

Randal Ruchti (Notre Dame), Katja Krüger (DESY)

Seattle Snowmass Summer Meeting 2022 19 July 2022 Based on <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.15138.pdf</u> All mistakes & omissions are my own





### **Overview**

- Motivation
- Detector Upgrades/Concepts
- Technologies
- Challenges
- Future Directions

## Motivation

## **Particle Flow Calorimetry**

- main motivation: jet energy resolution
- goal: want to distinguish  $Z \rightarrow jet jet from W \rightarrow jet jet$
- requires  $\sigma(E)/E \approx 3-4\%$
- can be reached by particle flow algorithms (PFA)
- for each particle within a jet: use the subdetector with optimal resolution
- need to avoid double counting and wrong merging
- need an imaging calorimeter!
- requirements for the calorimeter:
  - highly granular
  - reconstruction of neutral particles: good energy resolution
  - calorimeter has to be within magnet coil: very compact
- more possible benefits of high granularity
- background (pile up) rejection
- particle identification  $(\mu, \tau, ...)$
- reconstruction of long-lived particles





# **Detector Upgrades/Concepts**

## CALICE



- Dedicated detector R&D collaboration focusing on the development of highly granular calorimeters optimized for the application of particle flow algorithms
- Originally founded for calorimeter development for the International Linear Collider (ILC)
- Scope now widened to integrate applications of highly granular calorimeters in other environments
- Provides framework for the R&D activities, ensuring common standards for beam tests and data analysis, as well as organizing combined beam tests of full calorimeter systems
- ECAL technologies for active material:
  - small scintillator strips
  - silicon sensors
- HCAL technologies for active material:
  - scintillator tiles
  - gaseous detectors (RPCs, GEMs and MicroMegas)
- Various readout concepts:
  - Analog
  - Digital
  - Semi-digital

## **Detector Concepts**

Particle Flow calorimeters are essential ingredients in many detector concepts for future Higgs factories



ECAL: silicon/tungsten or scintillator-strip/tungsten HCAL: RPC/steel or scintillator-tiles/steel





ECAL: silicon/tungsten

HCAL: scintillator-tiles/steel

## **Detector Concepts**



CLIC

CLICdet

ECAL: silicon/tungsten HCAL: scintillator-tiles/steel



ECAL: silicon/tungsten HCAL: scintillator-tiles/steel

## **Detector Concepts**



CEPC

baseline detector concept

ECAL: scintillator-strip/tungsten HCAL: RPC/steel or scintillator-tiles/steel

## **CMS HGCAL**

- CMS calorimeter endcap will be replaced for HL-LHC by High-Granularity calorimeter
- synergy with high granularity calorimeter concepts developed for electron-positron colliders
  - silicon in the front and close to the beam pipe
  - scintillator tiles wherever radiation levels allow





# Technologies

## **Technologies: Overview**

name	purpose	project	active	channel size	readout	# of layers
			material		(A/D/SD)	(depth)
CALICE SiW ECAL	ECAL	ILC <sup>a</sup>	silicon	$5  imes 5  \mathrm{mm^2}$	analog	$30(24X_0)$
SiD ECAL	ECAL	ILC	silicon	$13\mathrm{mm}^2$	analog	$30(26X_0)$
HGCAL Si	ECAL <sup>b</sup>	HL-LHC	silicon	$52-118\mathrm{mm^2}$	analog	$28 (25X_0)$
FoCal	ECAL	HL-LHC	silicon	$30 imes 30\mu\mathrm{m}^2$	digital	$28 (25X_0)$
CALICE Sci-ECAL	ECAL	ILC <sup>c</sup>	SiPM-on-tile	$5 \times 5 \mathrm{mm^2}^{-d}$	analog	$30(24X_0)$
RADiCAL	ECAL	FCC-hh	crystal + WLS	$4 \times 4 \mathrm{mm^2}^{e}$	analog	$29 (25X_0)$
CALICE AHCAL	HCAL	ILC f	SiPM-on-tile	$3 imes 3{ m cm}^2$	analog	$40 (4\lambda_I)$
HGCAL Scint	HCAL	HL-LHC	SiPM-on-tile	$6-30\mathrm{cm}^2$	analog	22 (7.8 $\lambda_I$ ) <sup>g</sup>
CALICE DHCAL	HCAL	ILC	RPC	$1  imes 1  { m cm}^2$	digital	$40 (4\lambda_I)$
CALICE SDHCAL	HCAL	ILC	RPC	$1  imes 1  { m cm}^2$	semi-digital	$40 (4\lambda_I)$

<sup>*a*</sup>also for CLIC & FCC-ee

<sup>b</sup>silicon also used in HCAL part

<sup>c</sup>also for CEPC

<sup>*d*</sup>effective size, strips have  $5 \times 45 \,\mathrm{mm^2}$ 

 $^e\text{effective shower size at shower max; module cross section is <math display="inline">14\times14\,\text{mm}^2$ 

<sup>f</sup>also for CEPC, CLIC & FCC-ee

 ${}^{g}\mathrm{contains}$  also pure silicon and mixed layers

## Silicon

- Most common: silicon sensors with analog readout
  - Typical pad size of ~10 to ~100 mm<sup>2</sup>
  - Examples: CALICE SiW ECAL, SiD ECAL, HGCAL Si
- Alternative: silicon sensor with digital readout
  - Based on MAPS, ~30\*30  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> pixels
  - Example: EPICAL (R&D for ALICE FoCal)









**HGCAL Si** 

CALICE SiW ECAL

SiD ECAL

## **Crystal**

#### **High-Granularity Crystal Calorimetry**

- Homogeneous ECAL made from small scintillation crystals
- Could reach very good intrinsic energy resolution
- Dual readout of Cerenkov and scintillation photons could potentially improve hadron energy resolution

#### RADiCAL

- LYSO/tungsten sampling ECAL read out by rad-hard WLS fibres at both ends
- Aim: identify technology that can withstand conditions of FCC-hh endcaps
  - 500 Grad ionization dose
  - $5 \times 10^{18}$  1MeV n<sub>eq</sub> fluence



## **Scintillator Strips & Tiles (SiPM-on-Tile)**

- High granularity possible due to individual direct readout by SiPMs
- ECAL
  - scintillator strips, size ~5\*45 mm<sup>2</sup>, alternating orientation
  - Example: CALICE SciW ECAL
- HCAL
  - Scintillator tiles, size ~5 to ~30 cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Examples: CALICE AHCAL, HGCAL Sci



CALICE AHCAL



HGCAL Sci

#### CALICE SciW ECAL





## Gaseous Detectors (RPCs, GEMs, ...)

- gaseous detectors operated in avalanche mode: measured charge only weakly correlated with primary deposited energy
  - Dedicated readout schemes: digital, semi-digital
- Typical pad size of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>
- RPCs
  - Examples: CALICE DHCAL, CALICE SDHCAL
- Advanced GEMs
  - Could sustain higher particle rates and provide better time resolution



# Challenges

## **Distinguishing characteristics**

- Silicon:
  - + Very stable signals with very small channel-to-channel variations; Radiation hardness
  - Expensive; Very small signals
- Crystals and Ceramics:
  - High density; Radiation hardness; Intrinsic brightness; Can be used also in homogeneous ECAL
  - Expensive
- Gaseous:
  - + Cost effective
  - Sensitive to gas flow and to environmental conditions (temperature, humidity);
     Possibly: green house effects
- SiPM-on-tile:
  - + Cost effective
  - Sensitive to temperature effects; SiPM saturation effects

## **Common Aspects and Challenges**

- Embedded electronics: usually necessary due to large channel densities and difficulties to bring analog signals of all cells outside
  - electronics not accessible for repair -> strong requirements on quality tests before assembly and on longevity
  - complex readout elements such as ASICs situated in positions of vulnerability to radiation and environmental issues
  - large area covered by PCB on the active area can contribute significantly to the cost especially for HCALs
- Power Management: amount of heat produced by the electronics needs to be minimized or removed
  - Linear electron-positron colliders: power pulsing
  - Hadron colliders: need dedicated cooling system with cooling inside the active layers

## **Common Aspects and Challenges**

- Industrialisation: overall channel counts are hundred-thousands to millions, covered areas in the active layers are hundreds of square meters
  - Nevertheless, a niche for most industry areas
  - Usually, only the components and some of the first assembly steps can be done by companies
- Data Analysis: large number of channels is also challenging for several analysis aspects
  - Simulation: new ideas based on machine learning
  - **Calibration**: fluctuations not so important, main challenge is to avoid syst. bias and monitor stability
  - **Reconstruction**: "classical" Particle Flow Algorithms like PandoraPFA and ARBOR (and algorithms used in ATLAS and CMS), new ideas inspired by computer vision

Future Directions & Assessment of long-term Importance

## **Future Directions & Long-term Importance**

- Many of the developments for PFA calos so far focused on high precision jet measurements for linear electron-positron colliders
- For circular machines (especially hadron colliders), event pile-up and radiation are much more important
  - Radiation hardness of materials
  - Hit time measurements for improved association of hits to particles and particles to interaction vertices
- So far, experimental results mainly from beam tests
  - CALICE, SiD ECAL, FoCal, HGCAL prototype
  - In general demonstrated viability of the concepts (in testbeam environment)
  - Important cross check with GEANT simulation
- First full demonstration of the technique will be the CMS HGCAL, which will be the first to break ground in this new technological territory
- The impact of the Particle Flow Calorimetry Technique rests on demonstrations of its successful experimental application

# Thank you!

## **Common Aspects and Challenges**

- Cell size optimization driven by several factors
  - ECAL cells should be small enough to allow the separation of close-by showers and a reliable assignment of showers to corresponding tracks
  - in order to distinguish electromagnetic and hadronic showers as well as to identify electromagnetic subshowers within hadron showers, the cell size should be of the order of the Moliere radius and the radiation length X0,
  - very small cell size especially in the ECAL beneficial for  $\tau$  reconstruction
  - especially at hadron colliders, a small cell size allows better rejection of energy depositions from pile-up events