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1. Motivation/overview
Motivation

• There are many motivations for studying models of new physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM). Some excerpts from the P5 report:

  • “Dark matter is presumed to consist of one or more kinds of new particles…”

  • “What is the origin of neutrino mass?”

  • “…Is there one Higgs particle or many? Is the new particle really fundamental, or is it composed of others?”

• Perturbative extensions of the SM are nice for the theorist, but sometimes physics is strongly coupled, e.g. QCD!

• If BSM physics is strongly coupled, lattice can give physics information that is otherwise inaccessible.
What kinds of BSM models?

**Composite Higgs:** new strongly-coupled sector at the electroweak scale; Higgs is a composite bound state. (W/Z, top often have some composite part too.)

**Composite dark matter:** dark “hidden sector” which is strongly coupled. Can appear naturally with composite Higgs or GUT theories.

**Supersymmetry (SUSY):** hypothetical symmetry relating bosons to fermions. Supersymmetric SM requires *SUSY breaking*, where strong coupling can be relevant.
What kinds of BSM models?

**Composite Higgs**: new strongly-coupled sector at the electroweak scale; Higgs is a composite bound state. (W/Z, top often have some composite part too.)

**Composite dark matter**: dark "hidden sector" which is strongly coupled. Can appear naturally with composite Higgs or GUT theories.

**Supersymmetry (SUSY)**: hypothetical symmetry relating bosons to fermions. Supersymmetric SM requires SUSY breaking, where strong coupling can be relevant.

**Strongly-coupled quantum field theory!**
Exploring the rich dynamics of QFT at strong coupling cuts across all models; lattice is the only available non-perturbative definition.

We learn about strong dynamics from lattice, even if BSM model X is ruled out. (Like exploring QCD at non-physical quark mass.)

Need to develop tools for studying strongly-coupled QFT now, to be ready for possible discovery at the LHC or elsewhere!
2. Background: “theory space”
Aside from specific models, lattice can give non-perturbative insight into the broader space of gauge-fermion theories, which exhibits:

- **rich phase structure** (IR-conformal phase transition),
- **emergent dynamics** (4d conformal field theories),
- **well-established trends** (the ’t Hooft and Veneziano large-N limits.)
Aside: the infrared-conformal phase

\[ \beta(g) \equiv \frac{\partial g}{\partial (\log \mu)} = -\beta_0 g^3 - \beta_1 g^5 - \ldots \]

- Many theories in the space are “cousins” of QCD: color charges *confine* into a spectrum of “hadron” bound states.

- In the infrared-conformal phase, gauge coupling \( g \) will approach an “infrared fixed point”, freezing at some \( g = g^* > 0 \).

- This freezing *restores scale invariance* - we recover a conformal field theory (CFT). CFTs have a unique “spectrum” of operator anomalous dimensions; no confined bound states.

- These CFTs can appear in many models of new physics, but only with *broken scale invariance*, since our world isn’t conformal! Still, learning about the symmetric limit is useful and important. (Analogous to supersymmetric BSM models: SUSY must be broken, but it’s still useful to describe the physics.)
Modeling the theory space

- If we have models like ’t Hooft large-N expansion available, why do we need lattice?

- Lattice gives *quantitative* information in a vast space for which we have only one real-world example (QCD). Allows us to:
  - **Test and validate** models of theory space
  - **Search for novel phenomena** that might require new models
  - **Fill in details** that the models don’t provide

- Analogous to the *Wigner-Eckart theorem* in quantum mechanics. Symmetry gives us a big part of the story, but we still have to calculate the reduced matrix elements to make concrete predictions!

\[ \langle j', m' | \hat{T}_q^{(k)} | j, m \rangle = \langle jk; mq | jk; j'm' \rangle \langle j' || \hat{T}^{(k)} || j \rangle \]
What about effective theories?

- We can take a more bottom-up approach and say: just identify the right effective field theory (EFT) for collider physics, dark matter detection, etc.

- Nothing wrong with this approach, but using *only* the EFT has limited predictive power: need to fix many (infinite!) low-energy constants from experiment.

- Plus, EFT comes with an energy cutoff: fine for working in the low-energy limit at the threshold of discovery, but many details of the full theory are out of reach.

- **EFT + lattice** allows analytic calculation but many LECs are determined from a handful of underlying UV parameters - best of both worlds!

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + \ldots
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}} = a + b
\]
Example: electromagnetic polarizability of “dark baryons”

- “Stealth DM” model based on SU(4) gauge group, with lightest baryon as dark matter

- Dark quarks carry electric charge, but the baryon is net neutral; symmetry $\rightarrow$ leading interaction is EM polarizability - EFT operator

$$O_F = C_F B^* B F^{\mu \alpha} F^{\nu}_{\alpha} \nu_\mu \nu_\nu$$

- But EFT doesn’t tell us $C_F$… with four quarks in a baryon, $C_F$ may be heavily suppressed if the quarks pair up into dipoles due to Fermi statistics.

- Lattice calculation reveals no suppression relative to SU(3); direct detection can probe the stealth model up to $\sim$ TeV scale.

Example: emergence of a light scalar particle

- In QCD (and similar theories), pions are the lightest hadronic states by far, due to their pseudo-Goldstone boson nature.

- But lattice studies in multiple theories (left: SU(3) Nf=8, right: SU(3) Nf=2 “sextet” irrep) revealed a surprising light 0++ scalar state as light as the pions!

- This state has the same quantum numbers as the Higgs boson, and has been speculated to be a pseudo-dilaton associated with closeness to the IR-conformal transition.
3. Science drivers for lattice BSM
Science drivers: questions for lattice model builders

Concrete science goals which are driving our lattice investigations in the near term, divided by topic area. *: new questions added this year.

1) Composite Higgs

A. What dynamics yields a Standard Model-like Higgs light relative to other new resonances (“little hierarchy”)?

B. How large are the non-perturbative matrix elements that determine Standard Model fermion masses?

C. What are the other lowest-energy composite states? How can the LHC search for them?

D*. How are the parameters of the low-energy EFT for composite Higgs related to one another?
Science drivers: questions for lattice model builders

Concrete science goals which are driving our lattice investigations in the near term, divided by topic area. *: new questions added this year.

2) Composite dark matter

A. What are the “form factors” of composite dark particles that control their interactions with SM states?

B. Under what circumstances can stable “dark nuclei” form?

C. What are the properties of the dark thermal phase transition? Can it generate observable gravity waves?

D*. How do composite dark matter particles interact with each another? What implications are there for cosmology and large-scale structure?
Science drivers: questions for lattice model builders

Concrete science goals which are driving our lattice investigations in the near term, divided by topic area. *: new questions added this year.

3) Supersymmetry

A. Can we provide non-perturbative tests of phenomena in supersymmetric theories, like the S-duality conjecture?

B. What can we learn about the emergence of dynamical SUSY breaking from strongly-coupled supersymmetric theories?

4*) Conformal field theories

A*. What is the spectrum of anomalous dimensions in strongly-coupled CFTs that emerge from many-flavor gauge theory?

B*. What is the order and location of the infrared-conformal phase transition in many-fermion gauge theories?

C*. Are there dualities or other theoretical descriptions that can be used to understand strongly-coupled interacting CFTs?
4. USQCD Science Highlights

(all science results are from USQCD, unless noted otherwise. Not an exhaustive list, just a sample.)
Light scalar resonance

(Composite Higgs, drivers 1A, 1C, 1D)

- Updated lattice results confirm the presence of a light 0++ scalar in certain theories, improve precision of spectrum (left)

- Progress is beginning on calculation of other quantities, such as scattering phase shifts (right), in order to probe low-energy EFT

T. Appelquist et al (LSD collab), arXiv:1807.08411

George Fleming (LSD collab), Lattice 2019

(above: l=2 π-π scattering phase shift)
Light scalar EFT?

Maarten Golterman, Lattice 2019
(not USQCD, but fitting USQCD lattice data)

- **Left:** “dilaton EFT” (Golterman and Shamir, arXiv:1603.04575) light scalar is associated with breaking of approx. scale invariance near the infrared-conformal phase transition.

- **Below:** “generalized linear sigma model”, (LSD collaboration, arXiv:1809.02624) scalars are added to chiral effective theory, but no association with scale symmetry

Lattice units $\chi^2$/dof=1.30

- Substantial ongoing work on developing EFT descriptions that accommodate a light 0++, and fitting them to lattice data. More work on both ends will be needed to reach firm conclusions.
SU(4) composite Higgs

- UV-complete composite Higgs model (G. Ferretti), based on SU(4) gauge group with fermions in two irreps

- New work on “chimera” baryon Z-factor, which determines top Yukawa coupling:

\[
y_t \sim \left( \frac{g_{\text{EHC}} F_6}{\Lambda_{\text{EHC}}} \right)^4 \left( \frac{Z}{F_6^3} \right)^2 \frac{F_6}{M_B}
\]

- Small size of Z/F_6^3 implies inconsistency: “extended hypercolor” (EHC) coupling g_{\text{EHC}} must be so strong that it would alter the strong dynamics.
Higgs potential from the lattice

- In composite Higgs models, can calculate Higgs potential from theory parameters + strong dynamics:

\[ \hat{V}(\hat{h}) = \alpha \cos(2\hat{h}) - \beta \sin^2(2\hat{h}) \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \hat{F}_{LL} - \hat{c}_{LR}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2} \hat{F}_{EW} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{F}_{LL} \]

- Experiment constrains Higgs potential \( \alpha, \beta \). Calculation of strong-dynamics contribution lets us quantify fine-tuning in this model.

- Construct \( C_{LR} \) (left-right vacuum polarization) as an integrated current-current correlator:

\[ C_{LR} = 16\pi^2 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \Pi_{LR}(q_\mu). \]

\[ \hat{c}_{LR} = \frac{1}{2}(3g^2 + g'^2)(C_{LR}/f_6^4) = 19(5)(3) \]

- Much larger than physically allowed value of \( \alpha \sim -0.01 \); indicates significant fine-tuning to reproduce observed Higgs properties, although no lattice calculation of other LEC \( F_{LL} \) (very challenging four-point correlator.)
Gravitational wave signal from first-order phase transition provides intriguing way to search for composite DM!

From first-order transition to gravitational wave signal

How do we predict its features?

Four key parameters
- Transition temperature $T_\ast \lesssim T_c$
- Vacuum energy fraction from latent heat
- Bubble nucleation rate (transition duration)
- Bubble wall speed

(slide from David Schaich; plot from Pedro Schwaller)
Thermal phase transitions and dark cosmology

• Only theories with a first-order thermal phase transition can give stochastic gravity waves

• Investigate phase transition in “stealth DM” theory: SU(4), four flavors. Preliminary results indicate strong first-order transition!

• Starting to calculate quantities relevant for GW spectrum from lattice. **Left: latent heat of transition \( \Delta E \).**
Supersymmetry on the lattice

- Ongoing progress on simulating supersymmetric theories; difficult to preserve SUSY on the lattice, most work so far is in lower-dimensional systems which are more numerically tractable.

- **Left**: non-perturbative simulation of maximally supersymmetric super-Yang-Mills in $d=3$. Numerical results confirm prediction from *gauge-gravity duality* (dashed line). Higher precision can eventually reveal string corrections!

- **Right**: test of dynamical supersymmetry breaking in two-dimensional $N=(2,2)$ SYM. Continuum extrapolation reveals vacuum energy density consistent with zero —> no spontaneous SUSY breaking in this model.
Operator anomalous dimensions with gradient flow

- To measure operator anomalous dimensions (spectrum of CFT), take “renormalization group” steps: integrate out high-momentum modes (“block”) + rescale.

- Gradient flow cuts off high-momentum modes in a continuous way; smooth lattice cutoff allows continuous RG on a single gauge ensemble!

- Pilot study for \( N_f = 12 \) finds mass \( \gamma \) consistent with perturbative estimates and other lattice, first result for nucleon \( \gamma_N \sim 0.05(5) \)

(excerpted from J. Kogut and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rept. 12 (1974), 75-200)
Continuous beta-functions with gradient flow

- New work by Anna Hasenfratz and Oliver Witzel on extending the gradient flow/renormalization group formalism to compute continuous beta functions (left) and running anomalous dimensions (right)

- Interesting cutting-edge technique for studying conformal phase transition. Might also be useful in lattice QCD for renormalization?
5. Conclusion
Computing resources and scientific output

(Fermilab USQCD cluster)

(Atlas Higgs — > \( \tau \tau \) candidate)

• Since Jan. 2018, **22** papers published or posted to arXiv from USQCD lattice BSM program, **12** journal publications (not proceedings). (List in backup slides.)

• **Substantial scientific output for small proportion (~5-10%) of resources!** Reasonable investment for future-looking, curiosity-driven research that stress-tests lattice machinery.
Lattice BSM in the pheno literature: (a small sample)

**Gravity waves**

**Astrophysics**

**Collider searches**

**Flavor physics**

---

**Gravitational Waves from a Dark Phase Transition**

Pedro Schwaller

CERN, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

(Received 1 June 2015; revised manuscript received 2 September 2015; published 26 October 2015)

A shortcoming of the present work is a lack of precise quantitative predictions. The bubble velocity $v$ as well as the time scale of the phase transition $\beta^{-1}$ and the energy fraction $f_{\gamma}$ are currently unknown, and are set to optimistic (but not unrealistic) values. Two approaches seem possible to improve upon this situation: On one side, lattice simulations could be used to measure quantities like the latent heat and the surface tension, which are related to the above parameters and can be used to obtain a more quantitative prediction for the GW spectra. Alternatively, one could attempt to construct a holographic dual for some of these theories, and analyse the PT in that setup.

---

**Di-boson signatures as standard candles for partial compositeness**

Alexander Belyaev, Giacomo Cacciapaglia, Haiying Cai, Gabriele Ferretti, Thomas Flacke, Alberto Parolini and Hugo Serodio

**B decay anomalies and dark matter from vectorlike confinement**

James M. Cline

Niels Bohr International Academy and Discovery Center, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark, and McGill University, Department of Physics, 3600 University Street, Montréal, Quebec H3A2T8, Canada

(Received 18 October 2017; published 25 January 2018)

There is no underlying reason why $f_{\chi} = f_\phi$. The decay constants in the two sectors can, in principle, be different. We checked that, varying this ratio, our numerical result do not change qualitatively but there are $O(1)$ changes in the numerical values of the bound on $f_\phi$, due to the change in the couplings. This ambiguity can, however, be fixed if the models under study is studied on the lattice: in this case, the ratios between the various decay constants cannot be produced at LHC. For $N_{HC} = 3$, the potential model predicts that the kinetic energy of the constituent is 0.3 of its mass energy, so the nonrelativistic approximation is not very bad. Ultimately, lattice calculations should be done to make more quantitative predictions.
Conclusion

- Experiments are running with unprecedented energy and precision; now is the time to develop tools for BSM theory!
- Lattice can handle strong coupling, which appears in many interesting BSM models; studies can give general insight into strongly-coupled QFT.
- Small proportion of USQCD resources go to lattice BSM, but this is big relative to university resources - USQCD support is crucial!
Backup/info slides
Tenth workshop in a series, connecting lattice BSM community to phenomenologists and other non-lattice theorists.

Significant focus on connections between lattice simulations and quantum computing this time, as well as various BSM models.

http://www-hep.colorado.edu/~eneil/lbsm19/
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USQCD Lattice BSM papers/preprints since Jan 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proceedings:</th>
<th>Preprints:</th>
<th>Journal Pubs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1809.00073</td>
<td>1905.13061</td>
<td>1801.00012 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1809.03473</td>
<td></td>
<td>1801.05809 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1810.01850</td>
<td></td>
<td>1802.09644 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1810.05176</td>
<td></td>
<td>1806.01385 (Phys. Rev. Lett.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1811.05024</td>
<td></td>
<td>1807.08411 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1811.01015</td>
<td></td>
<td>1808.04735 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1811.03182</td>
<td></td>
<td>1810.00853 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1812.03972</td>
<td></td>
<td>1810.06117 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901.06324</td>
<td></td>
<td>1810.10626 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1812.02727 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1901.11443 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1903.02535 (Phys. Rev. D)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison w/international efforts

Lattice BSM is very international! Many leading collaborations are centered at institutions in different areas: Lattice Higgs Collaboration (U.S./Europe), Tel Aviv/Colorado, Canada/Denmark… (counting only faculty members, many collaborations have postdocs in other regions.)

Overall, size of lattice efforts in USA vs. Europe is comparable. In Japan, support for the largest collaboration (LatKMI) through the Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute has ended, so productivity has slowed somewhat

Of top 200 most-cited hep-lat papers since 2014, lattice BSM represents:

- 1 from Japan
- 4 from Europe/UK
  (2 joint w/U.S., 1 joint w/Canada)
- 4 from U.S.
  (2 joint w/Europe)
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Published in Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 111502
KEK-PREPRINT-2013-68, LLNL-JRNL-651569
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.111502
e-Print: arXiv:1403.5000 [hep-lat] | PDF

62. Fundamental Composite Higgs Dynamics on the Lattice: SU(2) with Two Flavors
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Published in JHEP 1407 (2014) 118
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DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2014)118
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Published in PoS Lattice2010 (2014) 004
DOI: 10.22323/1.105.0004
e-Print: arXiv:1102.4066 [hep-lat] | PDF

81. Strongly interacting dynamics and the search for new physics at the LHC

Thomas Appelquist (Yale U.) et al., Jan 15, 2016. 6 pp.
Published in Phys.Rev. D93 (2016) no.11, 114514
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DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.114514
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