# Incorporating Induction Hits Into $p \rightarrow \overline{v}K$ Event Selection

Dan Pershey

Apr 4, 2019

## Overview

- □The bulk of the talk is straightforward apply the same reconstruction and selection strategy I've talked about previously to collection and induction hits
  - Mostly, I'm just recalculating the same selection variables, but with 3x as many hits
  - Expect decent improvements to the efficiency
    - Improved tracking efficiency due to projecting K+ track onto multiple planes
    - Better dE/dx discrimination from having multiple samples of the track cancels electronic noise
- □Also, added these selection variables to a MVA
  - Performance is comparable to cut-based selection, but need more MC to really test

## One Extra Variable

□In the past, I've always done a Bethe-Bloch fit to decide the K<sup>+</sup>-ness of a track

- Algorithm finds the best fit mass to that model, answer centers around  $m_{\kappa}$
- It turns out that a more traditional LL template variable is more powerful separating signal and bkg
- I do some clean-up of the template histogram
  - Including only K<sup>+</sup>'s from  $p \rightarrow Kv$  events
  - Track length > 5 cm
  - At least 10 hits
  - | reco length true length | < 2 cm</li>
  - By truth, are going the right direction



# Small Opportunity for Efficiency Boost

- There's a little bump in the signal KLL distribution around 1.5-2
- These are backwards events
  - Have nice clean K  $\!\!\!\!\to \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\to \!\!\!\!$  topology, but place the vertex between  $\mu$  and e
  - K and e switch places, and all tracks are going the wrong direction
  - Potential to reclaim these events, but they aren't to common, ignoring for now





100

#### Selected Backgrounds

#### □Found three events – significantly more than previous iterations

- Further track lengths were 33, 52, and 58 cm, overlapping with our signal region
  - A bit worrisome selection sculpts bkg to overlap with the signal
- □In a way though, bkgs are more re-assuring this time around, looking at dE/dx traces, they aren't obviously background, suggesting the reco is more robust



#### More Selected Backgrounds





## Vetoing Atm K<sup>+</sup> Events

- Can remove all K<sup>+</sup> neutrino events in our sample with a cut on energy off of the dE/dx trace
  - Require off energy < 10e3 ( $\approx$ 25 MeV)
  - Leaves just the selected event that didn't have a true K<sup>+</sup>
  - Call this off-trace energy
  - Potentially more sensitive to systematics from knock-out nucleon model in GENIE



- □As a consequence, I feel like this selection strategy is looking more robust, it finds a way to select out atm events with rare K<sup>+</sup> production
- □Other selected event is hard to construct because of both vtx energy and a short proton not super clear upon looking at the dE/dx trace that it is bkg
  - And, the track length is 33 cm back to being able to estimate bkg in signal window using track length distribution at earlier levels of cuts

## Results for $K \rightarrow \mu \nu$

□Jump in efficiency is bigger than I was expected

- Efficiency at 27.6%
- Compare to 17.4% from last week, and 14% from the TDR, all having 0 bkg in signal region

 $\Box$ Adding in K $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$  spectra from last iteration, this gives

•  $\tau_p/Br(p \rightarrow \overline{\nu}K) > 1.81e34$  years with 400 kton-years of data



## Where Does Efficiency Gain Come From?

- Looks to come mostly from K<sup>+</sup> tracking improvements
  - Two-track requirement only goes from 0.50-0.61, but I'm actually requiring enough hits to run Bethe-Bloch fits
  - Preferentially gain back two-track events at high K<sup>+</sup> track length

	Nominal	Optimistic	Current
Two Tracks	0.50	0.83	0.61
Muon length	0.83	0.90	0.77
K⁺ length > 5 cm	0.65	0.78	0.85
dEdx, etc.	0.53	0.53	0.70
Total	0.14	0.31	0.28

- 0.50x0.65 = 0.33 vs 0.83x0.78=0.65 (1.99x nominal) vs 0.61x0.85=0.52 (1.60x nominal)
- □Also a notable increase in the dE/dx trace cuts compared to nominal
  - Makes sense that induction hits should increase efficiency here gives you more samples to reduce statistical noise in our hit finding
- Don't understand why my efficiency for muon track length falling in signal window goes down

## Efficiency vs Kinematics

□Still have a threshold for our efficiency – consistent with Chris's reach studies

- Pick up just a bit of efficiency for length < 5 cm, but KE < 50 MeV is invisible to us
- Still need to worry about FSI systematic when quoting our signal efficiency



## $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 - a$ very different story

- □Previously, the efficiency for the  $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  mode was 17.8%, similar to  $K \rightarrow \mu \nu$
- □Initially thought that adding in induction hits would give a similar boost to efficiency, but was much more modest: 17.8% -> 19.2%
- Looks like inefficiency is driven by lower-level reconstruction issues
  - Looks to be two populations, about 1/3 of events lie in trkl peak, rest are in a broad, falling distribution All  $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$



# $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 - a$ very different story

- □Previously, the efficiency for the  $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  mode was 17.8%, similar to  $K \rightarrow \mu \nu$
- □Initially thought that adding in induction hits would give a similar boost to efficiency, but was much more modest: 17.8% -> 18.4%
- Looks like inefficiency is driven by lower-level reconstruction issues
  - Looks to be two populations, about 1/3 of events lie in track length peak, rest are in a broad, falling distribution All  $K \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$
- Trying to estimate efficiency for well-reco'd events
  - Take signal window = 26-36 cm
  - Take subtract off 600 evts/bin from generated histogram
  - Suggests ≈60% of well-reco'd events are selected



## Moving to a BDT

I have the hard part – coming up with a set of reco variables that do a good job of differentiating between signal and bkg, easy to train a TMVA on them

Train the MVA based on six variables:

- K<sup>+</sup> LL and directional  $\Delta\chi^2$
- $\mu$  LL and directional  $\Delta\chi^2$
- nhit reco'd for Michel (doesn't have to be > 0)
- Longest track length

□A few MVA algorithms tested, BDT performed best

□Additionally, add in a preselection cut on the off-trace energy

- Variable used to reject events with a true K<sup>+</sup>
- Didn't want MVA to make weird decisions about how to reject bkg based for these events which should look exactly the same as signal in the vars we pass the MVA
- Total preselection efficiency = 55.4%

## **Overtraining Check**

Comparing the signal efficiency vs bkg rejection curve is a good way to test for overtraining of the MVA

- Randomly split initial TTree of vars into test and training samples
- If you do overtrain, high-MVA tail for background will be stretched to higher PID values
- Maybe some discrepancy when you've got 30ish bkg events remaining in your sample?
- But, at the highest PID values, the bkg tail looks like normal statistical jitter

Signal efficiency here does not include 60.3% preselection from two tracks, nhit on K<sup>+</sup>, and off-trace energy



#### BDT variable

□Highest BDT score for any bkg event in the test sample is 0.6053

 $\Box$ 60.9% of preselected K $\rightarrow$ µv signal have a BDT score greater than this

• Folding in preselection efficiency, total 33.7% efficiency for selecting  $K \rightarrow \mu \nu$  events

Bkg exposure in test sample is 200 kton-years -> expect 5 bkg / Mton-years



# Aside: Justification for $\mu/K$ dE/dx Variables

- An apparent FOM is the signal efficiency in the test sample at point where 1 bkg event is selected
- Try out all possible combinations of using the template LL vs Bethe-Bloch fit for reconstructing K<sup>+</sup>-ness and direction
  - Huge improvement for switching to LL K<sup>+</sup>-ness compared to BB fit, but cuts actually do better than green / magenta curves
  - The BB fit, however, does slightly better deciding whether a particle was going forward or backward
- Same relation holds for muon variables, but these variables matter less, all FOM's where between 0.55 and 0.60



## Summary

#### There's valuable information in the induction planes

 $\Box$  Efficiency for tagging K $\rightarrow$ µv increases from 17.4  $\rightarrow$  27.6%

- Comes from mixture of improved tracking and improved bkg rejection from dE/dx, both can attribute to sampling the event from different yz projections
- Pushes lifetime sensitivity up to around 1.8e34 years at 400 kton-years
  - Without any systematics

#### **Gains are very slim for the K** $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ mode

• Most efficiency improvement come from tracking K<sup>+</sup> in K $\rightarrow\mu\nu$ , but the K<sup>+</sup> is detected by the  $\pi^{+}\pi^{0}$  decay products, so this makes sense

□BDT analysis looks promising, efficiency improves to 33.7%, but you loose half the bkg to training so its estimate is even more uncertain than usual