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## Introduction



- We revisit the initial state corrections to $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation to a neutral vector boson.
- This corrections are important for the prediction of the $Z$-boson peak and for $t \bar{t}$ production at LEP, ILC and FCC-ee, and at Higgs factories through $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z^{*} H^{0}$.


## Theory of Initial State Radiation

We look at the process:

$$
e^{-}+e^{+} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} / Z^{*} \rightarrow f^{-}+f^{+}
$$

with the invariants

$$
\left(p_{-}+p_{+}\right)^{2}=s, \quad p_{-}^{2}=p_{+}^{2}=m_{e}^{2}, \quad q^{2}=s^{\prime}
$$

The initial state radiation (ISR) of $n$ particles can be described according to the Drell-Yan mechanism

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma^{0}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{4 s} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} q \delta^{+}\left(q^{2}-s^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3 n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} k_{i} \delta^{+}\left(k_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}\right) \delta^{(4)}\left(p_{-}+p_{+}-q-K\right)\left|T^{(n)}\right|^{2}
$$

where $\sigma^{0}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ describes the leading order process and $T^{(n)}$ the matrix element of the ISR process.

## The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ Corrections

- The first radiative corrections come from the process

$$
e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} / Z^{*}+\gamma
$$

- To stay in $d=4$, we can split the contributions into hard, soft and virtual photons.
- The hard part is characterized by demanding $k^{0}>\frac{\sqrt{s} \Delta}{2}$.
- The soft and virtual parts of the cross section have to be made infrared finite by introducing a small photon mass $\lambda$.
- The cross section is then given by

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{(1), l}}{d s^{\prime}}=\frac{d \sigma^{(0)}}{s}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)\left[\delta(1-z)\left(\delta_{1}^{S_{1}}(\lambda, \Delta)+\delta_{1}^{V_{1}}(\lambda)\right)+\theta(1-z-\Delta) \delta_{1}^{H_{1}}(z)\right]
$$

- The result is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma^{(1), I}}{d s^{\prime}} & =\frac{d \sigma^{(0)}}{s} \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[\delta(1-z)\left(-2+\frac{3}{2} L+2 \zeta_{2}+2(L-1) \ln (\Delta)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta(1-z-\Delta) \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}(L-1)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $L=\ln \left(s / m_{e}^{2}\right)$.

## Why is it a 'revisit'?

ISR corrections have been calculated up to $O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ in the asymptotic limit $m_{e}^{2} / s \ll 1$ with two different techniques:

1. Berends, Burgers, van Neerven (Nucl. Phys. B297 (1988))

- Full calculation with massive electrons in the limit $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ calculation in $d=4$ with soft-hard separation, including soft and virtual photons, hard bremsstrahlung, as well as fermion pair production.
- Expansion in $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ on integrand level (no details given).


## Why is it a 'revisit'?

ISR corrections have been calculated up to $O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ in the asymptotic limit $m_{e}^{2} / s \ll 1$ with two different techniques:

1. Berends, Burgers, van Neerven (Nucl. Phys. B297 (1988))
$\checkmark$ Full calculation with massive electrons in the limit $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ calculation in $d=4$ with soft-hard separation, including soft and virtual photons, hard bremsstrahlung, as well as fermion pair production.

- Expansion in $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ on integrand level (no details given).

2. Blümlein, De Freitas, van Neerven (Nucl. Phys. B855 (2012))
$\checkmark$ Direct calculation of the asymptotic limit $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ using massive light-cone operator matrix elements.

- The technique is based on asymptotic factorization.

Buza, Matiounine, Smith, Migneron, van Neerven (Nucl.Phys. B472 (1996))

- It was already used in Berends et al, but only for the logarithmically enhanced terms, claiming it works only at that level.


## Factorization in the Asymptotic Region

In the asymptotic region $m_{e}^{2} \ll s$ the cross section factorizes

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{i j}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{\mathrm{d} s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s} \sum_{l, k} \Gamma_{l, i}\left(z, \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}}\right) \otimes \tilde{\sigma}_{l k}\left(z, \frac{s^{\prime}}{\mu^{2}}\right) \otimes \Gamma_{k, j}\left(z, \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}}\right)
$$

into

- massless cross sections $\tilde{\sigma}_{i j}\left(z, \frac{s^{\prime}}{\mu^{2}}\right)$

Hamberg, van Neerven, Matsuura (Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991))
Harlander, Kilgore (Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002))

- massive operator matrix elements $\Gamma_{i j}\left(z, \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}}\right)$, which carry all mass dependence Blümlein, De Freitas, van Neerven (Nucl.Phys. B855 (2012))
$\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is the Born cross section and the Mellin convolution $\otimes$ is given by

$$
f(z) \otimes g(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} z_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} z_{2} f\left(z_{1}\right) g\left(z_{2}\right) \delta\left(z-z_{1} z_{2}\right)
$$

## Factorization in the Asymptotic Region


$\not \Delta(\Delta \cdot p)^{N-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{e^{+} e^{+}} & =\Gamma_{e^{-} e^{-}}=\langle e| O_{F}^{N S, S}|e\rangle, & & O_{F ; \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{N}}^{N S, S}=i^{N-1} \mathrm{~S}\left[\bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu_{1}} D_{\mu_{2}} \ldots D_{\mu_{N}} \psi\right]-\text { traces }, \\
\Gamma_{e^{+} \gamma} & =\Gamma_{e^{-} \gamma}=\langle\gamma| O_{F}^{S}|\gamma\rangle, & & O_{V ; \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{N}}^{S}=2 i^{N-2} \mathrm{~S}\left[F_{\mu_{1} \alpha} D_{\mu_{2}} \ldots D_{\mu_{N-1}} F_{\mu_{N}}^{\alpha}\right]-\operatorname{tr} \\
\Gamma_{\gamma e^{+}} & =\Gamma_{\gamma e^{-}}=\langle e| O_{V}^{S}|e\rangle, & &
\end{aligned}
$$



- The technique has been used to derive deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) structure functions in the asymptotic limit $Q^{2} \gg m^{2}$ up to $O\left(\alpha_{s}^{3}\right)$.
- In the context of DIS proven to work at $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ in the
- non-singlet process

Buza, Matiounine, Smith, van Neerven (Nucl.Phys. B485 (1997))
Blümlein, Falcioni, De Freitas (Nucl.Phys. B910 (2016))

- pure-singlet process

Blümlein, De Freitas, Raab, Schönwald (Nucl.Phys. B945 (2019))
through analytic calculations.

## Factorization in the Asymptotic Region

The comparison between both calculations shows:

- the one-loop, i.e. $O(\alpha)$, results agree between both calculations
- the logarithmically enhanced terms at two-loops $\left(O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)\right)$ agree between both calculations
- the constant terms do not agree
$\Rightarrow$ breakdown of asymptotic factorization or calculation errors?
- In Berends et al the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ corrections have been split up into four distinct processes:
- Process I, photon radiation
- Process II, non-singlet fermion pair production
- Process III, pure-singlet fermion pair production
- Process IV, interference between non-singlet and pure-singlet fermion pair production
- In the calculation of Blümlein et al (Nucl. Phys. B855 (2012)) process I and IV are combined owed to the nature of the OMEs.
- In the following we will present the calculation of fermion pair production, i.e. processes II-IV .


## Recalculation

## Our Approach to the recalculation:

- Full integration over the phase space in $d=4$, i.e. no a-priori expansion in the electron mass.
- The phase space can be parametrized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d \mathrm{PS}_{3}= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{6}} \int d^{4} q \int d^{4} k_{-} \int d^{4} k_{+}\left\{\delta\left(q^{2}-s^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(k_{-}^{2}-m^{2}\right) \delta\left(k_{+}^{2}-m^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \delta^{(4)}\left(p_{-}+p_{+}-q-k_{-}-k_{+}\right)\left|T^{(2)}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{2 \pi s} \int d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{s_{3}^{-}}^{s_{3}^{+}} d s_{3} \int_{-1}^{1} d \cos (\theta) \int_{0}^{\pi} d \phi\left|T^{(2)}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

with the phase space boundaries

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 m^{2} \leq s^{\prime \prime} \leq\left(\sqrt{s}-\sqrt{s^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \\
s_{3}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s+s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}+2 m^{2} \pm \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m^{2}}{s^{\prime \prime}}} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\lambda\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)=s^{2}+s^{\prime 2}+s^{\prime \prime 2}-2 s s^{\prime}-2 s s^{\prime \prime}-2 s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}$.

## Recalculation

Our Approach to the recalculation:

- Full integration over the phase space in $d=4$, i.e. no a-priori expansion in the electron mass.
- Through partial fractioning, the angular integrals can be mapped to the form

$$
I_{I, k}=\int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{\pi} d \phi \frac{\sin (\theta)}{[a+b \cos (\theta)]^{\prime}} \frac{1}{[A+B \cos (\theta)+C \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)]^{k}}
$$

- All relevant integrals can be found in Beenakker et al., Phys. Rev. D40 (1989), but have been recalculated for the current calculation.
- For example one finds:

$$
\iota_{1,2}=\frac{2 \pi\left(a\left(B^{2}+C^{2}\right)-b A B\right)}{\left(A^{2}-B^{2}-C^{2}\right) X}+\frac{b(b A-a B) \pi}{X^{3 / 2}} \ln \left(\frac{a A-b B+\sqrt{X}}{a A-b B-\sqrt{X}}\right)
$$

with $X=(a A-b B)^{2}-\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(A^{2}-B^{2}-C^{2}\right)$

- After rationalizing the appearing square root, one can integrate the first invariant with standard techniques.


## Recalculation

Our Approach to the recalculation:

- Full integration over the phase space in $d=4$, i.e. no a-priori expansion in the electron mass.
- Three out of four integrations can be performed using standard techniques.
- The integrand of the last integral contains rational, logarithmic and polylogarithmic expressions with involved argument structures.
$\Rightarrow$ The last integration is performed in terms of iterated integrals after determining the minimal set of contributing letters.


## Iterated Integrals

- Iterated integrals can be recursively defined according to

$$
\mathrm{H}_{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} d t f_{w_{n}}(t) \mathrm{H}_{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n-1}}(x)
$$

- The letters $w_{i}$ can in general be any function of $t$ so that the integral on the right hand side is defined.
- The Kummer-Poincare (Goncharov) polylogarithms are defined by linear letters

$$
f_{w_{\mathrm{a}}}(t)=\frac{1}{t-a}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}
$$

a special case are the harmonic polylogarithms Remiddi, Vermaseren (Int.J.Mod.Phys A15 (2000))

$$
f_{0}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, \quad \quad f_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{1-t}, \quad \quad f_{-1}(t)=\frac{1}{1+t} .
$$

- The letters can also contain square roots and dependence on external kinematic variables.
Ablinger, Blümlein, Raab, Schneider (J.Math.Phys. 55 (2014))
- Iterated integrals are solutions to differential equation which factorize into first order terms.


## Recalculation

- For the current calculation we also have to introduce the modified iterated integral

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}}(x)=\int_{x}^{1} d t f_{w_{n}}(t) \mathrm{H}_{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n-1}}(x)
$$

- We want to use iterated integrals so we can work in a differential field.
- The steps to transform the last integrand to iterated integrals include:
- Express all logarithms and polylogarithms in terms of iterated integrals evaluated at the last integration variable through linear differential equations.
- Find relations between the occurring letters and square roots to get rid of redundancies.
- Compactify the integrand expressed in terms of iterated integrals as far as possible.
$\rightarrow$ Since we express everything in linearly independent quantities, the complexity of the last integral can be drastically reduced in this step.
- Some integrands took up $\mathcal{O}(1 \mathrm{Mb})$ of disk space and the integration into iterated integrals needed $\mathcal{O}(1$ month $)$.
- In total we need 37 letters to express the contributions due to fermion pair production.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 t} \sqrt{16 t^{2}-8(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2}}} \\
& v_{2}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-4 t} \sqrt{16 t^{2}-8(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2}}} \\
& v_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 t}(4 t-(1+x)) \sqrt{16 t^{2}-8(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2}}} \\
& v_{4}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-t}}, \\
& d_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{2}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{3}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{4}=\frac{1}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}} \\
& d_{5}=\frac{t}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}} \\
& d_{6}=\frac{1}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{7}=\frac{t}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{8}=\frac{1-z}{(4 \rho-(1-z) t) \sqrt{1-t}}, \\
& d_{9}=\frac{1}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+4(z-2 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t}}, \\
& d_{10}=\frac{t}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+4(z-2 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t}} \\
& d_{11}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{12}=\frac{1}{16 \rho^{2}+4(z-2 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}, \\
& d_{13}=\frac{t}{16 \rho^{2}+4(z-2 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}, \\
& d_{14}=\frac{1}{t(1-z)-4 \rho} \text {, } \\
& d_{15}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}(t(1-z)-4 \rho)}, \\
& d_{16}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)} \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{17}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}(t(1-z)-4 \rho) \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$d_{19}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}(t(1-z)-4 \rho) \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{20}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{21}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$
$d_{22}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t 1-z)^{2}-1\left(p^{2}\right.} \sqrt{t^{2}(-z)}}$
$d_{22}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{23}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right)}$,
$d_{24}=\frac{1}{\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{25}=\frac{t}{\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{26}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{27}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{2 s}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{t(-1+z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{29}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right)}$,
$d_{30}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{31}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{32}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$,
$d_{33}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{2}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{3}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{4}=\frac{1}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{5}=\frac{t}{\left(16 \rho^{2}+(4 z-8 \rho(1+z)) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{16 \rho^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+(1-z)^{2} t^{2}}}, \\
& d_{16}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)} \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{17}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}(t(1-z)-4 \rho) \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{21}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{22}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{26}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{27}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{28}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{t(-1+z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{30}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{31}=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t(1-z)^{2}-16 \rho^{2}}\left(t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho(1+z) t+4 t z+16 \rho^{2}\right) \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{32}=\frac{1}{t \sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}}, \\
& d_{33}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t} \sqrt{t^{2}(1-z)^{2}-8 \rho t(1+z)+16 \rho^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- 16 of these letters introduce elliptic structures, since multiple square roots cannot be rationalized at once.
- In total we need 37 letters to express the contributions due to fermion pair production.
- The analytic results can be expanded in the electron mass.
- For the expansion one has to be careful not to simply expand the integrand of the iterated integral, since the integration boundary also depends on the mass.
- For the expansion we have a two stage procedure:

1. Expand the integrand in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$. This term serves as a subtraction term.
2. Map the intgeration boundaries of the difference between the original integrand and the subtraction term to $(0,1)$ and again expand in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, this will lead to a non-vanishing contribution.

- The result is validated through numerical integration to a high accuracy.


## The Non-Singlet Case



- The two diagrams given above are contributing to the non-singlet process.
- The electron pair in the final state completely factorizes from the phase space integration.
- This property allows to derive a compact one dimensional integral representation.


## The Non-Singlet Case

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d \sigma^{(2), \mathrm{II}}(z, \rho)}{d s^{\prime}} & =\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s} a^{2}\left\{\frac{64}{3} z(1-z)(1+z-4 \rho) \overline{\mathrm{H}}_{v_{4}, d_{7}}+\frac{256}{3} z \rho(1+z-4 \rho) \overline{\mathrm{H}}_{v_{4}, d_{6}}\right. \\
& +\frac{128 z\left(1-4 \rho^{2}\right)(1-z+2 \rho)(1-z-4 \rho)}{3(1-z)^{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{8}, d_{7}} \\
& +\frac{512 z \rho\left(1-4 \rho^{2}\right)(1-z+2 \rho)(1-z-4 \rho)}{3(1-z)^{3}} \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{8}, d_{6}} \\
& +\frac{16}{9(1-z)^{2}}\left[(1+z)^{2}\left(4-9 z+4 z^{2}\right)+2\left(9-16 z+13 z^{2}-2 z^{3}\right) \rho+32 \rho^{2}\right] \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{2}} \\
& +\frac{512 z \rho}{9(1-z)^{4}}\left[3(1-z)^{4} z-(1-z)^{3}\left(4+z^{2}\right) \rho-2\left(9-29 z+38 z^{2}-17 z^{3}+3 z^{4}\right) \rho^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-4(2-z)\left(3+6 z-5 z^{2}\right) \rho^{3}+16\left(7-8 z+9 z^{2}\right) \rho^{4}+128(3-z) \rho^{5}\right] \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{4}} \\
& -\frac{16}{9(1-z)^{4}}\left[3-34 z+129 z^{2}-212 z^{3}+129 z^{4}-34 z^{5}+3 z^{6}+8\left(2-16 z+9 z^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4 z^{3}-5 z^{4}+2 z^{5}\right) \rho+16 z\left(12-13 z+18 z^{2}-z^{3}\right) \rho^{2}+32\left(1+22 z-7 z^{2}\right) \rho^{3}\right] \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{1}} \\
& -\frac{128 z}{9(1-z)^{4}}\left[1+7 z-47 z^{2}+86 z^{3}-47 z^{4}+7 z^{5}+z^{6}-2\left(7-55 z+54 z^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+16 z^{3}-17 z^{4}+3 z^{5}\right) \rho-4\left(39-16 z+16 z^{2}+4 z^{3}+5 z^{4}\right) \rho^{2} \\
& \left.+16\left(8-23 z+22 z^{2}+9 z^{3}\right) \rho^{3}+128\left(7+2 z-z^{2}\right) \rho^{4}\right] \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{5}}-\frac{64}{3}(2 z+(1-z) \rho) \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{3}} \\
& +\left[\frac{16}{3 \sqrt{1-4 \rho}}(1+z-4 \rho) \tilde{\mathrm{H}}\right. \\
v_{4}
\end{array}+\frac{\left.32\left(1-4 \rho^{2}\right)(1-z+2 \rho)(1-z-4 \rho) \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{d_{8}}\right]}{3(1-z)^{3} \sqrt{1-4 \rho}}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \times \ln \left(\frac{1-z-4 \rho-\sqrt{1-4 \rho} \sqrt{(1-z)^{2}-8(1+z) \rho+16 \rho^{2}}}{\left.\left.1-z-4 \rho+\sqrt{1-4 \rho} \sqrt{(1-z)^{2}-8(1+z) \rho+16 \rho^{2}}\right)\right\}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Non-Singlet Case

- The explicit expansion of the analytical result in the limit $m^{2} \ll s$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma^{(2), I I}(z)}{d s^{\prime}} & =\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left\{\frac{8}{3} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} L^{2}-\left[\frac{16}{9} \frac{11-12 z+11 z^{2}}{1-z}-\frac{16}{3} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{32}{3} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right] L+\frac{32}{9(1-z)^{3}}\left(7-13 z+8 z^{2}-13 z^{3}+7 z^{4}\right) \\
& -\frac{16 z}{9(1-z)^{4}}\left(3-36 z+94 z^{2}-72 z^{3}+19 z^{4}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0}-\frac{8 z^{2}}{3(1-z)} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{32}{9} \frac{11-12 z+11 z^{2}}{1-z}+\frac{16}{3} \frac{2+z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right) \mathrm{H}_{1}+\frac{32}{3} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}+\frac{16 z^{2}}{3(1-z)} \mathrm{H}_{0,1} \\
& \left.-\frac{16\left(2+3 z^{2}\right)}{3(1-z)} \zeta_{2}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{s} L^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with H the harmonic polylogarithms evaluated at argument $z$.

- The result contains higher denominator powers which have not been obtained by Berends et al.
- The result is in disagreement with Berends et al but agrees with the result from Bümlein et al using massive OMEs.
- Where does this disagreement come from?


## The Non-Singlet Case

- The disagreement can be traced back to the neglection of initial state masses.
- The non-singlet phase space for particles with different masses in the initial and final state is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma^{(2), I I}}{d s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma^{0}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s} a^{2} \int_{4 m_{f}^{2}}^{s(1-\sqrt{z})^{2}} d s^{\prime \prime} \frac{16}{3 s s^{\prime \prime} 2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{f}^{2}}{s^{\prime \prime}}}\left(2 m_{f}^{2}+s^{\prime \prime}\right)\{ \\
& -\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)\left[2 s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}+m_{i}^{2}\left(s^{2}+\left(s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right)+4 s m_{i}^{4}\right]}{s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}+m_{i}^{2}\left(s^{2}+\left(s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-2 s\left(s^{\prime}+s^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)} \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(s^{\prime}+s^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+4 m_{i}^{2}\left(s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right)+s^{2}-8 m_{i}^{4}}{\beta\left(s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right)} \ln \left(\frac{s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}+\beta \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)}{s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}-\beta \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta=\sqrt{1-4 m_{i}^{2} / s}$.

- The disagreement can be traced back to the neglection of initial state masses.
- The non-singlet phase space for particles with different masses in the initial and final state is given by
- Neglecting initial state masses this expression reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma^{(2), I I}}{d s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s} a^{2} \int_{4 m^{2}}^{s(1-\sqrt{z})^{2}} d s^{\prime \prime} \frac{16}{3 s s^{\prime \prime} 2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m^{2}}{s^{\prime \prime}}}\left(2 m^{2}+s^{\prime \prime}\right)\{ \\
& \left.-2 \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{s^{2}+\left(s^{\prime}+s^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}} \ln \left(\frac{s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)}{s-s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}-\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This formula is the starting point of Berends et al (it was derived in Kniehl et al, Phys. Lett. B209 (1988) )
- It is valid for the production of heavy particles, like muons, but not for electron pair production.


In the pure-singlet case four diagrams contribute.

- The contributions of the left and right two diagrams contain mass divergences, their interference does not.
- The interference contribution in the limit $m^{2} \ll s$ can simply be obtained by expanding in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ and integrating the phase space.
- The squared contributions have to be treated as before, because of the more complicated topologies more involved letters are needed.


## The Pure-Singlet Case

- The result expanded in $m^{2} \ll s$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma^{(2), I I I}}{d s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left\{\left[\frac{4(1-z)\left(4+7 z+4 z^{2}\right)}{3 z}+8(1+z) \mathrm{H}_{0}\right] L^{2}+\left[-\frac{128(1-z)\left(1+4 z+z^{2}\right)}{9 z}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{8\left(4+6 z-3 z^{2}-8 z^{3}\right)}{3 z} \mathrm{H}_{0}-16(1+z) \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}-\frac{16(1-z)\left(4+7 z+4 z^{2}\right)}{3 z} \mathrm{H}_{1}-32(1+z) \mathrm{H}_{0,1}+32(1+z) \zeta_{2}\right] L \\
& -\frac{2(1-z)}{27 z(1+z)^{2}}\left(80-303 z-721 z^{2}-789 z^{3}-163 z^{4}\right)-\left(\frac { 4 } { 9 z ( 1 + ) ^ { 3 } } \left(40+183 z+339 z^{2}+527 z^{3}+825 z^{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+462 z^{5}+64 z^{6}\right)-\frac{16\left(4+27 z+3 z^{2}-4 z^{3}\right)}{3 z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}+\frac{48\left(2+2 z+z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}^{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0}+\left(\frac{4\left(-12-21 z-12 z^{2}+4 z^{3}\right)}{3 z}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{40\left(2+2 z+z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}-\frac{8}{3}(3+5 z) \mathrm{H}_{0}^{3}+\left(\frac{256(1-z)\left(1+4 z+z^{2}\right)}{9 z}-\frac{8\left(-4-18 z+15 z^{2}+4 z^{3}\right)}{3 z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{8\left(4-6 z+3 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_{1}+\left(\frac{16(1-z)\left(4+7 z+4 z^{2}\right)}{3 z}-\frac{4\left(4-6 z+3 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right) \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{8\left(4-6 z+9 z^{2}-12 z^{3}\right)}{3 z}+\frac{8\left(8+7 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{8\left(4-6 z+3 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0,1}+\left(\frac{16\left(-4-27 z-3 z^{2}+4 z^{3}\right)}{3 z}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{32(5-2 z)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{96\left(2+2 z+z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0,-1}-\frac{32(2+z)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0,0,1}+\frac{16\left(10-18 z-5 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0,0,-1} \\
& -\frac{8\left(4-14 z-5 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}-\frac{96\left(2+2 z+z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{0,-1,-1}+\left(\frac{8\left(-8+27 z+16 z^{3}\right)}{3 z}+16(7-3 z) \mathrm{H}_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{8\left(4-6 z+3 z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{1}-\frac{48\left(2+2 z+z^{2}\right)}{z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}\right) \zeta_{2}+32(5+z) \zeta_{3}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{s} L^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- This result is again in disagreement with Berends et al but in agreement with the calculation by Blümlein et al using massive OMEs.


## The Pure-Singlet Case

- Where does the disagreement come from?
- In the pure-singlet case a calculation done for massless partons was reused Schellekens, van Neerven (Phys.Rev. D21 (1980))
- We agree with the interference term, which does not contain any mass singularity, although it was used with the wrong sign in Berends et al.
- We disagree with the squared terms, which can be attributed to the neglection of mass effects going beyond the regularization of the integrals.


## The Pure-Singlet-Non-Singlet Interference

- The last contribution of fermion pair production considered in Berends et al is the interference between the pure-singlet and non-singlet contributions.
- The expanded result is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma^{(2), \mathrm{IV}}}{d s^{\prime}} & =\frac{\sigma^{(0)}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}{s}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left\{-\left[8(8-7 z)+\frac{8\left(5-2 z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{8\left(1+z^{2}\right)}{1-z}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \mathrm{H}_{0,1}+2 \zeta_{2}\right)\right] L+\frac{8\left(27-42 z+23 z^{2}\right)}{1-z}+\left[\frac{8}{(1-z)^{2}(1+z)}\left(3+10 z-11 z^{2}+22 z^{3}-8 z^{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{64(1+z)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{-1}\right] \mathrm{H}_{0}-\frac{8(1+z)^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}-\frac{8\left(1+2 z^{2}\right)}{3(1-z)} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{3}+\left[16(8-7 z)-\frac{8\left(3-2 z-2 z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{16\left(2+z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}\right] \mathrm{H}_{1}+\frac{16}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}+\left[\frac{8\left(13-2 z-6 z^{2}\right)}{1-z}-\frac{16\left(5+4 z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{32 z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right] \mathrm{H}_{0,1} \\
& -\left[\frac{64(1+z)}{1-z}-\frac{32\left(1+z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}\right] \mathrm{H}_{0,-1}+\frac{128\left(1+z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0,0,1}-\frac{64\left(1+z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0,0,-1} \\
& -\frac{32\left(1+2 z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}-\left[\frac{24\left(3-2 z-2 z^{2}\right)}{1-z}+\frac{16\left(2+3 z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{32 z^{2}}{1-z} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right] \zeta_{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{16\left(3+z^{2}\right)}{1-z} \zeta_{3}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{s} L\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- This term shows less of the higher powers in the denominator than found in Berends et al.


## The Pure-Singlet-Non-Singlet Interference

- Where do these discrepancies come from?
- We do not have an OME associated with the pure-singlet non-singlet interference. It has to be combined with the photon emissions.
- Berends et al do not provide more details on the calculation of this particular contribution.
$\rightarrow$ Probably the treatment of the mass expansion also lead to problems here.


## Contributions not considered in Berends et al



- There are also contributions coming from the diagrams shown above, which have not been considered in Berends et al.
- We have the contributions from
- only these diagrams,
- their interference with the non-singlet (do only contribute for axial-vector couplings),
- their interference with the pure-singlet.
- These contributions do not contain mass singularities and can simply be expanded in the limit $m^{2} \ll s$ and have to agree with the massless calculation.
- We reproduce the results obtained in Hamberg et al, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991).


## Numerical Illustration



- Relative deviation of the non-singlet (red), pure-singlet (blue) and interference (magenta) contribution in \%.


## Corrections due to Photon Emissiom

- The corrections due to photon emission can be decomposed into six parts:
- $\delta_{2}^{S_{2}}$, both photons are soft;
- $\delta_{2}^{V_{2}}$, both photons are virtual;
- $\delta_{2}^{S_{1} V_{1}}$, one photon is soft, one virtual;
- $\delta_{2}^{S_{1} H_{1}}$, one photon is soft, one hard;
- $\delta_{2}^{V_{1} H_{1}}$, one photon is virtual, one hard;
- $\delta_{2}^{H_{2}}$, both photons are hard.
- The complete cross section can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma}{d s^{\prime}} & =\frac{\sigma^{(0)}}{s}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left\{\delta(1-z)\left[\delta_{2}^{S_{2}}(\Delta, \lambda)+\delta_{2}^{V_{2}}(\lambda)+\delta_{2}^{S_{1} V_{1}}(\Delta, \lambda)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\theta(1-z-\Delta)\left[\delta_{2}^{S_{1} H_{1}}(\Delta, \lambda, z)+\delta_{2}^{V_{1} H_{1}}(\lambda, z)+\delta_{2}^{H_{2}}(\Delta, z)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- All contributions due to fermion pair production have been recalculated.
$\rightarrow$ In the non-singlet and pure-singlet processes agreement with the method based on asymptotic factorization has been found.
$\rightarrow$ Numerically the differences at $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ are not negligible even though the logarithmically enhanced terms are unaffected.
$\Rightarrow$ Factorization in the asymptotic region works in the fermion-pair production channel also with massive external particles.
- The contributions due to axial couplings are work in progress. Since we work in $d=4$ no problems with $\gamma_{5}$ arise.
- The last contribution due to photon production is work in progress.
$\rightarrow$ All other terms have already been checked.

