1 +/- 1.41	0.98	0.78	-43.1 +/- 1.40	

blindod w

Work still in progress, statistical error is encouraging and is expected to improve when the energy weighted T analysis will be performed

BACKUP

Systematics on ω_a : phase shift



$$N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau} [1 + A_\mu \cos(\omega t + \phi)]$$

If the phase is time dependent ("early-to-late" effect)

$$\omega t + \phi = \omega t + \phi(t) = (\omega + \phi')t + \phi_0$$

Frequency shifted!

 since phase and amplitude are energy dependent, any effect that combines together different energies within the same fill can cause a "phase shift"

