



EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF OCTUPOLE HENON-HEILES QUASI-INTEGRABLE SYSTEM (AND OTHER THINGS)

NIKITA KUKLEV

BUDKER SEMINAR FEB 25, 2019





- Introduction bio, and motivation for the work
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
- Discussion + Q/A



Outline



- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
- Discussion + Q/A





- Graduate student, physics, University of Chicago (year 5)
 Graduation next Spring
- BSc (2012), MSc (2014) in physics, University of Victoria
- Member of UChicago accelerator group, and Centre for Bright Beams
- Co-advisors:

Dr. Young-Kee Kim Dr. Alexander Valishev







• Key principle of accelerator design: linear focusing

$$H' \approx \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2} + \frac{K_x(s)x^2}{2} + \frac{K_y(s)y^2}{2}$$

- What about higher order terms?
 - Imperfections in magnet construction
 - Chromatic aberrations
 - Coulomb self-interaction inside beam, and with environment
 - Intentionally introduced multipole magnets (e.g. sextupoles to correct chromaticity)
- All are aberrations to the initially decoupled system of two linear oscillators





$x'' + K_x(s)x = S(s)x^2 + O(s)x^3 + \cdots$

- Nonlinearities result in dependence of oscillation frequency (tune) on amplitude
- Explicit time-dependence of multipoles produces resonances
- Coupling between x and y further complicates the dynamics
- Ultimately, chaos and loss of stability
 - Beam quality degradation (blow-up)
 - Particle loss
- Called single particle stability or Dynamical Aperture





- Another problem whole beam can become unstable if resonantly excited
 - Via external fields or self-interaction through environment
- Instabilities can be suppressed by
 - 1. External damping system most common solution
 - Landau damping intrinsic 'immune system', related to the spread of betatron oscillation frequencies.
 Larger spread = stronger suppression of collective instabilities
- Examples of Landau damping:
 - CERN PS: instabilities found in 1959, mitigated by octupoles
 - LHC: 336 octupoles @ 500A to create 0.001 tune spread





- So...nonlinearities:
 - Are intrinsic to charged particle beams, scale with brightness
 - Ruin beam quality and particle stability
 - And yet, must be introduced to maintain immunity to coherent instabilities through Landau damping
- Are there 'good' kinds of nonlinearities? YES





- Electron lenses in 1D (McMillan, 1967) and 2D (Perevedentsev and Danilov, 1990)
 - Require non-Laplacian potentials to realize (i.e. not magnets)
 - Implemented in Tevatron, RHIC, others...
- Danilov, Nagaitsev (2010) nonlinear lattice with 2 invariants that can be implemented with Laplacian potentials
 - Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 084002 (2010)





Recipe:

- Start with an axially-symmetric *linear* lattice (FOFO) with the element of periodicity consisting of
 - a. Drift L (equal β s) b. Axially-symmetric focusing block "T-insert" with phase advance n × π



Add special nonlinear potential V(x,y,s) in the drift





Briefly:

Start with a Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p_x^2}{2} + \frac{p_y^2}{2} + K(s)\left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}\right) + V(x, y, s)$$

 Choose s-dependence of nonlinear potential V such that H is time-independent in normalized variables

$$\begin{split} H_{N} &= \frac{p_{xN}^{2} + p_{yN}^{2}}{2} + \frac{x_{N}^{2} + y_{N}^{2}}{2} + \beta(\psi)V\left(x_{N}\sqrt{\beta(\psi)}, y_{N}\sqrt{\beta(\psi)}, s(\psi)\right) \quad z_{N} = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\beta(s)}}, \\ H_{N} &= \frac{p_{xN}^{2} + p_{yN}^{2}}{2} + \frac{x_{N}^{2} + y_{N}^{2}}{2} + U\left(x_{N}, y_{N}, \mathcal{Y}\right) \qquad p_{N} = p\sqrt{\beta(s)} - \frac{\beta'(s)z}{2\sqrt{\beta(s)}}, \end{split}$$

- Makes H an integral of motion under certain conditions
- No requirements on V can use any conventional magnet
 - But some better, i.e. octupoles $U = \kappa \left(\frac{x_N^4}{4} + \frac{y_N^4}{4} \frac{3y_N^2 x_N^2}{2} \right)$
- It is possible to derive another integral of motion (quadratic in momentum). See paper for details.

02/25/2019





- In summary, NIO:
 - Relies on carefully crafted lattice + non-linear magnets
 - Provides immunity to collective instabilities
 - Maintains large dynamic aperture = fewer particle losses
 - Easy to implement and cost effective
- Works great in simulations
- Want experimental verification my thesis project





- Given lattice requirements, a special ring is necessary
 - It was built as part of FNAL FAST facility, called The Integrable Optics Test Accelerator (IOTA)







- Has 2 nonlinear sections, and space for other experiments
- Satisfies the T-insert and other conditions







Nominal kinetic energy	e ⁻ : 150 MeV, p+: 2.5 MeV
Nominal intensity	e ⁻ : 1×10 ⁹ , p+: 1×10 ¹¹
Circumference	40 m
Bending dipole field	0.7 T
Beam pipe aperture	50 mm dia.
Maximum b-function (x,y)	12, 5 m
Momentum compaction	-0.02 ÷ 0.1
Betatron tune (integer)	3 ÷ 5
Betatron tune chromaticity	-15 ÷ 0
Transverse emittance r.m.s.	e ⁻ : 0.04 μm, p+: 2μm
SR damping time	0.6s (5×10 ⁶ turns)
RF V,f,q	e⁻: 1 kV, 30 MHz, 4
Synchrotron tune	e ⁻ : 2×10 ⁻⁴ ÷ 5×10 ⁻⁴
Bunch length, momentum spread	e⁻: 12 cm, 1.4×10 ⁻⁴
Beam lifetime	e ⁻ : 1 hour, p+: 1 min

02/25/2019





- Beam first circulated in August 2018
- Scientific program started in December 2018









- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
- Discussion + Q/A





- Good knowledge of beam parameters is critical to the research program
- Multiple development efforts:
 - Beam position monitors AD instrumentation group
 - Synchrotron light detectors (SyncLight) in house
- At IOTA energy and emittance, have the luxury of simple optical setup critical wavelength near visible light!
 - Cheap optics
 - Compact 1 lens layout
 - CCD detectors





Optical enclosure

Mirror

mount

Limit switch

Focusing lens Diaphragm + filters Water cooled magnet coils

Vacuum window

- Participated in many aspects of design and assembly
 - Synchrotron Radiation Workshop (SRW) optical simulations

Camera

Motor

Linear stage

Aluminum 80/20 frame

Emissio point

Horizontal Position [µm



- Solidworks/NX mechanical CAD
- Control electronics design
- Software development and integration
- Final assembly





Beam exit port

N. Kuklev | 2019 Budker Seminar





- Performance:
 - Resolution of O(10-100nm), depending on current
 - Near full rate (20-40FPS) acquisition, fitting and noise subtraction







- Dynamic range from mA down to single electron





A. Romanov





- It has proven to be a reliable tool for closed orbit measurements, and served as base for other experiments
- Current efforts focus on:
 - Functionality improvements
 - Increasing low light sensitivity
 - Turn-by-turn (TBT) data





Functionality improvements

- Experiments have different requirements for wavelength, polarization, and optics
 - Currently, stations physically reassembled for each case
 - Want to add standard ways to address this filter wheels, flipper mirrors, etc.
 - To save on cost, integrate with current stepper control
 - Requires control board redesign, in progress...





Increased low light sensitivity

- At very low currents, noise becomes limiting factor
 - Want to (more) reliably distinguish signals at ~few electron level
 - Our cameras are already almost as good as it gets for normal CCDs
- Solutions
 - Fancy CCDs deep depletion, etc = \$\$
 - Temperature control (lowers dark current) in progress
 - Intensified camera have one, but old and needs driver work





TBT data

- CCDs are not good for TBT data
 - -19μ s smallest exposure = 100's of turns
- Solution multi-anode PMTs
 - G. Stancari currently testing a 4x4 MCP-PMT procured by V. Shiltsev, with promising results
 - Found many 8x8 (R5900-00-M64) from MINOS, about to be decommissioned and available for free
- There is a wealth of info in TBT 2D profiles
 - Beta mode beatings
 - Single electron tracking







TBT data

- Challenge DAQ
 - Need >2.5GS/s, ~1GHz BW, 64 channel simultaneous acquisition
 - Care about cross-calibration and bit depth
 - Means \$\$\$\$\$\$
- Ideas:
 - Adapt boards from another detector system (LAPPD)
 - Smart channel mux (impact unclear)

– Pay 🛞









- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
- Discussion + Q/A



Outline



- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
 - Physics motivation
 - Design and assembly
 - Commissioning
 - Data collection
- Discussion + Q/A





 Lowest order multipole of D-N potential is a 'continuous' octupole

$$U = \kappa \left(\frac{x_N^4}{4} + \frac{y_N^4}{4} - \frac{3y_N^2 x_N^2}{2} \right)$$
$$H = \frac{1}{2} (p_x^2 + p_y^2) + \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + y^2) + \frac{k}{4} (x^4 + y^4 - 6x^2 y^2)$$



- A type of Henon-Heiles system
 First studied in context of star dynamics
- Single invariant, *H* (for limited number of initial conditions)

Octupole Henon-Heiles



- Preliminary simulations done by S. Antipov
- Showed promising results with an approximate potential
 - 20 slices, 0.3 phase advance = 0.08 tune spread



- Low requirements on field quality and alignment
 - 10% field error, or 0.5mm misalignment = 10% tune spread reduction
 - IOTA is expected to be significantly better on optics, and we can get away with cheaper alignment techniques
- Working on repeating simulations on updated lattice

S. Antipov, S. Nagaitsev, A. Valishev, "Single-Particle Dynamics in a Nonlinear Accelerator Lattice: Attaining a Large Tune Spread with Octupoles in IOTA", JINST 12 (2017) no.04, P04008.

02/25/2019





- My work experimental demonstration
- Goals:
 - Demonstrate the implementation of Octupole Henon-Heiles system (i.e the Hamiltonian, Poincaré surfaces of sections)
 - Measure significant tune spread consistent with theoretical predictions, without large losses in dynamic aperture
 - Study boundary layer behavior near separatrices and resonances (can we cross some without losing the beam?)



Outline



- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
 - Physics motivation
 - Design and assembly
 - Commissioning
 - Data collection
- Discussion + Q/A



Octupoles



• Nominal design - physical insert of 18 octupoles



N. Kuklev | 2019 Budker Seminar





- Initial manufacturing done in 2015, but several quality control and dimensional issues discovered later
- Required disassembly and re-machining of poles
- A long and costly process, done over fall of 2018











Octupole Henon-Heiles



- Why was assembly a problem?
 - Issues discovered last minute shorted coils, cracked epoxy, etc...
 - Required individual, manual refurbishment
 - ~5-8 hours per magnet







Octupoles



- Alignment:
 - Rough first pass with simple precision ground tube/by eye
 - Final alignment with BeCu wire, and laser
 - Goal <500um, think it was achieved



















Octupoles



• Final installation completed early January, with 17 octupoles





Outline



- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
 - Physics motivation
 - Design and assembly
 - Commissioning
 - Data collection
- Discussion + Q/A





• Commissioning goals:

Insert:

- Ensure that insert generates the desired field distribution
- And is centered relative to the reference orbit

IOTA:

- Verify correct optics in the ring (β , $Q_{x,y}$)
- All these can be accomplished with beam-based diagnostics
- (Took very well timed beam-based diagnostics USPAS class)





- For lattice optics:
 - LOCO and more LOCO (A. Romanov's tool 6dsim)
 - Dependent on accuracy of available BPMs and eliminating sources of drift/noise in power supplies
 - A continuing effort...



For centering, several approaches available:

- Rough alignment check pretend they are quads, do orbit response ('centering') measurements
 - Initial results identified a single outlier, oq15
 - Cause traced to intermittent ground fault, was cleared, but problem has returned later, still under investigation







- More systematic way: scan each oq with local bumps
- Off-center orbit will produce feed-down effects that can be detected







- Can consider two types of linear optics responses:
 - Dipole kicks
 - Quadrupole focusing
- $B_y = x^3 3 x y^2$
- $B_y = x^3 + 3 x_0 x^2 3 x y^2 3 x_0 y^2 3 x y_0^2 6 x y y_0 3 x_0 y_0^2 6 x_0 y y_0 + 3 x_0^2 x + x_0^3$
 - For example: horizontal orbit scan gives linear + cubic kick

• NB: if coils counts are asymmetric, that will produce current dependent feed-down too!



02/25/2019

Octupole Henon-Heiles



- Dipole field affects closed orbit
 - Can detect with SyncLight and BPMs in orbit mode
 - Main source of uncertainty slow system drift (second-minute scale)
 - Luckily, drifts mostly in horizontal plane
 - O(30nm) in X, O(2nm) in Y

- Quadrupole component affects tunes, only seen during kicks
 - Detectable with BPMs in TBT mode
 - Relies on tune calculation accuracy, and hence BPM TBT noise
 - ~100um accuracy, current dependent below 1mA





- Decided to do closed orbit (dipole) scans first
- Bumps computed with 6dsim (i.e. 6D closed orbit)
 - (NB for horizontal bump due to dispersion)







- Data collection via Python->Java->ACNET pipeline
 - Loop: change correctors-change octupole-collect X/Y/sX/sY
- Spent A LOT of time fighting ACNET and various bugs

---DOING OFFSET: (-1, -1) N:IVA2LI shift is 0.15 A (abs: 0.231634521484375 A) N:IVB1LI shift is 0.304 A (abs: 0.560500244140625 A) N:IV2LI shift is 0.462 A (abs: 0.77602587890625 A) N:IV2LI shift is 0.104 A (abs: 0.367214111328125 A) {'N:IVA2LI': 0.231634521484375, 'N:IVB1LI': 0.560500244140625, 'N:IVB2LI': 0.77602587890625, 'N:IVC1LI': 0.36721411328125} -Setting devices SET - N:IVA2LI -> 0.231634521484375...0K SET - N:IVB1LI -> 0.560500244140625...0K SET - N:IVB2LI -> 0.77602587890625...0K

• Now, working reliably but needs extensive (>1hr) beam time





- Preliminary data acquired during last two weeks
- Indicates generally good agreement between octupoles, except #15



02/25/2019

N. Kuklev | 2019 Budker Seminar





Normalizing helps see the good inter-camera agreement







- Other 'scan' direction can determine X offset
- Working on reliable quantification



OQ16

OQ15





- Questions to answer:
 - What is the resolution of these scans?
 Simulations are not likely to be useful due many systematics
 Also, depends on bump accuracy
 -> Hope to answer once we have full 17 octupole scan
 - Will tune scans be better?
 -> Plan to do some this week!
 - What can we do to improve the performance?
 Implement local bump that 'threads' the centers
 Rebalance octupole strengths to best preserve the invariant



Outline



- Introduction
- Projects in detail:
 - Synchrotron radiation diagnostics
 - Octupole Henon-Heiles System
 - Physics motivation
 - Design and assembly
 - Commissioning
 - Data collection
- Discussion + Q/A





- Several early attempts to measure tune shifts
- Procedure:
 - Do vertical or combined (H/V) kicks with insert at -2A/0/+2A
 - Acquire TBT BPM data, do NAFF (Numerical Analysis of Fundamental Frequencies) tune fitting
 - Repeat for various kick magnitudes
 - (optionally) Monitor beam lifetime and compare max kick amplitudes to measure dynamic aperture reduction





Disclaimer: this is VERY preliminary data

• Raw BPM outputs (2mA current, 0.5kV V kick, 2kV H kick):



• Note decoherence in ~300 turns due to large chromaticity

Octupole Henon-Heiles

Disclaimer: this is VERY preliminary data

- Detrend, normalize, apply NAFF
- Extract top harmonics
- Ex: Qy = 0.30302 Qx = 0.29774
- Very simplistic approach, need to add envelope function





V





Disclaimer: this is VERY preliminary data

- Preprocessing data to decompose modes can help things
- Using same tool as for Tevatron (by A. Petrenko)
 - But also developing ways to integrate methods into own processing pipeline







Disclaimer: this is VERY preliminary data

- Comparing frequencies between on/off cases can demonstrate tune shift trends
- Latest data gives -1/2 slope (expect a bit different, Ax != 0)
 - $\Delta Qy = +0.007$ $\Delta Qx = -0.014$ Tune spread magnitude is lower than last week.... Likely due to optics changes, investigating this week

N. Kuklev | 2019 Budker Seminar

0.2

0.2

Qx

0.35





- Questions to answer:
 - What is the best experimental working point?
 We can slide up/down Qx=Qy easily, but are limited by ¼ resonances
 - How can we improve measurement accuracy?
 Determine correct envelope function, combine H/V data (if coupled)
 BPM improvements? (Like done earlier today)



Conclusion



- Progress has been made on a number of projects
- SyncLight
 - System commissioned and used extensively during this run
 - Many upgrades in the pipeline to extend dynamic range and provide TBT data
- Octupole Quasi-Integrable System
 - Insert finished, assembled, and installed into the ring
 - Commissioning ongoing, with simultaneous first studies
 - Data promising, will now pursue maximizing tune spread
- Other things
 - Open sourcing our tools though tech transfer office
 - Learning to operate and control the machine







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

