

# Development of X-band High power High efficiency Klystron

Jinchi Cai, Igor Syratchev, Graeme Burt

21/4/2021

Special thanks:



T. Anno (Canon)



## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

### HE klystron: Mechanism and concepts



Glossary: Saturation, velocity congregation, Radial stratification

Core stabilization method (CSM)

"Classical" bunching



#### FCC ee: CW, 0.4/0.8 GHz, P<sub>RF</sub> total= 105 MW



# Average RF power needs of the large-scale HEP Accelerators Studies.

The klystron efficiency impact on the CLIC 3TeV power consumption. Example of the efficiency upgrade from existing 70% to 85%.

	Klystron eff. 70%	Klystron eff. 85%	Difference				
RF power needed for 3TeV CLIC	180 MW						
DC input power	257 MW	211 MW	-46MW				
Waste heat	77 MW	31 MW	-46MW				
Annual consumption (5500 h assumed)	1413 GWh	1160 GWh	-253 GWh				
Annual cost (60 CHF/MWh assumed)	84.8 MCHF	69.6 MCHF	-15.2 MCHF				
Electricity installation dimensioned for	257 MW	211 MW	-18%				
CV installation dimensioned for	77 MW	31 MW	-60%				

- Potential saving are 2.53 TWh in 10 years (152 MCHF in 10 years).
- Reduced environmental impact (cooling and ventilation)
- Reduced installation cost (stored energy in modulators).
- Reduced maintenance cost (klystron life time).

#### **R&D** on increasing the useable efficiency is worth every penny/cent invested!

HG2021

#### High efficiency klystron development at CERN



- The new klystron bunching technologies have been established and evaluated.
- The computer code KlyC/2D and special scaling procedures have been developed.
- A number of high efficiency klystrons has been designed.
- Ultimate efficiency by giving the beam perveance is limited by space charge effect (Purple line, idealized bunch).
- Practically, impedance inadequate, ohmmic loss, low bunching quality due to poor design or compactness requirement or bandwidth compromise will further decrease efficiency

Efficiency

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

#### Home-made codes for HE Klystron development

KlyC (v5): Large signal 1/1.5D klystron simulator.

1/1.5D Beam-wave interaction module[1]. Beam dynamic simulation (single beam and MBK [7]).

> Electro-Magnetic module [1]. RF eigenmode and eigenfield solver in the arbitrary axisymmetric RF cavities. 2D field maps. Enables E-field maps import from HFSS and CST.

Coupled cavities module [2]. Special EM simulator of the coupled cavities with or without external loading. Coupled eigen frequencies and 2D field maps.

Monotron oscillations module [3]. Simulates the threshold of monotron oscillations in the RF cavities (klystron stability issueS) Klystron optimizer module [1]. Allows versatile optimization of the klystrons within specified condition.

Parameters scaling module [4]. Allows internal scaling with changing the frequency, beam power and perveance. Bunched beam generator module[5]. Simulation of IOT and output couplers with bunched beam

#### Design report module.

Generates various tables, graphs and animations to analyze the device performance.

Service functions. Automatic simulation of the power gain and bandwidth curves, arrival functions, reflected electrons absorber, batch mode and more... CGUN: electron beam tracking

#### Electrostatics module.

Simulates DC E-field maps and potentials in the 2D system with arbitrary shaped electrodes. Can be used in KlyC (TS MBK for example [6]).

#### Magnetostatics module.

Simulates DC B-field maps in the 2D system with arbitrary shaped coils and iron shields (saturation etc.)

#### Electron beam tracking module [7].

- Simulates the cathodes with space charge limit.
- Electrons tracking (trajectories) in the calculated B field (beam scalloping etc).
- Simulates collector in DC mode and RF mode using the spent beam energy spectra simulated in KlyC.
- Ultimately, A-Z beam tracking in entire device.

[1] J. Cai, I. Syratchev, 'KlyC: 1.5-D Large-Signal Simulation Code for Klystrons', IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science (Volume: 47, Issue: 4, April 2019)

[2] J. Cai, I. Syratchev 'Modelling of Coupled Cell Output Structures for the Klystrons', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (Volume: 66, Issue: 11, Nov. 2019)

[3] J. Cai, I. Syratchev, G. Burt 'Accurate Modeling of Monotron Oscillations in Small- and Large-Signal Regimes', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (Volume: 67, Issue: 4, April 2020))

[4] J. Cai, I. Syratchev, 'Scaling Procedures and Post-Optimization for the Design of High-Efficiency Klystrons', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (Volume: 66, Issue: 2, Feb. 2019)

[5] Z. Liu, et al, 'Study on the efficiency of Klystrons', IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science( Volume: 67, Issue: 7, April. 2020)

[6] J. Cai, I. Syratchev 'Modelling and technical design study of Two-stage Multibeam Klystron for CLIC', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (Volume: 64, Issue: 8, August 2020) [7] J. Cai, I. Syratchev, G. Burt 'Design study of a High-Power Ka-band HOM Multibeam Klystron', IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (Volume: 67, Issue: 12, December 2020)

#### Wave-beam interaction module (GUI and Design report module)

-1.5

-2

-3.5

gap voltage

electron effe

6219/4/120251

18 21 24 27

teration number

0 -4.5

r=1.65mm

r=2.31mm

z position /mn

50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400

Example of the HE 50MW X-band Klystron CLICXwhole\_lgor\_real beam\_v11\_MAGIC  $\times$ Ð Conv. OL FigOff FigOn GIF or 🔻 txt output cores eff. optimizer Accuracy Setting plot setting 4 ▼ Beam Para New 0.9  $\mathbf{M}$ 0.8 Beam Voltage (kV) 400.000 Space Charge Field Order 8 Simulation results summary Open 0.7 Beam Current (A) 190.000 Division Number in  $\lambda$  e-256 100 Pout= 4.882e+04 kW Gain= 58.13 dB Vg(kV) phi(d.)/E k 0.6 0\_\_\_ Save Division Number in RF 128 Outer Radius (mm) 2.640 1.9812 0.5 Eff.RF= 64.95 Eff.BI= 64.23 % ( 🔺 Prog. Max Iterations 1500 Save as 4.4086 0.4 Inner Radius (mm) 0.000 On Re.RF= 6.793e-05 Re.El= 0.0001207 21.2934 0.3 Iteration Residual Limit 0.0001 Tube Radius (mm) 4.000 Simulate IJ1/J0].i= 1.428 IJ1/J0|.o= 1.87 78.1950 15 0.2  $\bigcirc$ Iteration Relaxation 0.5 Beam Number 1 25.9657 14 0.1 ve/c.min= -0.03438 [Gama]= 0.2599 119,5507 24 Layer Number Excitation source Off 0 Power Ramp 10 w\*t=0 pha.s= 107.4 224.2642 44 Yes -0.1 Sweep Reflection from output Pin (W) 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 202 0500 105 Image C z/mm Tcpu= 10.67 min - b-Reflected electrons No 75.000 0.000 0 ~ amp 0 degree 1994.0 Power balance Share Cavity Parameters Beam power - 0.26277 DC Space charge power flow - 0.081949 RF Space charge power flow - -0.0043965 0.8 Scale f0(MHz) R/Q (Ω) Μ Qe Qin Number Harm gap(mm)/Em nose(mm)/Tp Lc(mm)/MB sigma(SI) Rc( (x,y,z,Ez) Type Z We(J accumulated ohmmic loss - 0.017372 90.1363 0.6396 11984 210 5.5787e+03 CLICX\_1s 🔺 756 287 000 -0 0 🔺 92.5593 2 12148 0.6262 4.3984e+04 5.6134e+03 00000 0.0 CLICX\_2s 763,738 -1 01/0 Check 3 1 12110 92,5593 0.6262 4.3984e+04 5.6134e+03 763 7382 CLICX\_3s -1 0 4 12139 92.5593 0.6262 6.0512e+05 5.6134e+03 -1 CLICX 4s 763,7382 00 0.0 5 2 23647 62.6434 0.5317 2.4371e+04 3.8042e+03 CLICX 2n 1.0661e+03 -1 Runing 0.2 ШШ 12410 93.8295 0.6193 4.4532e+04 5.6315e+03 -1 CLICX\_7s шш 767.3802 0 58000000 -0 0 12335 95.3279 0.5907 4.1739e+04 5.6470e+03 747.1426 -1 58000000 -0 0 • CLICX\_8s 4 F 4 F No. 13 🚔 🗹 field map Update Cavity -0.2 Add Cavity Delete Cavity ScellN Edit KIVC Cavity Number 13 Behind of No. 8 No. 5 50 100 150 300 350 0 200 250 400 z position /mm average velocity/c 1# iteration process(abort by closing this window) applegate diagram1# 0<del>9 0 0 8</del> 8 modulation depth for harmonics1# velocity variation 1# 0.85 12.4816 0 r=0.33mm 1000 -0.5 0.9 • ° o 1.8 0.8 r=0.99mm 04 r=1.65mm 0.8 0 r=2.31mm ° • <sup>8</sup> • 0.75 0.7 0.6 Q 1.2 0.1 • • 0.5 00--2.5 .L/(z)1zL 0 0 0.4 0.65 000000 0.3 0 0.6 0.6 02 r=0.33mm 04 0.1 r=0.99mm 0.55

150 (220) /25h

z position /mm

300 350 400

0 50 100 0.2

0

50 100 150 200 250 300 350

z position /mm

-0 -

0

400

100 150 200 250

50

350 400

300

z position /mm

#### Bunched beam generator module.



The ultimate power extraction efficiency in the linear beam devices

Example of **0.8 GHz FCC**<sub>ee</sub> klystron. Voltage 133 kV, Current 12.6 A ( $\mu$ P=0.26  $\mu$ A/V<sup>3/2</sup>)

#### Parameters scaling module @ Klystron optimizer module



#### Coupled cavities module @ Electro-Magnetic module

Compact 8MW X-band layout (in collaboration with Canon).



✓ Eff.~57.4%; E<sub>max</sub>~87kv/mm; -1dBbandwidth~[-20MHz,15MHz]; Pin=80W

#### Monotron oscillation module



I<sub>st</sub> is simulated by KlyC, I is calculated by voltage using fixed beam perveance (190A/400kV)



#### Beam dynamics in CST PIC

Pi/2 mode oscillated in CST PIC

#### Wave-beam interaction module & Electrostatics module. Two Stages CLIC MBK



HG2021

ength

Circuit

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

#### High Efficiency X-band klystrons retrofit upgrades (in collaboration with CPI and Canon).

Communications & Power Industries	50 MW	VKX-8311A HEX COM_M (CERN/CPI)		8-10 MW	E37113 at factory	HEX COM_M (CERN/Canon)	Canon	
	Voltage, kV	420 420		Voltage, kV	154	154		
	Current, A	322	204	Current, A	93	90		
	Frequency, GHz	11.994	11.994	Frequency, GHz	11.994	11.994		
	Peak power, MW Sat. gain, dB Efficiency, % Life time, hours		59	Peak power, MW	6.2	8.1		
			58	Sat. gain, dB	49	58		
			68 / KlyC	Efficiency, %	42	57/ FCI		
			85 000	Life time, hours	30 000	30 000		
	Solenoidal magnetic field, T	0.6	0.35/0.6	Solenoidal magnetic field, T	0.35	0.4		
	RF circuit length, m		0.32	RF circuit length, m	0.127	0.127		
21/4/2	60 50 40 30 20 10 0 25 5 50 HEX 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		HEX8 HEX8 8 12	E37113 E37115 E3715 E375 E375	15	
		Beam power, MW		···-=	Beam power, MW			



#### 6-cell output cavity design for 50MW tube



If single output  $\checkmark$ waveguide is used to extract the power, the efficiency performance will be more or less the same with slightly offset the center of the cavity from the center of the beam tunnel Previously,  $\checkmark$ 

SLAC has a TW version of coupling cell design, but slightly less efficient

modes

f /KlyC

Qext

f /CST

Qext

#### COM bunch circuit scheme (L=865mm)

CLICXwhole_Igor_opt_	1											
New	Beam Para. eff. opti	mizer	Accuracy Setting plot s	etting	Conv. OL	FigOff	) FigOn GIF	of 🗸	txt outpu	t	cores <mark>4</mark> 🔻	
Open	Beam Voltage (kV)	400.000	Space Charge Field Order	8	Simulation res	sults summary						
Open	Beam Current (A)	190.000	Division Number in $\lambda_e$ -	128	Pout=	5 34e+04 k	W Gain=	58.82	dB Va	IMAD		
Save	Outer Radius (mm)	3.175	Division Number in RF	64	Eff DE-	72.07		70.06	04	1 9765	0 3466	
Save as	Inner Radius (mm)	0.000	Max Iterations	100	E11.1XF =	12.21	70 Eli.bi-	70.20	70	3.8011	0.6602	
Cimulato	Tube Radius (mm)	4 600	Iteration Residual Limit	0.0001	Re.RF=	8.791e-05	Re.EI=	0.0001068	1	0.7453	1.8664	
Sinuate	Room Number	4.000	Iteration Relaxation	0.5					3	32.8610	5.7069	-
	Beam Number								13	9.9738	22 5705	100
	Layer Number	4							19	1.4851	32,9296	Prog
					IJ1/J0].i=	1.44	IJ1/J0 .o=	1.896	34	5.5060	59.4166	riog.
					ve/c.min=	0.05771	Gama =	0.2367	25	7.6433	72.4022	
						Voc	pha.s=	-48.35	• 16	6.5080	42.6592	
					Successful i	teration			25	9.3202	64.2632	
					Guccessian	teration			26	9.0755	69.4729	On
Power Ramp 10			Excitation source			No	Tcpu=		min 41	11 8932	80 0594	
Image C1	Reflection from output		Pin (W) degree	chirp	Reflected el	ectrons				11.0352	50.0554	8
f (MHz) 11994.0	amp 0 degree	0	70.000 🗹 360.000	0.000				9.051	4		• •	Off
11004.0												Sweep
Cavity Parameters												

#### CST benchmark for COM design



Frozen beam: Eff~66%; Bz=0.35T, Eff~64%

Emax~100kV/mm



- COM bunch circuit are directly scaled from preliminary 8MW X-band Klystron and optimized further by CERN and SLAC
- ✓ For strong coupling and complex beam dynamics, it seems the coupling mode theory is not accurate enough (~4% error).
- ✓ Harmonic cavity to be introduced to shrink tube



#### Second harmonic cavity



3cell: R/Q=159ohm, M=0.380.

4cell: R/Q=157ohm, M=0.434.

- 1. Gap length of the cavity is optimized maximize the  $R/Q^*M^2$  for single gap.
- Period is selected as 5.8mm to synchronize pi mode with electron beam.
- Number of cells are determined as compromise between R/Q\*M<sup>2</sup> and mode separation: 720MHz for quadruplet and 1000MHz for triplet.
- 4. After some optimization efforts, the length of the circuit could be compressed from 865mm to 392mm; Efficiency slightly drops from 70% to 67% in KlyC. (Output cavity untouched)
- Instabilities issues are addressed later on, the period is adjusted to 3.8mm at last.

#### bunch circuit with harmonic cavity scheme (L=392mm)





380

z/mm

360 370

0.2

0.1

-0.1

0

w\*t=0

320 330 340 350

390 400 410 420

#### CST PIC benchmark for shorter circuit design (L=392mm)



- ✓ For frozen beam, efficiency will be 63% while for Bz=0.35T, efficiency is 62%;
- $\checkmark$  In MAGIC 2D PIC simulation, the efficiency is 65%.
- ✓ Takuji (CPI) did some optimization on cell frequencies and Qext in MAGIC, 68% efficiency could be achieved(Bz=0.35T).
- ✓ For the updated parameters, the efficiency is 65.3% in KlyC and 64% in CST (Emax~120kV/mm, Bz=0.35T).

#### Final shortest version (L=316mm, baseline for final version)



MAGIC Simulation of CPI Design using XGUN Beam

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

### Problem addressed



DC beam for 50MW tube (Original triplets design with p=5.8mm)





- ✓ 50MW short tube with 2nd harmonic cavity (triplet) is initially optimized in KlyC shown the efficiency is up to 67%
- Triplet is designed with p=5.8mm to maximize the R/Q\*M<sup>2</sup> for the cavity (TM<sub>010</sub> π mode)
- Benchmark with PIC simulation shows the stable efficiency is up to 62% but heavy damping material is used to suppress the numerical oscillation (Physical oscillation may also be suppressed)
- ✓ Magic simulation (No numerical damping material is added) shows that the monotron oscillation will occur at the triplets, so no stable amplification process can't be achieved
- New triplets design should be provided to avoid the monotron oscillation

24

HG2021

## Monotron oscillation confirmed in CST PIC





- For single cavity simulation, no damping material added to suppress numerical noise and oscillation
- For different confining Bz, oscillation occurs after 200ns~300ns
- DC beam is emitted from the beginning (V=400kV, I=190A)
- With lower magnetic field, beam expansion together with beam interception exerbated. The power level generated by oscillation slightly decreases
- Threshold current is determined by small signal scenario, thus is dominated by the parameters of DC beam, in which case Bz doesn't affect much (Slightly radially expansion)

#### Theoretical model established

- CST PIC simulation is too time consuming, where the start oscillation time may be up to 1000ns (1 days for 100ns in mainstream computer)
- Fast and still accurate theoretical method needs to be put forward to predict the threshold current for a given resonant mode and e-beam
- Small signal theory is derived from large signal theory for KlyC, in which 1D approximation, simplification of SC formalism are adopt.
- Large signal simulation can also be done with the modification of KlyC, which will give oscillation frequency and power level generated when beam current exceeds the threshold by iteration methods





## Threshold current analysis for the triplets



- ✓ Threshold current is calculated by the developed small signal theory
- Beam Current is calculated by beam voltage with fixing the beam perveance
- In all possible voltage level (200~450kV), monotron instabilities is unavoidable
- O mode will be excited in low voltage range, while pi/2 in high voltage range
  Small signal theory needs to be benchmarked (3 cases marked in Fig. )before it is applied for stabilities checks





#### Beam dynamics in CST PIC

Pi/2 mode oscillated in CST PIC



- $\circ$  Large signal theory is benchmarked with CST PIC for V=400kV and V=300kV;
- Small signal theory is benchmarked with Large signal theory in the 2 cases where pi/2 mode is oscillated
- Both small and large signal model works well and can be used for monotron analysis
- $\circ$  More benchmark work will be done for V=120kV with TM010 0 mode oscillated





Beam dynamics in CST PIC

0 mode oscillated in CST PIC

## Updated triplet with shorter period



- Based on small signal theory analysis, updated triplets design (p=3.8mm) shows no monotron instability in all frequency ranges;
- ✓ It is confirmed in CST PIC that the operating point is stable in 5us simulation time; The real pulse length is around 2ms.
- New triplets replaces the original one in 50MW X band Klystron; Slightly frequency tuning to compensate the impedance degradation of TM010 pi mode.
- ✓ KlyC optimization for updated 50MW tube is done which shows 67% saturation efficiency, while CST shows 62% efficiency as verifications.
- ✓ Magic PIC simulation has also been done in CPI to further confirms tube can yield 65% saturation efficiency without any instabilities
  <sup>31</sup>

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

## Problem Background

- In X-band 50MW Klystron design, 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic cavity is considered to use to boost the efficiency performance (+5%) and reduce the tube length(half of COM)
- As large beam aperture is adopted to accommodate high current, triplet structure is adopted to ensure enough impedance, thus enough bandwidth
- The original design use period of 5.8mm (gap=2.5mm) to optimize the effective impedance, but monotron oscillation is spotted for TM010 pi/2 mode
- Based on monotron theory, new triplet with period of 3.5mm (gap=2.1mm) is used to exclude monotron oscillation. No oscillation is observed during 2us simulation time when Bz=20T; No monotron oscillation is observed when Bz=0.35T as well.
- However, HE type oscillation (HE21) is spotted after 1000ns simulation time when Bz=0.35T. For Bz=0.3T and Bz=0.4T, HE type oscillation (HE31) will still be there.

#### HE21 oscillation observed, Bz=-0.35T



#### HE31 oscillation observed, Bz=+0.30T



### Quick fix for the HE type oscillation

- Sabotage: Hybrid mode oscillation will surely invalidate the nominal amplification. More seriously, the transverse RF field will kick the beam onto the metallic wall, which generates severe beam interception (For example,0.35T, beam interception~20MW) which might destroy the device permanently.
- Fix1: PIC simulation shows that the HE type oscillation will disappear when Bz>0.7T; But enlarge the Bz field seems not a very economical way to suppress HE type oscillation, 0.35T consume 10% power of 50MW. (Fast cure)
- Fix2: Reduce the radius of beam; Interactive impedance will be affected.
- Fix3: PIC simulation shows that the HE type oscillation could be suppressed by using stainless steel wall rather than copper. (Q<sub>0</sub> reduced by 7~8 times). This is a universal approach to suppress all self-oscillated instabilities. (Fast cure)
- Fix4: Adjust the geometry to change the field pattern and frequency of HE mode, which might increase or decrease threshold current depending on how the structure is modified. (Delicate cure)

### Geometry modification

Some numerical methods are developed for fast analysis, work is submitted to IEEE for peer review; Period sweep is firstly studied.





By tapering the gap while making cell frequency of TM010 identical; Effective impedance of operating mode is unvaried; But the mode pattern of HE mode are overhauled.





No oscillations are spotted at Bz=0.35T and copper wall with filling factor up to 85%. In anticipation that with design value of 70% and beam scalloping at 10% level, the tube will be stable. (Stable when voltage range from 150kV to 430kV)

HG2021

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

### Tunnel mode at 36 GHz

- Bandpass curve at saturation shows power dip at 12.044 GHz; Indication of tunnel mode in the drift section between cav #5 & 6 near 36 GHz
- CERN suggests reducing the drift length by 1 mm (39 mm -> 38 mm) to increase tunnel mode frequency from 36 GHz to 36.27 GHz
- MAGIC results with reduced drift lengths (38 mm, 37 mm) eliminates dip at 12.044 GHz
- No change in output power at other frequencies



6

## Updated Design (Oct 27, 2020)

21.6084

1234.58

27929.8

4031.43

57429.2

14399.8







#### By reducing distance between triplet and cavity #6 by 1mm, 'Mode 2' is detuned by ~ 400 MHz

Eigenmode	Frequency (GHz)	Q	Eigennode	Frequency (GHz)	Q
Mode 1	35.3519 +j 0.720623	24.5338	Mode 1	35.6098 +j 0.713250	24.9680
Mode 2	36.0868 + 0.100728	179.131	Mode 2	36.4368 +j 0.109821	165.893
Mode 3	38.0682 +j 0.184171	103.352	Mode 3	38.2731 + 0.225295	84.9414

Full tube simulation. Cavity #6 is moved by -1 mm, The 36GHz mode was tuned up to 36.27 GHz and Q external dropped to 6900.





	lew	B	leam Para.	eff. optimize	Hr	Accuracy Sett	ng plot se	tting	Conv. OL	FigOff	FigOn GIF	off 🔻 🗟	txt outp	ut co	ores 4	•
		E	Beam Voltage (	kV) 40	0.000	Space Charge	Field Order	8	Simulation res	ults summary						
- 0	pen	E	Beam Current (	A) 19	0.000	Division Numb	per in λ_e-	128	Poute	5 2470+04	WW Gain-	50.4	a de	manuan la	A LOCAL DECISION	
S	ave		Outer Radius (r	nm)	2.800	Division Numb	per in RF	64	FROT-	5.2478+04	N CEDI-	59.4	2 00	VGI(KV) P	cu.su.	-
Sa	ve as		nner Radius (n	nm)	0.000	Max Iterations		100	EILRF=	70.89	% ELBI=	09.0	15 70	204.7416	39.68(	
-			Deding (		0.000	Iteration Resid	tual Limit	0.0001	Re.RF=	6.662e-05	Re.El=	0.00194	19	352.8547	98.73(	
Sin	nulate		lube Radius (n	nm)	4.000	Baratian Dala	ation	0.0001	IJ1/J0[.i=	1.39	IJ1/J0 .0=	1.84	16	246.8092	63.04:	
GS	EM	E	Beam Number		1	iteration Peral	auon	0.35	ve/c.min=	-0.1143	Gama =	0.291	5	392 2529	100.150	
Power	Ramp 12	2 1	ayer Number		4	Excitation source	ce				nha e=	-20.7	4 0	370.0986	93.031	
Image (		R	eflection from o	utput		Pin (W)	degree	chirp	Successful it	eration Yes	pria.s=	-20.2		401.5006	75.16(	*
f (MHz)	11994.0	c	amp 0	degree	0	60.000	360.000	0.000	Reflected ele	ctrons No	Tcpu=	3.68	6 min		•	ł
Cavity Pa	arameters															
lumber	Type Ha	rm	f0(MHz)	R/Q (Ω)	M	Qe	Qin	z (mm)	gap(mm)/En	n nose(mm)/Tp	Lc(mm)/MB	sigma(SI) Re	:(m	We(J)	(x,y,z,Ez)	
1	0	1	11984	88.9377	0.6459	200	5.5787e+03	0 -	756.659	1.0750	0	58000000 3.	599 +		CLICX_1s	-
2	1	1	12092	89.9909	0.6386	1000000	5.5981e+03	40.5000	846.301	1 1.3250	5	58000000 5.	816		CLICX_2s	
3	1	1	12075	90.1321	0.6393	1000000	5.5967e+03	86.3000	787.265	1.5000	4.5000	58000000 0	.0015		CLICX_3s	
4	1	1	12139	90.7203	0.6360	1000000	5.6054e+03	135.5000	797.916	1.5000	4.5000	58000000 0	.0019		CLICX_4s	1
	1	2	23770	62.2778	0.5395	1000000	547.1990	178.5000	786.547	1.5000	4.5000	58000000 0	.0025		CLICX_2n	
5			12520	93 6747	0.6179	1000000	5.6527e+03	216.5000	789.409	1.5000	4,5000	58000000 5.	461		CLICX_7s	
5	1	1	12520	00.0141												
5 6 7	1	1	12430	97.5474	0.5779	1000000	5.6993e+03	278 -	689.342	1,4844	5.7000	58000000 -0	.0052 -		CLICX_8s	*

## Short summary for instabilities issues

- For high power (50MW), long pulse (1500ns, 1kHz) Klystron, instabilities are likely to occur when triplets are adopted as a second harmonic cavity which is used to boost the efficiency and preserve short circuits length.
- Possible instabilities issues were address and mitigated with proper triplet geometry design.
- As an alternative, CPI suggested the bunching circuit without 2nd harmonic triplet to avoid any doubt about tube stability associated with the triplet. As a drawback the efficiency reduction by about 4% shall be accepted.

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

## Simulation Tool

CST TRK, DGUN, CGUN (home-made 2D code)



### 36GHz HOM MBK optics design and benchmark



- ✓ CGUN is fast 2D optics code could get very accurate results for axial-symmetric system in fast manner;
- $\checkmark$  CGUN is more suitable for analyzing system with GUN section, magnet as an integrity
- ✓ GUI for CGUN will be developed in next few months

## Outline

- Background
- RF circuits design
  - KlyC : code review
  - Multi-cell output cavity, bunch circuit
- Instability issues
  - Monotron oscillation
  - Multipolar modes oscillation
  - Other instabilities
- Beam optics design
  - CGUN: 2D optics code freshly developed
  - GUN, magnet, collector

## GUN and magnet for SLACX GUN



21/4/2021

### Optics system with collector



- For Klystron running at 1500ns/1000Hz, peak power density on the collector wall should not exceed 330kW/cm<sup>2</sup> if the maximum average power density is 0.5kW/cm<sup>2</sup> with water cooling.
- CGUN could decelerate the beam with energy spread and radial dependency to simulate the RF case in equivalent way
- Collector profile should be reoptimized due to different spent beam information
- Simulation time for whole optics is about 10min
- For DC, maximum dP/dS=450kW/cm<sup>2</sup>
- For RF, maximum dP/dS=180kW/cm<sup>2</sup>

### Summary

- KlyCv5 has been released since February 2021. It will be available in a public domain.
- CGUN GUI development is underway. The code release for the public is expected in the end of 2021.
- RF Designs of 50MW and 8MW X-band Klystrons was completed at CERN and communicated to our industrial partners.
- Beam optics design of both Klystrons are re-evaluated and confirmed.
- The X band tubes development was done in close collaboration with our industrial partners. Such a collaboration showed to be very efficient and ensured mitigation of all the technical issues along the design process.





# Thanks for your attention!



KlyCv5 and updated User Manual has been released since February 2021. If interested, please contact via email to:

jinchi.cai@cern.ch

Please put in Cc...: <u>lgor.Syratchev@cern.ch</u> <u>g.burt1@lancaster.ac.uk</u>