# 3DST-S in DUNE near detector concept















Size of 3DST driven by statistics for beam monitoring and efficiency of neutron tagging.



Channel	$\nu$ mode	$\bar{\nu}$ mode
$\nu_{\mu}$ CC inclusive	$13.6 \times 10^{6}$	$5.1 \times 10^{6}$
CCQE	$2.9 \times 10^{6}$	$1.6 \times 10^{6}$
CC $\pi^{\circ}$ inclusive	$3.8 \times 10^{6}$	$0.97 \times 10^{6}$
NC total	$4.9 \times 10^{6}$	$2.1 \times 10^{6}$
$\nu_{\mu}$ -e <sup>-</sup> scattering	1067	1008
$\nu_{\mu}$ CC coherent	$1.26 \times 10^{5}$	$8.6 \times 10^4$
$\nu_{\mu}$ CC low- $\nu$ ( $\nu < 250$ MeV)	$1.48 \times 10^{6}$	$8.8 \times 10^{5}$
$\nu_e$ CC coherent	$2.1 \times 10^{3}$	719
$\nu_e \text{ CC low-}\nu \ (\nu < 250 \text{ MeV})$	$2.1 \times 10^{4}$	$4.7 \times 10^{3}$
$\nu_e$ CC inclusive	$2.5 \times 10^{5}$	$0.56{\times}10^5$









#### **Optimization**

-35

# Different configurations under study



**3DST-S** 

## **Technical status**

3DST CERN prototype US-Japan prototype progress, box prototype Electronics status Upcoming neutron run Fabrication technique R&D, Russia and Japan SuperFGD design/construction

TPC design, similar to ND280 upgrade TPCs (proven old versions okay too)

ECAL, study needed, no reason to expect technically challenging or huge number of channels

Magnet design being considered, probably NC, technically achievable



## **Technical risks**

Component technologies proven/prototyped

Construction superFGD size and construction



## **Triad of physics deliverables**



Redundancy and risk mitigation



# **Triad of physics deliverables**

Beam monitoring



- Need at least minimal on-axis dedicated neutrino beam spectrum monitor for core CPV program.
- Off-axis detectors are less sensitive to beam element/spectral changes
- Rate alone is not good enough
- Plan for frequent on-off axis LAr detector movement? Maybe
- 3DST-S can do this



Traditional blind spots in neutrino physics: low p pi, p, and neutrons DUNE ND concept aims to let us see in these blind spots Neutrons on argon, good. We get that and are exploring that. Neutrons on carbon a new tool:

1) Flux, STV analysis

Ν

New too

- 2) Explore neutrino interaction physics.
  - Well founded skepticism that neutrons on carbon can be "scaled" to directly constrain neutrons on argon.
  - Ability to incorporate neutrons over broad kinematic range is likely to be helpful information for continuing development of interaction models
    - Example: 2p2h/MEC was encountered and explored in neutrinocarbon and will most certainly be a component of the model we use for neutrino-argon interactions. Our current picture for 2p2h is known to be incomplete and requires ad hoc tuning to be useful for oscillations. In 2027, we will do that tuning with the ND argon samples. Still, input to model development has been critical for this and will likely continue to be useful, particularly if we add a new handle like neutrons.
  - May have available slide on SUSA (done with care, because we have skepticism now does not mean we should avoid taking data that may help us eventually develop model)
    - May have some thoughts on ability to deconvolve stages of interaction to help modeling
    - What other things will we encounter?



# **Triad of physics deliverables**

- Dedicated on-axis neutrino spectrum
- Neutrino-electron scattering measurement, nucleus agnostic to first order, has low stats relative to LAr, but also different systematics and different sensitivity to backgrounds
- Low-nu, different detector systematics
- Provides additional handle for deconvolution of flux\*xsec, done with PRISM (yay), but this may be helpful for evaluating systematics in how well that is done via PRISM, and useful backup if have unexpected technical problems with PRISM
- Able to do sign separated nue, nuebar measurements (HPgArTPC hopefully able to do background free in the end but will take time to high enough statistics)
- Minimal risk in technology
- Very fast detector, robust to unexpected backgrounds
- Transparent connection to past CH data if need to explore new aspects of modeling (that may or may not apply to Ar)

ledundancy nd risk nitigation





## **Summary:**

## Why 3DST-S rather than minimal beam spectrum monitor?

We need to get from home to job. A Smart car will do that.



A 4WD Subaru Forester will do that, too. More expensive, yes. Needs a bigger garage. But, provides much more functionality and preparedness for the known unknowns like snow and the unknown unknowns like kids and dogs.

A few years down the road, when faced with needing to drive two kids to a hockey tournament in the snow, I'd rather have the Subaru. I could do it with the Smart car, but it would take two trips and each would be more risky.





#### **Backups**

