



Evolution of accelerator timing: Mu2e \rightarrow Mu2e-II

Greg Rakness Mu2e-II workshop @ Northwestern (https://indico.fnal.gov/event/17536/) 29-30 Aug 2018 Docdb-19876

Charge

 "Prepare some slides on how the experiment's timing system design, function, and relation to the accelerator timeline might evolve for Mu2e-II" - Ryan

First, summarize what we evolve from (i.e., what we have for Mu2e)



Recall... Mu2e: macroscopic beam structure

• Sharing with NOvA defines macroscopic time structure



Figure 6: Macro-structure of the beam on the Mu2e proton target. For each spill the proton target will see a train of proton pulses lasting 43.1 msec followed by a 5 msec reset period with no beam. This is repeated eight times during each Main Injector cycle for a total beam on time of 380 msec. At the end of the eight spills beam to Mu2e is off for 1020 msec while the Recycler Ring is used for NOvA.

- 8 spills per Main Injector cycle
- Mu2e duty cycle (ON-spill/total) = (8 x 43.1ms) / 1.4s = 24.6%

🛠 Fermilab

Mu2e: microscopic beam structure and timing

Mu2e-doc-2771

🛠 Fermilab



- Microbunch timing defined by Delivery Ring RF = 2.36 MHz
- 8 GeV proton travel time around DR = 1695 ns (4x DR RF period)
- Microbunch "contained" within ~1/2 the 2.36 MHz period (212 ns)

Recall: Delivery Ring RF phase shifts prior to spill



Step 1. LINAC, then into 2. Booster

- 2.5 MHz and 2.36 MHz are not harmonics
 - This means Recycler Ring RF and Delivery Ring RF are not locked (asynchronous)
- Just prior to beam transfer into Delivery Ring, the DR RF must be phase shifted so that the bunch lands in the DR bucket
 - Timing of microbunches jumps before each spill
- After the bunch has been transferred into the Delivery Ring, the DR RF does not shift
 - During spill, microbunches nicely spaced by 1695 ns



Docdb-19095

Mu2e: some (possible) features of spill variations



- Spill start spike: beam halo extracted
- Spill start ripple: modulation on extraction of tails of the beam
- Spill slow ripple: ~1kHz
- Spikes fast induced modulations
- Spill end: beam phase space depleted, hard to regulate

Docdb-16190

Vladimir Nagaslaev

🔁 Fermilab

Mu2e timing architecture

- Mu2e has chosen a stable 40 MHz clock (system clock) from Clock Fan-Out (CFO) module
 - Mu2e system clock is not locked to the Delivery Ring clock → experiment and beam are asynchronous
- CFO receives electrical signal associated with microbunches (DR turn marker), which is used to tell us...
 - Microbunch timing with precision ~1 ns
 - Timing within spill

See docdb-19095 for details



Following slides highlight some features of PIP-II, mostly focused on timing for Mu2e-II

- Layout
- RF details
- Pulse timing
- Microbunch structure possibilities
- Expected variation pulse-to-pulse
- Macroscopic timing / duty factor
- Info in the following slides is pulled from Paul Derwent's talk at the Mu2e collaboration meeting March 2018 (docdb-16226), filled in by discussion with Paul 22 Aug 2018



Accelerator layout: direct shot LINAC → Mu2e-II



lah



10 29 Aug 2018 Greg Rakness I Mu2e-II workshop

PIP-II RF details

Time Structure for Mu2e

- Fundamental Time Structure set by 1st bunching device
 - 162.5 MHz Radio Frequency Quadrupole
 - 6.15385 nsec

162.5 MHz is a stable clock with no phase shifts, used to synchronize several RF cavities





Pulse timing



PIP-II delivers narrow pulses spaced by 6.15385 ns

A "chopper" has been developed to select which pulses to send to Mu2e

Timing of chopper matches 6.15385 ns: 1 ns ramp up, 4 ns ON, 1ns ramp down



Pulse-to-pulse variation



Pulses selected to send to Mu2e can be tuned as desired

PIP-II amplitude variation pulse-to-pulse likely less than 10%

Intensity per pulse driven by DUNE/LBNF program: limited by Booster RF power and time to ramp Booster RF



Example microbunch structure

- Recall: Mu2e microbunch = 4e7 protons in a ~250 ns wide pulse
- Mu2e-II microbunch = N pulses with spacing in units of 6.15 ns
 - Number of pulses and their spacing can be chosen/tuned depending on physics desires, target heating limitations, future operations of the complex, ...



🛠 Fermilab

Macroscopic timing / duty factor

PIP-II macro-time structure driven by DUNE/SBN:

20 Hz Booster program: DUNE/SBN

- 1.1% duty factor
- Mu2e-II can use other 98% duty factor
- 6.6e15 H⁻ / second
- 85 kW
- During 1 period (50 ms) of DUNE/SBN cycle
 - 1 ms: fill Booster, change LINAC settings for Mu2e-II
 - 48.5 ms: ramp up Booster, transfer beam out of Booster, ramp down Booster
 - While ramping Booster, beam available for Mu2e-II

🌫 Fermilab

- 0.5 ms: change LINAC settings for Booster
- Mu2e duty cycle = 48.5 / 50 = 97%

Summary of accelerator changes: Mu2e → Mu2e-II

- Duty factor
 - Mu2e : 29%
 - Mu2e-II: 97%
- Accelerator RF
 - Mu2e : 2.36 MHz (DR RF)
 - Mu2e-II: 162.5 MHz (6.15385 ns)
- Accelerator RF phase shift
 - Mu2e : yes (just prior to each spill)
 - Mu2e-II: no
- Microbunch structure
 - Mu2e : 3.9e7 protons in 250 ns wide pulse
 - Mu2e-II: N filled pulses spaced in 6.15ns steps (N and spacing tunable)
- Microbunch spacing
 - Mu2e : 1695 ns (4x DR RF period)
 - Mu2e-II: M empty pulses spaced in 6.15ns steps (M and spacing tunable)

First thoughts on TDAQ thoughts re: beam timing

- Given increase of duty cycle from 25% to 97%...
 - Pre-processing step with FPGA's? "Level-1+HLT" architecture?
 - When to collect cosmic rays?
 - When to perform calibrations?
- Given neither resonant extraction nor Delivery Ring...
 - Implications of smaller pulse-to-pulse variation? (no spill structure)
 - Work out new protocol to communicate "PIP-II beam to Mu2e" (i.e., replacement of DR turn marker)
- Given no phase shift of PIP-II RF...
 - Consider to lock Mu2e-II system clock to 162.5 MHz accelerator clock

🌫 Fermilab

- Given that PIP-II timing is based on pulses every 6.15 ns...
 - No action regarding structure within microbunch
 - Don't omit possibility to vary spacing between microbunches

Background slide

• Mu2e-II beam requirements, in prose



In prose, the expectations from Mu2e are...

- From Expression of Interest (arXiv:1802.02599):
 - "The proton pulses must be narrow, ideally < 100 ns base width... and ideally separated by a time which can be varied from 800 to 2000 ns. The microstructure of the beam (structure inside the < 100 ns-wide pulse) is not important... The fraction of the high frequency pulse train in the time line (macro dutyfactor) should be as high as possible; optimally greater than 90%. Additionally, the pulse train should have minimal pulse-topulse variation throughout the train, optimally less than 10%"
- ... and from 2018 presentation to FNAL PAC (docdb-18855):

• PIP-II capable of meeting these requirements

