Double parton scattering

M. Diehl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchroton DESY

QCD@LHC 2019 Buffalo, NY, 19 July 2019

HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES



Scope of this talk

- double parton scattering: general introduction and a selection of recent results
- focus on theory and phenomenology more on experiment: talk by M. Pieters, Thursday 16:30

For more information see e.g.

- most recent workshop on MPI@LHC: https://indico.cern.ch/event/736470
- Multiple parton interactions at the LHC eds. P. Bartalini and J. R. Gaunt, December 2018 individual chapters available on arXiv



Hadron-hadron collisions

standard description based on factorisation formulae

cross sect = parton distributions \times parton-level cross sect



• factorisation formulae are for inclusive cross sections $pp \rightarrow A + X$ where A = produced by parton-level scattering, specified in detail X = summed over, no questions asked

Hadron-hadron collisions

standard description based on factorisation formulae

cross sect = parton distributions \times parton-level cross sect



• factorisation formulae are for inclusive cross sections $pp \rightarrow A + X$ where A = produced by parton-level scattering, specified in detail X = summed over, no questions asked

spectator interactions

- · cancel in inclusive cross sections thanks to unitarity
- can be soft → part of underlying event
- or hard ~> multiple hard scattering

• double parton scattering $pp \rightarrow A_1 + A_2 + X$ with scales $Q_1, Q_2 \gg \Lambda$

- · have factorisation formula with double parton distributions
- if $Q_1 \gg Q_2 \gg \Lambda \quad \leadsto$ part of underlying event for $pp \to A_1 + X$

Single vs. double parton scattering (SPS vs. DPS)

 \blacktriangleright example: two e.w. gauge bosons with transverse momenta $m{q}_1$ and $m{q}_2$



single scattering:

$$|m{q}_1|$$
 and $|m{q}_2|\sim Q=Q_1=Q_2$
 $|m{q}_1+m{q}_2|\ll Q$



double scattering:

both $|\boldsymbol{q}_1|$ and $|\boldsymbol{q}_2| \ll Q$

• for transv. momenta $\sim \Lambda \ll Q$:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{SPS}}}{d^2\boldsymbol{q}_1\,d^2\boldsymbol{q}_2} \sim \frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{DPS}}}{d^2\boldsymbol{q}_1\,d^2\boldsymbol{q}_2} \sim \frac{1}{Q^4\Lambda^2}$$

but single scattering populates larger phase space :

$$\sigma_{\rm SPS} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2} \gg \sigma_{\rm DPS} \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^4}$$

Single vs. double parton scattering (SPS vs. DPS)

 \blacktriangleright example: two e.w. gauge bosons with transverse momenta $m{q}_1$ and $m{q}_2$



single scattering:

- $|oldsymbol{q}_1|$ and $|oldsymbol{q}_2|\sim Q=Q_1=Q_2$
- $|\boldsymbol{q}_1 + \boldsymbol{q}_2| \ll Q$



double scattering: both $|q_1|$ and $|q_2| \ll Q$

DPS contribution may matter for precision analyses, e.g.

- TGC measurements in W^+W^- production
- Higgs decays into $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4$ leptons

Four lepton production ATLAS, arXiv:1811.11094, PLB 790 (2019) 595

- ATLAS analysis using $20.2 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \, \text{TeV}$
- ▶ four leptons $\ell_1^+ \ell_1^- \ell_2^+ \ell_2^-$ with $\ell_{1,2} = e, \mu$
- ▶ event selection in invariant mass range $80 \, {\rm GeV} \le m_{4\ell} \le 1000 \, {\rm GeV}$ find 476 events



- neural network to discriminate between DPS and SPS
- DPS simulated by PYTHIA8
- no significant signal found upper limit on DPS fraction:

 $f_{\rm DPS} < 0.042~$ @ $95\%~\rm CL$

Like-sign W pairs



- with leptonic W decays get light-sign lepton pairs and missing E_T
- this is also a search channel for new physics (e.g. supersymmetry, top partners)
- several theory studies
 Kulesza, Stirling 1999; Gaunt, Kom, Kulesza, Stirling 2003;
 Ceccopieri, Rinaldi, Scopetta 2017; Cotogno, Kasemets, Myska 2018

Like-sign W pairs

- CMS analysis with $35.9 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \, \text{TeV}$
- two like-sign leptons $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ or $\mu^{\pm}e^{\pm}$
- event selection includes N_{jets} < 2 and N_{b-jets} = 0 find 2975 events with 2 leptons
- multivariate analysis with 11 variables shown in r.h.s. plots are
 - p_T of leading lepton
 - product $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2$ of lepton pseudorapidities

DPS simulated by PYTHIA8

🕨 obtain

$$\sigma_{\rm DPS}^{WW} = 1.09^{+0.50}_{-0.49} \,\rm pb$$

CMS-PAS-FSQ-16-009



analysis with $19.7 \,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ gave $\sigma_{\mathsf{DPS}}^{WW} < 1.12 \,\mathrm{pb}$ CMS-PAS-FSQ-13-001

DPS cross section: simple theory



$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{DPS}}^{A_1A_2}}{dx_1\,d\bar{x}_1\,dx_2\,d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{1}{1+\delta_{A_1A_2}}\,\hat{\sigma}_1\,\hat{\sigma}_2\int d^2\boldsymbol{y}\,F_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2,\boldsymbol{y})\,F_{\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2}(\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\boldsymbol{y})$$

 $\hat{\sigma}_i = \text{parton-level cross section for } a_i + \bar{a}_i \rightarrow A_i$ $F_{a_1a_2}(x_1, x_2, y) = \text{double parton distribution (DPD)}$ y = transv. distance between partons

- tree-level formula from Feynman graphs and kinematic approximations Paver, Treleani 1982, 1984; Mekhfi 1985, ..., MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011
- full factorisation proof for double Drell-Yan Vladimirov 2016, 2017; MD, Buffing, Gaunt, Kasemets, Nagar, Ostermeier, Plößl, Schäfer, Schönwald 2011–2018 requires modification of above formula (more later)

DPS cross section: simple theory



$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{DPS}}^{A_1A_2}}{dx_1\,dx_2\,dx_2\,dx_2} = \frac{1}{1+\delta_{A_1A_2}}\,\hat{\sigma}_1\,\hat{\sigma}_2\int d^2\boldsymbol{y}\,F_{a_1a_2}(x_1,x_2,\boldsymbol{y})\,F_{\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2}(\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\boldsymbol{y})$$

 $\hat{\sigma}_i = \text{parton-level cross section for } a_i + \bar{a}_i \rightarrow A_i$ $F_{a_1a_2}(x_1, x_2, y) = \text{double parton distribution (DPD)}$ y = transv. distance between partons

implest assumption $F_{a_1a_2}(x_1, x_2, y) = f_{a_1}(x_1) f_{a_2}(x_2) G(y)$ gives

$$\sigma_{\text{DPS}}^{A_1A_2} = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{A_1A_2}} \frac{\sigma_{\text{SPS}}^{A_1} \sigma_{\text{SPS}}^{A_2}}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}} \qquad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}} = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \; G(\boldsymbol{y})^2$$

- straightforward generalisation to N independent scatters underlies DPS and UE implementations in PYTHIA and Herwig with adjustments for conserving momentum and quark number
- may approximately work in some situations and entirely fail in others

DPS in heavy quark production

- ► generic source of DPS enhancement over SPS: small parton xapprox. $\sigma_{SPS} \propto f(x_1 + x_2) f(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2)$ vs. $\sigma_{DPS} \propto f(x_1) f(x_2) f(\bar{x}_1) f(\bar{x}_2)$
- ▶ DPS often enhanced at large |∆Y| between A₁ and A₂ in DPS: 2∆Y = log(x₁x̄₂)/(x̄₁x₂)
- ► several DPS measurements with heavy quarks at Tevatron and LHC: $J/\Psi J/\Psi$, DD, $J/\Psi D$, ΥD , ... review by Belyaev, Savrina 2017
 - small x and low hard scales enhance DPS
 - calculation of SPS tends to be difficult
- updated SPS calculations for double J/Ψ , including small-x effects

Lansberg, Shao, Yamanaka, Zhang 2019





Double parton scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{DPS}}}{dx_1 dx_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\sigma}_2}{1 + \delta_{A_1 A_2}} \int d^2 y \ F(x_1, x_2, y) \ F(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, y)$$

> DPDs include correlations between partons in x_1, x_2 and y

must also include DPDs for correlations in parton

• spin: e.g. difference between aligned and anti-aligned helicities $q_1^\uparrow q_2^\uparrow + q_1^\downarrow q_2^\downarrow - q_1^\uparrow q_2^\downarrow - q_1^\downarrow q_2^\uparrow$

colour: e.g. for quarks



colour of lines with momentum fraction x_i can be coupled to

singlet: δ^{jj'}δ^{kk'} as in ordinary PDFs
 octet: t^{jj'}_at^{kk'}_a not possible in PDFs

colour non-singlet combinations suppressed at high scales Q $$\rm Manohar, Waalewijn 2012$

relevance at moderate $Q\ (\rightarrow \text{ underlying event})$ not well studied



$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{DPS}}}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\sigma}_2}{1 + \delta_{A_1 A_2}} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \ F(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \ F(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y})$$

• for $|{m y}|\ll 1/\Lambda$ can compute $F(x_1,x_2,{m y})\sim {1\over {m y}^2}$ splitting fct. \otimes usual PDF

first results at NLO $(\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2))$ MD, Gaunt, Plößl, Schäfer 2019





$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm DPS}}{dx_1 \, d\bar{x}_1 \, dx_2 \, d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_1 \, \hat{\sigma}_2}{1 + \delta_{A_1 A_2}} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \; F(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \; F(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y})$$

• for $|m{y}| \ll 1/\Lambda$ can compute

$$F(x_1,x_2,oldsymbol{y})\sim rac{1}{oldsymbol{y}^2}$$
 splitting fct. \otimes usual PDF

gives UV divergent cross section $\propto \int d^2 y/y^4$ in fact, DPS formula only valid for $|y| \gg 1/Q$



$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm DPS}}{dx_1 dx_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\sigma}_2}{1 + \delta_{A_1 A_2}} \int d^2 \pmb{y} \; F(x_1, x_2, \pmb{y}) \, F(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \pmb{y})$$

• for
$$|m{y}| \ll 1/\Lambda$$
 can compute
 $F(x_1,x_2,m{y}) \sim rac{1}{m{y}^2}$ splitting fct. \otimes usual PDF





have double counting problem between DPS with splitting and SPS at high-loop level (twisted box graphs)



MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011; Gaunt, Stirling 2011; Gaunt 2012;
 Blok, Dokshitzer, Frankfurt, Strikman 2011; Ryskin, Snigirev 2011, 2012;
 Manohar, Waalewijn 2012; noticed by Cacciari, Salam, Sapeta 2009

Combining DPS with SPS



- ▶ how to delineate DPS and SPS is a matter of definition/scheme choice intuitively: large |y| ≫ 1/Q is DPS, small |y| ~ 1/Q is SPS
- scheme worked out in MD, Gaunt, Schönwald 2017
 - introduce cutoff $|\mathbf{y}| > 1/\nu$ in σ_{DPS} (or a similar cutoff) choose scale $\nu \sim Q = \min(Q_1, Q_2)$ to resum large logarithms in DPS
 - full cross section:

 $\sigma = \sigma_{\rm DPS} - \sigma_{\rm sub} + \sigma_{\rm SPS}$

double counting removed by σ_{sub}

 $= \sigma_{\text{DPS}}$ with F computed for small y in perturb. theory

- σ_{SPS} defined as usual \Rightarrow no new calculation needed
- ν dependence cancels between $\sigma_{\rm DPS}$ and $\sigma_{\rm sub}$

Combining DPS with SPS



- ▶ how to delineate DPS and SPS is a matter of definition/scheme choice intuitively: large |y| ≫ 1/Q is DPS, small |y| ~ 1/Q is SPS
- scheme worked out in MD, Gaunt, Schönwald 2017
 - introduce cutoff $|\mathbf{y}| > 1/\nu$ in σ_{DPS} (or a similar cutoff) choose scale $\nu \sim Q = \min(Q_1, Q_2)$ to resum large logarithms in DPS
 - full cross section:

 $\sigma = \sigma_{\rm DPS} - \sigma_{\rm sub} + \sigma_{\rm SPS}$

 use ν variation of σ_{DPS} as indicator: large variation ⇒ dominated by |y| ~ 1/Q ⇒ corresponding order of SPS is important small variation ⇒ dominated by |y| ≫ 1/Q ⇒ DPS in perturbative splitting or nonperturbative regime
 other proposed treatments: Blok, Dokshitzer, Frankfurt, Strikman 2012, 2013;

Ryskin, Snigirev 2011, 2012; Manohar, Waalewijn 2012

DGLAP evolution

define DPDs as matrix elements of renormalised twist-two operators:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_1, \mu_2) \sim \langle p | \mathcal{O}_1(\boldsymbol{0}; \mu_1) \mathcal{O}_2(\boldsymbol{y}; \mu_2) | p \rangle$$
$$f(x; \mu) \sim \langle p | \mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{0}; \mu) | p \rangle$$

 \Rightarrow separate DGLAP evolution for partons 1 and 2:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \log \mu_i^2} F(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_1, \mu_2) = P \underset{x_i}{\otimes} F \qquad \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

- > allows for different hard scales μ_1, μ_2 (esp. useful for underlying event)
- numerical implementation challenging (many variables and parton combinations a1, a2)
 - different evolution codes used in Gaunt, Stirling 2010 and later work and Elias, Golec-Biernat, Staśto 2017
 - ongoing work \rightarrow talk by R Nagar, Monday 16:55

A Monte Carlo implementation of the DGS framework

Advantages of MC parton shower implementation: exclusive final states, can implement arbitrary cuts

DGS framework implemented as dShower:

Cabouat, Gaunt, Ostrolenk, 2019

- Select kinematics of hard processes and parton separation y according to DGS DPS formula.
- Backward evolution from hard process using homogeneous double DGLAP equations:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{ISR} = d\mathcal{P}_{ij} \exp\left(-\int_{Q^2}^{Q_h^2} d\mathcal{P}_{ij}\right) \qquad d\mathcal{P}_{ij} = \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \left(\sum_{i'} \int_{x_1}^{1-x_2} \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \frac{\alpha_s(p_{\perp}^2)}{2\pi} P_{i' \to i}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1'}\right) \frac{F_{i'j}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}, Q^2)}{F_{ij}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}, Q^2)} + \sum_{j'} \int_{x_2}^{1-x_1} \frac{dx_2'}{x_2'} \frac{\alpha_s(p_{\perp}^2)}{2\pi} P_{j' \to j}\left(\frac{x_2}{x_2'}\right) \frac{F_{ij'}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}, Q^2)}{F_{ij}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}, Q^2)} \right)$$

'Guided' by some DPD set

▶ 2 → 1 'mergings' in backward evolution at scale $\mu_y \sim 1/y$, with probability given by splitting DPD/total DPD.

Some discussion of mergings ('joined interactions') given already in Sjöstrand, Skands, 2004, but here y-dependence of mergings taken into account.

A Monte Carlo implementation of the DGS framework

First numerical investigation:

- ► same-sign WW $pp \rightarrow W^+W^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu_e \mu^+\nu_\mu$
- 3 quark flavours

DPDs from DGS paper, with modifications to very approximately take account of number & momentum sum rule constraints Gaunt, Stirling, 2010, Blok, Dokshitzer, Frankfurt, Strikman, 2013, Ceccopieri,

2014, MD, Plößl, Schäfer, 2018



Like-sign W pairs again

- sensitive to longitudinal parton spin correlations (partons 1 and 2 with same or opposite helicities)
- phenomenological study: Cotogno, Kasemets, Myska 2018 including cuts and background estimates
- > assume maximal spin correlation at low scale $\mu_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$, then evolve



- no parton correlations \rightarrow symmetric distribution in product $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2$ of lepton rapidities
- estimate that significant asymmetry can be observed at HL-LHC

Parton correlations: a lattice study

- ► correlation function of two local currents $\langle p|J_1^{\mu}(0) J_2^{\nu}(y)|p\rangle$ can be computed in lattice QCD (for spacelike distance $y^2 < 0$)
- **•** is related with Mellin moment $(\int dx_1 dx_2)$ of DPDs

MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011

preliminary results from a 32³ × 96 lattice, m_π ≈ 360 MeV, a ≈ 0.086 fm plots from: C Zimmerman, talk at Lattice 2019 (indico link)

correlation functions A(y), B(y) related with Mellin moments of DPDs



currents renormalised at $\mu = 2 \, \mathrm{GeV}$

V: unpolarised, A: longitud. pol., T: transv. pol.

20

Recent results not covered here: a selection

electroweak channels

R. Kumar, M. Bansal, S. Bansal 2019: jet fragmentation for DPS in Z +dijets

heavy flavour production

H. S. Shao, Y. J. Zhang 2019: triple prompt J/Ψ production A. V. Karpishkov, M. A. Nefedov, V. A. Saleev 2019: ΥD production R. Maciula and A. Szczurek 2018: D^0B^+ and B^+B^+ production

double parton distributions

C. Mondal, A. Mukherjee, S. Nair 2019: DPDs in a positronium-like bound state
M. Rinaldi, F. A. Ceccopieri 2018: transverse proton structure from DPDs
M. Rinaldi, S. Scopetta, M. Traini, V. Vento 2018: DPDs in the pion
E. Elias, K. Golec-Biernat, A. M. Stasto 2018: unintegrated gluon DPD

proton-nucleus collisions

I. Helenius, H. Paukkunen 2019: double D-meson production

E. Huayra, E. G. De Oliveira, R. Pasechnik 2019: charm and bottom production

- M. Alvioli, M. Azarkin, B. Blok, M. Strikman 2019: minijet dynamics
- O. Fedkyevich, talk at MPI@LHC 2019: 4 jets and 3 jets + γ production

Summary

- double parton scattering important in specific kinematics/for specific processes including hard part of underlying event
- many measurements from LHC Run I, first results from Run II start being sensitive to rare DPS processes
- recent years: progress towards systematic description in QCD
- ► UV problem of DPS ↔ double counting with SPS → must define distinction between DPS and SPS
 - DGS scheme:
 - simple UV regulator for DPS (cutoff in distance y between partons)
 - simple subtraction term to avoid double counting
- ongoing work on a DPS parton shower (dShower)
- parton correlations can have observable effects if they are sufficiently large

Perturbative splitting in only one proton



- can have perturbative splitting in both protons (1v1), or just in one (2v1)
- dedicated studies of 2v1 mechanism find that numerical import in LHC processes

Blok et al 2011-13, Blok, Gunnellini 2015; Gaunt 2012

overlaps with contribution with one twist 2 and one twist 4 distribution is fully incorporated in DGS formalism