$u^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS



π^0 studies with ArgonCube 2x2 in NuMI

Roman Berner | roman.berner@lhep.unibe.ch LAr ND Analysis Working Group, July 3rd 2019

Motivation

Calibrating electron energy scale using $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$ events (which are contained in 2x2)



Total π^0 energy goes into showers (back-to-back γ 's in CM frame) \rightarrow Angle between γ 's allows for π^0 kinetic energy reconstruction:

$$E_{tot,\pi^{0}} = m_{\pi^{0}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{((1-\alpha^{2}) \cdot (1-\cos(\theta)))}} \qquad \alpha = \frac{|E_{\gamma_{1}} - E_{\gamma_{2}}|}{E_{\gamma_{1}} + E_{\gamma_{2}}}$$

• Reconstruction of Pi0 mass (using natural units):

$$m_{reco,\pi^0} = \sqrt{E_{tot}^2 - |\vec{p}_{\pi^0}|^2} = 134.97 \text{ MeV} + \text{Bias}$$

 \rightarrow In general, depends on the energy deposits contained in 2x2!

• Determine the Bias as a function of the *fraction of contained energy* (*) in 2x2 In order to keep Bias low: What is the needed fraction of contained energy?

• How big will be the π^0 production rate (with this constraint)?

Event Rates in NuMI ND Hall



→ What is the expected event rate of π^0 induced showers which could be used for the electron scale calibration?

Backup

Fraction of Contained Energy

 $gy \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\frac{\text{total energy in } 2x2}{\text{total energy of } \pi^0}$ $= \frac{\sum \text{Energy Deposits in } 2x2 + \sum E_{tot} \text{ of particles remaining after shower}}{E_{tot} \text{ of } \pi^0}$

 $\geq \frac{\sum \text{Energy Deposits in 2x2}}{E_{tot} \text{ of } \pi^0}$

Lower limit for the fraction of contained energy Note: Detector efficiency not taken into account

Fraction of Contained Energy $\triangleq \frac{\sum \text{Energy Deposits in 2x2 Active Volume}}{E_{tot} \text{ of } \pi^0}$

July 3rd, 2019 LAr ND Analysis Working Group