



# **TDR plots updates**

### L. Escudero for the Pandora Team

### DUNE FD Sim/Reco meeting 15th of April 2019



### **Overview**

### **TO-DO LIST:**

- •Some plots were missing (high level reco)
- Some plots need to be updated with MCC11
- Some text needs updating after initial LBNC questions

### **TODAY:**

 Updates on the plots, will follow up updating them in overleaf with the text in the next days

### Some plots need to be updated with MCC11

### **Different larsoft/dunetpc versions:**

MCC11: v07\_06\_02 MCC10: v06\_60\_00

#### But flux file used seems to be the same:

Flux file: /pnfs/dune/persistent/TaskForce\_Flux/ GenieHistFluxFiles/g4lbne\_v3r2p4b\_FHC\_FD\_RIK.root

What else has changed? detsim? Hit reconstruction?

Results are compatible MCC10 vs MCC11, but I do see an impact in performance in MCC11

#### **ALL INTERACTIONS EXCEPT DIS**



Note: might still try to add tau performance

\*\*\*\*

#### **ALL INTERACTIONS EXCEPT DIS**



Note: might still try to add tau performance

**光 15** 第一部 5 第 第

#### **ALL INTERACTIONS EXCEPT DIS**





#### **NEW**



#### **ALL INTERACTIONS (INCLUDING DIS)**



Will be updated in the same way

Maybe we should also add DeltaR plot? Seemed useful to answer a question from LBNC



## PROTODUNE-SP EVENT EXAMPLE - UPDATED TO USE A DATA ONE (old MC one in backup) - provided by Steve Green



ASKED BY LBNC TO ADD THIS PLOT: Distribution of interaction channels as a function of Energy



Will be updated in the same way

### For track-like MC primary particles:

Selection is done re-using methods used in Pandora to compute performance metrics: LArContent/LArMonitoring/EventValidation.C

- Select Final State PFOs (i.e. primaries, not daughters of another PFO)
- Select reconstructable MC particles, target and primaries (i.e. produce enough hits\* & first long-lived visible in hierarchy)
- Create maps of shared hits between MC->PFO
- Select the best match (best completeness)
- Make plots only for good matches (>50% purity, >10% completeness)
- Make plots for PFOs (PFParticles) regardless of their track/shower label

Then calculations:

- Lengths are computed as the module of the vector between start and end points
- Opening angle is calculated with the reconstructed and true direction (from true momentum)

### For track-like MC primary particles:

大部分

- Applying containment (both true start and end points within fiducial volume) see backup for studies about its impact
- No minimum true length required but could be studied
- Played with 2D plots for track length, could be added as well.





### For track-like MC primary particles:

- Applying containment (both true start and end points within fiducial volume) see backup for studies about its impact
- No minimum true length required but could be studied
- Played with 2D plots for track length, could be added as well.

Also, need to quantify in the text: E.g. X% have a difference in length less than Y cm



Maybe the plot on the right with particle breakdown, and the right with all?

为 **1** 为 3 **1** 为 3 **1** 为

#### Plots look good but more investigation is needed for pions/protons



### Plots look good but more investigation is needed for pions/protons

#### **DAUGHTER PFOs - refinement**

But sometimes we need to account for scattering

<u>たまた。 第一部</u>の たまた。 第二部の

> The "scattered stages" (p2 and p3) are different MC particles, so the true length is just the one of p1 But in reality, they look like a single particle:





So we can add up length of daughters if the scattering angle is negligible. This will improve especially protons' distribution

### Curiosity...

为 1 为 1 日 | 5 日 | 5 日 | 5







For shower-like MC primary particles: Matching as described in page 11

Shower direction is computed using using PCA as it is used to create the recob::Shower objects in larpandora

#### **ELECTRONS**

#### **PHOTONS**



For shower-like MC primary particles: Matching as described in page 11

- Energy is computed using the same methods as in larreco/Calorimetry/LinearEnergyAlg
- Presented only for the collection plane here
- To account for true deposited energy: I am adding the energy of all hits matched to the MC particle (min 90% contribution)

**ELECTRONS** 

**PHOTONS** 



How interesting is this? It is a translation of the completeness provided in page 6

#### dEdx:



- True start point of the shower within FV
- Plots made only for collection plane (done for other planes in the past), requiring at least 30 hits in that plane
- Explored removing DIS events, as they are very busy, subject to accidental merges

#### Graphically

- If the MC particle has more than one good match PFO associated (split) use the one closer to the true start point, rather than best match
- Select all hits within 2 cm of the reco shower vertex (projected, found closest hit in each view) BUT
- Select a minimum of X (5) hits
- A cut in rT has also been studied (0.5 cm) but doesn't change much



#### dEdx:

**Technically** 

- I first started developing this analysis in larpandora (repository that serves as translation between Pandora and LArSoft) in the module that creates recob::Showers (previous presentations)
- This means I started doing this directly in LArSoft and it is painfully slow
- So I moved the logic to an algorithm inside Pandora (LArContent) way faster, and I can handle the true-reco matching logic in the same way as inside Pandora
- Both ways (entirely in Pandora or entirely outside Pandora) need rewriting code:

### **In Pandora**

- ConvertXToTicks (recover hit time)
- Methods in CalorimetryAlg:
  - LifetimeCorrection
  - ModBoxCorrection

### In LArSoft

- Pandora's Rotational Plugin
- Undo breakdown of a single cluster into multiple due to several TPCs
- Reco-true matching

To release this (post-TDR): geometrical logic (selection of hits) will be done inside Pandora and the information transferred (a la LArPfoTrack) to the larpandora module to access the calorimetry methods



### dEdx investigation (ongoing)

Using the true direction (dashed lines) doesn't have a big impact





Sometimes I've seen it's related to the vertex reconstruction (improvements expected), sometimes very hard to reconstruct well:



### electron/gamma separation: moving forwards



There are other features we can use to separate electron/photon

- Point shower starts showering
- Distance shower vertex to neutrino vertex

I would like to try using them as a continuation of this study, but what do we want for the TDR?

Also, Jhanzeb, PhD student working with John in Warwick, has developed already a SVM model for vertex selection in DUNE FD, which I am going to try - that I expect to make an impact in these plots!



## Summary

- Updated and created plots according to the TO-DO list for the TDR
- Some plots need a bit of discussion/ investigation
- Then text in overleaf also needs to be updated
- •For end of April?



### **Contact us**

#### **General:**

Pandora SDK Development

LAr TPC algorithm development

DUNE FD Integration

**ProtoDUNE Integration** 

**MicroBooNE Integration** 

Other team members

#### pandora@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk

John Marshall (John.Marshall@warwick.ac.uk) Mark Thomson (thomson@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk)

John Marshall (John.Marshall@warwick.ac.uk) Andy Blake (a.blake@lancaster.ac.uk)

Lorena Escudero (escudero@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk)

Steven Green (sg568@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk)

Andy Smith (asmith@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk)

MicroBooNE: Joris Jan de Vries, Jack Anthony ProtoDUNE: Stefano Vergani



https://github.com/PandoraPFA



https://pandorapfa.slack.com







### 

### **Reconstruction Performance**

### 1.3.3 Reconstruction Performance in ProtoDUNE-SP



#### Lorena Escudero, DUNE Collaboration Meeting



### **High level reco: tracks**

### Plots look good but some refinements are needed

#### 1) Containment (i.e. true start and end position in fiducial volume)

